



1061103

B2

Public Perceptions of the Relevance and Progress of Tobacco Control in Canada

FINAL REPORT

POR-06-10
H1011-06-0009

Submitted to:

Health Canada

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES INC.
November 14, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive summary

Sommaire.....

1. Introduction and Methodology.....

1.1 Methodology

2. Findings

2.1 Perception of Seriousness and Risk of the Issue.....

2.2 Government Involvement in Tobacco Control.....

2.3 Involvement of Different Organizations.....

2.4 Organizations with Responsibility for Different
Control.....

Appendix A: Questionnaire (English and French)

Appendix B: Response Rate

Appendix C: Regression Analysis

Appendix D: Detailed Tables (under separate cover)

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Ottawa Office
99 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1100
Ottawa, Ontario
K1P 6L7
Tel: (613) 235 7215
Fax: (613) 235 8498
E-mail: pobox@ekos.com

Toronto Office
480 University Avenue, Suite 1006
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1V2
Tel: (416) 598 8002
Fax: (416) 598 2543
E-mail: toronto@ekos.com

Edmonton Office
9925 109th St. NW, Suite 606
Edmonton, Alberta
T5K 2J8
Tel: (780) 408 5225
Fax: (780) 408 5233
E-mail: edmonton@ekos.com

*Photocopy error
ne compus pas*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive summary	iii
Sommaire.....	vii
1. Introduction and Methodology.....	1
1.1 Methodology	1
2. Findings	5
2.1 Perception of Seriousness and Risk of the Issue	5
2.2 Government Involvement in Tobacco Control	11
2.3 Involvement of Different Organizations.....	19
2.4 Organizations with Responsibility for Different Elements of Tobacco Control.....	23

Appendix A: Questionnaire (English and French)

Appendix B: Response Rate

Appendix C: Regression Analysis

Appendix D: Detailed Tables (under separate cover)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The current study examines perceptions of the general public and youth and smokers in particular about the seriousness of the risks associated with tobacco use and the support for government involvement, as well as perceived mix of involvement of all major partners involved in tobacco control. This survey is designed to complement other findings in support of mid-term evaluation of the Federal Tobacco Control Strategy, a ten-year federal government-wide program designed to reduce the prevalence of smoking and tobacco-related illness and death through comprehensive, integrated and sustained efforts in a number of areas (e.g., research, public policies such as taxation, mass media). The survey includes 2,317 cases of permanent residents of Canada, who are 15 years of age or older. The survey includes a total (oversample) of study 600 youth (between the ages of 15 and 19) and 800 smokers. The overall margin of error is +/- 2.0 per cent (and +/-4.0 and 3.5 per cent, respectively in the over samples). The survey was collected in August and September, 2006.

✓ Overall, Canadians are well-aware of the health risks associated with smoking — more than three-quarters indicate that the harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes is very serious. A somewhat smaller, though still substantial, proportion indicate the harmfulness of SHS to be very serious. Perceived seriousness is a good indicator of perceived relevance and federal support for a strong role, however, it is also a measure that most Canadians rate highly.

✓ While there is significant consensus on the harmfulness of smoking and SHS, there is less convergence on the evolving risk — one in three believe the health risk posed by tobacco has stayed about the same over the past five years. One in three say the risk has decreased, citing a decline in prevalence rates, smoking bans and greater public awareness of the risks of smoking. A similar proportion, however, believe the health risk associated with tobacco has increased over the last five years owing to the number of Canadians who are still smoking, high rates of smoking among youth in particular, increasing evidence of the link between smoking and cancer and the addictive properties of cigarettes. Reasons cited by Canadians for their thinking suggest that a perceived increase is driven by increasing awareness of the issue and not an increase in the “real threat” of tobacco.

✓ In the midst of the general acceptance of the dangers of smoking and SHS, there is a small, but consistent, pocket that tends to downplay the seriousness, namely, smokers themselves. As a result, ✓ this muted appreciation for the dangers of tobacco is also more concentrated among Quebecers and those with lower levels of education. The regression analyses indicate strong linkages between smoker status and appreciation for the harm and seriousness of tobacco, as well as for (federal) government involvement in tobacco control.

• Canadians have a surprisingly inflated estimate of the Canadian population that smokes. While the most recent CTUMS data indicate that about 19 per cent of Canadians smoke, on a regular or occasional basis, the general public places the figure at an astonishing 42 per cent. Still, while the estimate

is high, the sub-group differences in the estimates tend to vary with differences in prevalence rates among these groups: for example, Quebecers and Aboriginal people provide comparatively higher estimates of smoking prevalence, consistent with above average prevalence rates in their respective populations. Nonetheless it is interesting to see that along with a deeper appreciation of the threat of harm from tobacco, to smokers and non-smokers alike, is an inflated perception of the prevalence in today's society. This suggests a potential conundrum for the Strategy in the future, particularly since the perceived incidence of smoking in the population is a key driver of rated seriousness of the harm of smoking and SHS. Moreover, those who provide a higher estimate of the Canadians public that smoke are also more apt to perceive the risk posed by tobacco to be increasing and to favour increased federal government involvement in tobacco control.

On the other hand, when given the option for government to continue to focus on tobacco as a high priority or to focus now on other health issues (given that the incidence of smoking has been reduced to one in five), two-thirds of Canadians do believe that the issue should continue to be a priority. This is higher among non-smokers and those who believe that the health risks associated with tobacco are serious and increasing. (Among smokers opinion on this is equally divided, and among those with a more muted sense of the risk of tobacco, the majority believe that tobacco control should not be a priority for the federal government.)

Across the country, despite their already low smoking prevalence rate (actual and perceived), support for a continued government focus on tobacco as opposed to other health issues is higher in BC (and somewhat higher among non-smokers and those who perceive the health risks to be very serious).

Awareness of government action in the area of tobacco control is also high — almost eight in ten can identify something the federal government does in the area of tobacco control (though the examples they cite — e.g., smoking bans — are not always entirely within the federal jurisdiction). The segments of Canadians who are least aware of federal strategies in tobacco control are among those who are perhaps least amenable to them: smokers, those who feel the health risks of tobacco are not serious and lower socio-economic status Canadians (who also have higher smoking rates). Strategies tend to vary by region, owing to differences in the prominence of the various provincial strategies, suggesting that Canadians are not always clear on the roles of the federal and provincial governments. This may not matter, given that a founding principle of the Federal Tobacco Control Strategy is the advantage of an integrated and coordinated approach, however, it makes it more difficult to isolate evidence of public appreciation of federal efforts.

High awareness of government actions is coupled with substantial support for the government's involvement in the Strategy. Two-thirds of Canadians support the types of efforts undertaken as part of the FTCS, though support is weaker among smokers and those segments with higher smoking prevalence rates (e.g., Quebecers, visible minorities and Aboriginal people, lower socio-economic status). The regressions suggest that smoking status and perceived severity of the problem are among the primary drivers of federal support for involvement, although some Canadians (albeit a small proportion) simply do not see a role for governments in tobacco control.

✓ About half of Canadians believe the federal government should maintain its current level of involvement in tobacco control, while one in three believe it should increase involvement (higher among those who perceive the health risks to be serious and increasing and who estimate a higher proportion of the public to be smoking). Very few Canadians would advocate a decrease in federal involvement. Canadians most often cast the role of the federal government as equal partner with provinces and non-governmental organizations, with about one in four favouring the federal government in a leadership role (more often by those who would like to see increased federal involvement in the issue).

✓ Just as the federal government believes that the FTCS would be most successful using an integrated and coordinated approach, Canadians also advocate a strong role for a number of partners, including NGOs, health care professionals, the three levels of government and even the tobacco industry and Canadians themselves. In fact, the level of involvement and responsibility argued by Canadians for the tobacco industry and Canadians at large is surprisingly high and relatively equal proportions of Canadians would argue for strong involvement of all parties.

✓ There are areas where the federal government has a clearer mandate than the other levels of government or other partners. Canadians place their strongest support behind federal responsibility in the areas of regulation of both the manufacturing and sale of tobacco products, as well as smuggling. In each of these cases, Canadians may be looking for a national consistency of approach, giving the provincial government in each case as a strong secondary role. Cessation, prevention of youth uptake and second-hand smoke are areas where the role of any single organization is less clear. In these cases, Canadians more often see a coordinated approach, involving many partners, and no clear consensus on one leader. In each of these cases, more Canadians suggest that the federal government should have the primary responsibility than make that argument for other organizations, but nonetheless, opinion is divided.

SOMMAIRE

#4 La présente étude porte sur les perceptions, dans la population en général et chez les jeunes et les fumeurs en particulier, au sujet de la gravité des risques liés au tabagisme et au sujet de l'appui que reçoit l'engagement gouvernemental, de même que sur la combinaison perçue des niveaux d'engagement des partenaires d'importance participant à la lutte contre le tabagisme. Le sondage vise à compléter d'autres observations sur lesquelles se fonde l'évaluation de mi-parcours de la Stratégie fédérale de lutte contre le tabagisme, programme décennal se déployant à l'échelle de l'administration fédérale en vue de réduire la prévalence du tabagisme ainsi que des maladies et des décès associés au tabac grâce à des efforts globaux, concertés et soutenus dans divers domaines (travaux de recherche, politiques gouvernementales comme en matière de fiscalité, médias, etc.). Le sondage réunit 2 317 cas de résidents permanents du Canada qui ont au moins 15 ans. Le (suréchantillon du) sondage comprend au total 600 jeunes (entre 15 et 19 ans) et 800 fumeurs. La marge d'erreur globale s'établit à +/- 2,0 p. 100 (et à +/- 4,0 et 3,5 p. 100, respectivement, dans les suréchantillons). Le sondage s'est déroulé en août et en septembre 2006.

Dans l'ensemble, les Canadiens sont pleinement conscients des risques que pose le tabagisme pour la santé. En effet, plus des trois quarts d'entre eux indiquent que les méfaits pour la santé que cause la cigarette chez les fumeurs sont très graves. Dans une proportion quelque peu inférieure, mais néanmoins importante, les Canadiens signalent que la fumée secondaire est aussi très nocive. La perception de la gravité est un bon indicateur des perceptions à l'égard de la pertinence des initiatives du gouvernement fédéral ainsi que de l'appui qu'il reçoit dans un rôle d'importance. Par ailleurs, c'est aussi une mesure dont la plupart des Canadiens font grand cas.

Encore que les Canadiens conviennent par consensus que le tabagisme et la fumée secondaire sont nocifs, les avis concordent moins pour ce qui concerne l'évolution du risque. Le tiers des répondants sont d'avis que le risque que représente le tabagisme pour la santé n'a pas vraiment changé depuis cinq ans. Un tiers des répondants affirment par ailleurs que le risque a diminué. À ce sujet, ces derniers mentionnent le recul des taux de prévalence, les interdictions de fumer et une meilleure sensibilisation de la population aux risques liés au tabagisme. Une proportion semblable des répondants sont toutefois d'avis que le risque pour la santé lié au tabagisme s'est accru au cours des cinq dernières années à cause du nombre de Canadiens qui continuent de fumer, des forts taux de tabagisme chez les jeunes en particulier, ainsi que de la masse plus importante de données probantes démontrant le lien entre le tabagisme et le cancer et indiquant que la cigarette entraîne une dépendance. Les motifs qu'invoquent les Canadiens pour expliquer leurs opinions à ce sujet font penser que l'augmentation perçue découle d'une meilleure sensibilisation au tabagisme plutôt que d'un accroissement de la « menace réelle » que constitue le tabac.

En parallèle de la reconnaissance générale des risques liés au tabagisme et à la fumée secondaire, persiste un groupe restreint, mais stable de répondants qui ont tendance à minimiser la gravité

du tabagisme, nommément les fumeurs. Par conséquent, cette évaluation adoucie des risques du tabagisme se présente principalement chez les Québécois et chez les personnes moins scolarisées. Les analyses de régression révèlent des liens importants entre le statut de fumeur et l'appréciation des méfaits et de la gravité du tabagisme, de même que de l'engagement gouvernemental (fédéral) au chapitre de la lutte contre le tabagisme.

Les Canadiens établissent une estimation étrangement exagérée de la proportion de fumeurs dans la population en général. Tandis que les plus récentes données de l'ESUTC indiquent qu'autour de 19 p. 100 des Canadiens fument régulièrement ou à l'occasion, dans la population en général, l'estimation atteint un résultat ahurissant de 42 p. 100. Ceci dit, encore que cette estimation soit élevée, les estimations des sous-groupes ont tendance à varier selon leurs taux de prévalence respectifs : par exemple, les Québécois et les Autochtones établissent des estimations de la prévalence du tabagisme, relativement plus élevées, qui rejoignent les taux de prévalence au-dessus de la moyenne que l'on constate dans ces populations. Il est néanmoins intéressant de constater qu'une meilleure appréciation des risques liés au tabagisme s'accompagne chez les fumeurs et chez les non-fumeurs d'une perception exagérée de la prévalence dans la société actuelle. Cette situation pourrait constituer une énigme, à l'avenir, dans le contexte de la Stratégie, en particulier parce que les perceptions à l'égard de l'incidence du tabagisme dans la population constituent un facteur important de l'évaluation de la gravité des méfaits qu'entraînent le tabagisme et la fumée secondaire. De plus, ceux qui établissent une estimation plus élevée de la proportion de fumeurs dans la population sont aussi plus en mesure de percevoir l'accroissement du risque que représente le tabagisme et d'appuyer l'engagement accru du gouvernement fédéral au chapitre de la lutte contre le tabagisme.

En revanche, lorsque nous leur demandons de choisir entre le maintien de l'orientation gouvernementale actuelle, selon laquelle le tabagisme est un enjeu très important dans l'ordre des priorités, et un virage vers d'autres problèmes de santé (étant donné que l'incidence du tabagisme a diminué, pour s'établir à un pour cinq), les deux tiers des Canadiens sont d'avis qu'il faudrait continuer de traiter de cet enjeu en priorité. Cette proportion est plus importante chez les non-fumeurs et chez les personnes qui estiment que les risques que représente le tabagisme pour la santé sont graves et de plus en plus importants. (Il y a un partage égal des opinions à ce sujet parmi les fumeurs, tandis que la majorité des répondants chez lesquels nous relevons une évaluation adoucie des risques du tabagisme sont d'avis que le gouvernement fédéral ne devrait pas traiter de la lutte contre le tabagisme en toute priorité.)

À l'échelle du pays, malgré des taux (véritable et perçu) de prévalence du tabagisme qui sont déjà faibles, en Colombie-Britannique, l'appui que reçoit le maintien de l'orientation gouvernementale ciblant la lutte contre le tabagisme plutôt que d'autres problèmes de santé est plus important (et un peu plus important chez les non-fumeurs et chez les personnes qui estiment que les risques pour la santé sont très graves).

Le niveau de connaissance des mesures gouvernementales dans le domaine du tabagisme est également élevé : près de huit répondants pour dix peuvent nommer une mesure de lutte contre le tabagisme du gouvernement fédéral (quoique les exemples donnés — p. ex., les interdictions de fumer —

ne relèvent pas toujours entièrement des compétences fédérales). Les segments des Canadiens qui connaissent le moins les stratégies fédérales au chapitre de la lutte contre le tabagisme réunissent peut-être les personnes les moins bien disposées à cet égard : les fumeurs, les personnes qui estiment que les risques pour la santé liés au tabagisme ne sont pas importants et les Canadiens dont le statut socio-économique est inférieur (chez lesquels nous observons aussi des taux de tabagisme plus élevés). En général, les stratégies varient suivant la région, à cause des différences au chapitre de l'importance des diverses stratégies provinciales, ce qui fait penser que les Canadiens ne comprennent pas toujours bien les rôles des administrations fédérale et provinciales. Cette dimension n'a peut-être pas d'importance, étant donné que les avantages d'une démarche intégrée et coordonnée sont au nombre des principes fondateurs de la Stratégie fédérale de lutte contre le tabagisme. Il n'en demeure pas moins qu'il est plus difficile, dans ce contexte, d'isoler les données témoignant de l'appréciation des mesures fédérales dans la population.

Le niveau élevé de connaissance des mesures gouvernementales s'accompagne d'un appui considérable à l'égard de l'engagement du gouvernement dans la Stratégie. Les deux tiers des Canadiens appuient le genre d'initiatives qu'entreprend le gouvernement dans le cadre de la SFLT. D'autre part, ces initiatives reçoivent moins d'appuis chez les fumeurs et dans les segments de la population qui présentent des taux de prévalence du tabagisme supérieurs (p. ex., les Québécois, les minorités visibles et les Autochtones, les groupes dont le statut socio-économique est inférieur). Les analyses de régression indiquent que le statut de fumeur et les perceptions à l'égard de la gravité du problème sont les principaux facteurs dans l'appui de l'engagement au niveau fédéral, encore que certains Canadiens (dans une faible proportion, toutefois) ne croient tout simplement pas que les gouvernements doivent jouer un rôle dans le contrôle du tabagisme.

Environ la moitié des Canadiens sont d'avis que le gouvernement fédéral devrait maintenir son niveau actuel d'engagement au chapitre de la lutte contre le tabagisme, tandis que le tiers d'entre eux estiment que le fédéral devrait s'engager davantage dans cette lutte (cette proportion est plus importante chez ceux qui considèrent que les risques pour la santé sont graves et qu'ils s'accroissent et chez ceux dont l'estimation de la proportion de fumeurs dans la population est plus élevée). Un très faible nombre de Canadiens recommanderaient une diminution de l'engagement fédéral. Le plus souvent, les Canadiens se représentent le gouvernement fédéral participant à un partenariat paritaire avec les provinces et des organisations non gouvernementales. Autour du quart d'entre eux appuient le gouvernement fédéral dans un rôle de chef de file (il s'agit le plus souvent des personnes qui aimeraient que le gouvernement fédéral accroisse son engagement dans ce domaine).

À la façon dont le gouvernement fédéral est d'avis qu'une démarche intégrée et coordonnée permettrait à la SFLT d'être pleinement fructueuse, les Canadiens recommandent que nombre de partenaires jouent un rôle d'importance, y compris des ONG, des professionnels de la santé, les trois paliers du gouvernement et même l'industrie du tabac et la population canadienne. En fait, le niveau d'engagement et de responsabilité que font valoir les Canadiens pour l'industrie du tabac et la population en général est étonnamment élevé, tandis que dans une proportion presque équivalente, les Canadiens appuieraient l'engagement important de toutes les parties.

Dans certains domaines, le gouvernement fédéral est investi d'un mandat plus clair que les autres paliers du gouvernement et les autres partenaires. Ce sont les compétences fédérales que les Canadiens appuient le plus vivement dans les domaines de la réglementation de la fabrication et de la vente des produits du tabac ainsi qu'en ce qui concerne la contrebande. Il ressort de chacun de ces exemples que les Canadiens souhaitent peut-être que la démarche soit uniforme à l'échelle du pays et qu'ils accorderaient dans chaque cas un important rôle de soutien au gouvernement provincial. L'abandon du tabac, la prévention du tabagisme chez les jeunes et la fumée secondaire sont des domaines dans lesquels le rôle des diverses organisations n'est pas aussi bien défini. Dans ces cas-là, les Canadiens envisagent plus souvent une démarche coordonnée, à laquelle prennent part bon nombre de partenaires, et il n'y a pas de consensus clair autour de la question de savoir qui jouerait le rôle de chef de file. Dans chacun de ces domaines, les Canadiens sont plus nombreux à indiquer que le gouvernement fédéral devrait assumer la responsabilité principale qu'à appuyer d'autres organisations dans ce rôle, mais les opinions sur cette question n'en demeurent pas moins partagées.

1. INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

As a federal government program with a five-year history, the FTCS is mandated to provide evidence to the Treasury Board Secretariat (in the fall of 2006) about its usefulness, relevance, progress and cost effectiveness. This particular study is designed to gather information from the general public about the continued relevance of, and need for, the FTSC, as well as to address questions about the role of the federal government efficiency and partnerships with others. The survey also explores public perceptions of the right emphasis or mix on efforts to reach the overall population of Canadians versus more dedicated efforts to reach specific audiences.

The survey of the general public will examine the following areas:

- Is tobacco control still viewed as an important and appropriate area for the federal government to be involved in? Is it perceived to serve the public interest? Does the public see a continued need?
- Awareness in the public of federal efforts at tobacco control (and the general trends regarding incidence of smoking in general).
- Is the role that the federal government is now playing seen as a useful and appropriate one?
- What type of involvement and responsibility does the public see for other tobacco partners? What kinds of organizations does the public believe that the federal government should be involved with/creating partnerships with? For what activities and in what capacities?

1.1 METHODOLOGY

The survey included a total of 2,317 completed interviews with Canadians over the age of 15 (see Appendix A for the questionnaire). Residents of all provinces and territories were included. This survey also included an over-sample of youth and smokers. The total number of youth is 600 cases, while there are 800 smokers in the survey. The survey was conducted, by telephone, largely in late July and the first half of August 2006, although an additional 300 of the 600 youth cases (and of the total 2,317 cases in the survey file) were added in the first half of September. Telephone numbers were selected using a random digit dial (RDD) process to select households. No specific effort was made to randomize the selection of the respondent within the household. The interview required an average of 12 minutes to administer, with trained, bilingual interviewers. The response rate in the survey was 49 per cent (details in Appendix B). Twenty to 30 per cent is a typical rate of participation for a national public opinion survey.

The survey was registered with the Canadian Survey Registration Centre (CSRC). Potential respondents were also given the EKOS Research toll-free number. Fieldwork for this project was conducted by highly trained interviewers. Throughout the data collection, survey supervisors continuously monitored interviewing to ensure consistency of questionnaire administration and interviewing techniques. Up to eight call-backs were made to each member of the sample for which initial attempts at contact were unsuccessful. Follow-up calls were made on subsequent days, at varying time periods to maximize the potential for reaching a given respondent. Appointments were made for respondents wishing to reschedule a survey. Daily records were kept of all calls made, whether successful (i.e. interviews completed or appointments made) or not.

Overall survey results were weighted in the analysis to reflect population proportions in terms of gender, age, region and smoking status. In the analysis of the findings¹, results are reported overall as well as by key demographic and attitudinal sub-groups for the population overall, as well as among youth and smokers specifically. Some multivariate analysis was also conducted in an attempt to better understand how attitudes coalesce, as well as to isolate primary predictors of key outcome variables (e.g., support for a strong federal role in tobacco control).

In the report, the term "youth" described Canadians under the age of 20. Smokers include all individuals who reported that they smoke tobacco products on a regular or occasional basis. The following table provides the sample sizes for major demographic groups used in the analysis, along with the associated margin of error for each segment². It should be noted that while test of sub-group differences and linkages across variables in the survey file were exhaustive, only those that are significant at the .05 level or better (and are considered substantively of interest and significant) are described in the report. A detailed set of findings are available under separate cover to the report.

¹ Two statistical packages were used in the analyses. StatXp, the companion software to the data collection software Interviewer, was used to create banner tables for the analysis. SPSS was used for some multivariate analysis.

² The margin of error is a measure of the accuracy of the results. The margin of error indicates how far the survey's results can stray from the true value in the entire population (i.e., the finding will be accurate to within a certain number of percentage points 19 times out of 20), in each of the segments listed.


	(n)	Margin of Error*
Overall	2,317	2.0
Smoking Status		
Smoker	800	3.5
Non-smoker	1,517	2.5
Age		
Under 20	600	4.0
20-24	325	5.4
25-44	494	4.4
45 or older	886	3.3
Region		
British Columbia	294	5.7
Alberta	232	6.4
Saskatchewan & Manitoba	220	6.6
Ontario	884	3.3
Quebec	457	4.6
Atlantic Provinces	230	6.5
Gender		
Male	962	3.2
Female	1,355	2.7
Education		
High school or less	1,163	2.9
College /Some post-secondary	523	4.3
University graduate or higher	606	4.0
Income		
Less than \$20,000	230	6.5
\$20,000-\$49,000	568	4.1
\$50,000-\$79,000	416	4.8
\$80,000-\$99,000	171	7.5
\$100,000 or more	261	6.1

* Calculated at the 95 per cent confidence level. That is, the overall are considered accurate to within $\pm$ 2.0 per cent nineteen times out of twenty.

2. FINDINGS

2.1 PERCEPTION OF SERIOUSNESS AND RISK OF THE ISSUE

A strong majority of Canadians believe that the harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes is "very serious" (77 per cent) and a further 18 per cent characterize the harm as "somewhat serious". The harm caused by second-hand smoke (SHS) is also widely recognized: 68 per cent of Canadians say the harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in SHS from cigarettes that other people are smoking is very serious and 23 per cent say somewhat serious. Youth have similar ratings to the overall Canadian population, while smokers rate the harm caused by smoking and second hand smoke to be less serious compared to non-smokers.



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=2317

FTCS General Public Survey, 2006


- Women are more likely than men to characterize the harm from smoking and SHS as very serious.
- Quebecers and those with lower levels of education (high school or less) are less likely to rate the harm of smoking and SHS as serious.

- Within the youth group, again, the relationship on the basis of gender and smoking status persist. Those with a high school education or less are less apt to rate smoking as a serious health risk, though the difference in the rating of the seriousness of SHS is non-significant.
- Within the smoker population, those who are in the middle age categories (25 to 44 years) more often rate the health risks of smoking and SHS as serious compared to those who are 45 and older, as do parents. Smokers who provide a higher estimate of the proportion of Canadians who are smokers are less to characterize the health risks of smoking as serious. Women and smokers in Ontario are more likely to rate the health effects of SHS as serious.

Canadians are divided in their assessment of the evolving health risk of tobacco over time. While 35 per cent say that the general health risk to Canadians posed by tobacco has stayed about the same over the past five years, 28 per cent believe that the risk has increased and a similar proportion say the risk has decreased (six per cent don't know). Youth are more apt to say the health risk posed by tobacco has increased over the last five years compared to older age groups. Smokers more often say the risk has stayed the same compared to non-smokers.

Change in Risk

"Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years?"



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=2317

FTCS General Public Survey, 2006


- Women, those with a high school education or less and visible minorities are more likely to perceive the health risk posed by tobacco to have increased over the last five years.
- Men, Canadians 45 years of age and older and university-educated individuals are more likely to say the risk of tobacco to Canadians has decreased. Perceived risk also tends to decrease as income level increases.
- Those aged 25 to 44 and the employed are more likely to view the level of risk of tobacco as having stayed the same over the past five years.
- Considering youth only, those with a high school education or less are more likely to say the health risk posed by tobacco has increased over the past five years.
- Sub-group differences in the general public are generally replicated in the smoker group, the exception being the gender difference. As well, Aboriginal smokers are more likely than non-Aboriginal/non-visible minority smokers to perceive an increase in the health risk to Canadians posed by tobacco.

Note that the responses to the various indicators of seriousness of the health risk of tobacco and the evolving risk are highly related: individuals who believe that tobacco presents a serious health risk to smokers are also more likely to believe that SHS is a serious threat and are also more apt to characterize the health risks of tobacco to be increasing over time. Similarly, there is a positive correlation between the estimate of the proportion of Canadians who smoke and the evolution of the health risks associated with tobacco over the last five years (e.g., those who provide a higher estimate of the proportion of smokers are more apt to say risks have increased). This holds true in the youth and smoker sub-groups.

Among those who indicated that the health risk of tobacco has increased over the past five years, the most important reasons are: smoking is "everywhere"/still see smoking (36 per cent and higher among youth); youth are smoking more now (28 per cent); the cancer risks are better known now (14 per cent) and cigarettes are now more addictive (13 per cent and higher among smokers).

Reasons for Perceived Increase in Risk

"Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased?"



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.


FTCS General Public Survey, 2006

- Parents are more likely to mention the influence or awareness of the link between tobacco and cancer.
- Quebecers and rural Canadians are more likely to mention the addictive properties of cigarettes as the reason health risks are increasing.

For those saying that the risk to the health of Canadians has decreased, the main reasons are: fewer people are now smoking (45 per cent); bans on smoking in public places (41 per cent); and greater public awareness of the health risks of tobacco (30 per cent). Youth are less apt to indicate that fewer people are smoking compared to their older counterparts (especially those 45 years and older).

Reasons for Perceived Decrease in Risk

"Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has decreased?"



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=659


FTCS General Public Survey, 2006

- > Those who believe that federal government involvement in tobacco control should be curtailed or eliminated are also more likely to cite fewer people smoking as the reason why the risk of tobacco has decreased.
- > Smoking bans are more likely to be mentioned by those in the middle age category (25 to 44 years) and by those with a university education.

Canadians believe that, on average, 42 per cent of the population smokes even occasionally — far higher than the 19 per cent of Canadians who actually do smoke. Only 12 per cent indicated that 20 per cent or fewer Canadians smoke. Far more believe the smoking prevalence rate to be 21 to 39 per cent (26 per cent); between 40 and 49 per cent (15 per cent) and even as high as over 50 per cent (34 per cent). Youth provide a higher estimate of the proportion of Canadian that smoke, while smokers provide an estimate that is similar to Canadians overall.

Estimate of Percentage of Canadians Who Smoke

“About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally?”




- Across regions, residents of BC estimate the proportion of smokers to be lower compared to those in other regions, particularly Quebecers who provide the highest estimate of the proportion of smokers.
- Women assess the proportion of smokers in Canada to be higher than men, as do visible minorities and Aboriginal people. The estimated percentage of Canadians who smoke decreases as education and income increase. For example, it is estimated that an average of 46 per cent of Canadians smoke among those with a high school education or less, whereas it is 38 per cent among those reporting university degrees (although both drastically overestimate the smoking public).
- Within the youth group, women and those with a high school education or less provide a higher estimate of the proportion of Canadians who smoke.
- The relationships on the basis of age, ancestry and socio-economic status in the general public persist within the smoker group.

2.2 GOVERNMENT INVOLVEMENT IN TOBACCO CONTROL

Canadians continue to view tobacco as an important priority for government. When presented with a choice — “Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and the public focus should now be on other health issues such as obesity or wait times. Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada and so tobacco should continue to be a high priority” — two in three Canadians say that the latter statement is closer to their own point of view³. One in four say that government focus should move on to other health issues. Seven per cent say the government should focus on both/all issues. Youth are not significantly different on this issue from other Canadians. Smokers, however, are less likely than non-smokers to say that tobacco should continue to be a high government priority.

Support for Making Tobacco Control a Priority

“Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?”



- Support for a sustained government focus on tobacco is stronger in BC and in the Atlantic compared to other regions and is also higher among those who perceive the health risks associated with tobacco (smoking or SHS) to be serious, and increasing.
- Canadians with a high school education or less are somewhat more likely to say the government should now be addressing other health issues compared to those with higher levels of education.


³ The ordering of presenting the two statements was rotated over the course of data collection to ensure that responses were not influenced by ordering.

- > Within the youth group, non-smokers and those who view the health risks associated with smoking or SHS to be serious are more apt to say that tobacco should continue to be a high priority for government.
- > Among smokers, Prairie residents are less apt to say that tobacco should continue to be a high government priority, as are those 45 years of age and older and non-visible minority/non-Aboriginal smokers. Like the general public, smokers who view the health risks of smoking and SHS to be serious and believe them to have increased over the last five years are more apt to say that tobacco should continue to be a government priority.

More than three-quarters of Canadians (76 per cent) indicate that they could identify something the Government of Canada currently does in order to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians. Smokers are less apt than non-smokers to be aware of federal efforts to reduce disease and death due to tobacco.

Awareness of Government Strategy (I)

"Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians?"



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=2317

FTCS General Public Survey, 2006


- > Awareness of federal tobacco control efforts is higher among women and also increases with education and income. Rural dwellers and those who do not perceive the health risks associated with tobacco (smoking or SHS) to be serious indicate lower levels of awareness.
- > Within the youth group, only the education and income relationships found in the general public persist at the statistically significant level ($p < .05$). In addition, youth in the Atlantic are less apt to be aware of government programming, while those in Ontario are most likely to say they are aware of government action in the area.

- Among smokers, older smokers and those with a high school education are less apt to be aware of a government tobacco control strategy, while smokers who are working and non-visible minority/non-Aboriginal smokers indicate higher awareness compared to their counterparts. Smokers who perceive the health risks associated with smoking to be serious are also more likely to be aware of government action.

When asked to specify actions taken by the federal government in the area of tobacco control, Canadians were most likely to cite advertising related to the risks of smoking (47 per cent) and banning smoking in public (41 per cent), which is, in fact, largely a provincial and municipal role. A somewhat smaller proportion cited cigarette package warning labels (30 per cent); price/tax increases (21 per cent); community awareness program (17 per cent); and restricting sales to youth (10 per cent) and general restrictions on sales (nine per cent). Canadians between the age of 20-24 were less likely to cite advertising. The responses of smokers parallel those of Canadians overall. Since some of these are not federal government activities, results of the follow-up question point to an overestimation of the proportion of Canadians who actually are aware of something that the federal government does in the area of tobacco control.

Awareness of Government Strategy (II)

“What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?”



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=1730

FTCS General Public Survey, 2006


- Across regions, residents of the Prairies were more likely to name advertising the risks associated with smoking as a federal tobacco control activity, while Quebeckers were less apt to do so. As education and income increase (along with propensity to be employed), so does the proportion naming advertising as a federal tobacco control activity. Individuals who characterize the health risks of tobacco (smoking or SHS) to be serious are also more likely to mention advertising as a federal activity.

- Quebeckers and women more often named smoking bans as a federal activity. Residents of BC and those who are working are more likely than those in other regions to indicate cigarette package warning labels as a federal activity.
- Among youth specifically, youth in BC are more likely to mention cigarette package warning labels, while youth in Quebec more often mention smoking bans as a federal tobacco control activity. Also among youth, men more often cite increasing taxes/price as a federal activity, while women are more likely than men to mention smoking bans.
- Like the general public, sub-group differences among smokers tend to emerge along regional lines: those in the Prairies and BC are more likely to mention advertising the risk of smoking as a federal activity (and for the latter, community awareness programs), while Quebeckers more often mention smoking bans. Albertans are less apt to mention increases in price/taxes and a federal tobacco control activity. Those who perceive the health risks of smoking and SHS to be serious more often mention advertising compared to those who do not perceive the risks to be serious.

All respondents were told that, in fact, the federal government does have programs and legislation in place to reduce smoking-related disease and death.⁴ When asked whether this is an appropriate role for the Government of Canada (or best left to others like the provinces or not-for-profit organizations), two-thirds (67 per cent) believe the FTCS is an appropriate role for the federal government. There are no significant differences on the basis of age. Smokers are less likely than non-smokers to agree that the FTCS represents an appropriate role for the federal government.

Appropriateness of Role

"The federal government does have programs and legislation in place.
The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death...
Is this an appropriate role for the Government of Canada, or would this role be
best left up to others, like the Canadian Cancer Society?"



■ Yes, appropriate for federal government
 ■ No, left to others
 ■ DK/NR

Youth	Smokers
n=600	n=800
73	51
25	41
3	7

EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=2317

FTCS General Public Survey, 2006

- Support for this federal role is higher in BC and the Atlantic, and weaker in Quebec. Those who downplay the seriousness of the health risk of tobacco (smoking or SHS), as well as visible minorities and Aboriginal people are also less apt to believe the current FTCS is an appropriate role for the Government of Canada. Support increases with individuals' education and income levels. Individuals with children are also more likely to support the federal role.
- Within the youth group, smokers and those who perceive the health risks posed by tobacco to be serious are more likely to support the appropriateness of a government role in tobacco control.
- Among smokers, those who are 45 years and older are less apt to say the FTCS is an appropriate role for the federal government, as are those who do not view the health risks associated with tobacco to be serious.


⁴ The exact text of the question was: "The federal government does have programs and legislation in place. The current Federal Tobacco Control Strategy is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death. Health Canada leads this strategy that involves mass media campaigns, laws and regulations for the manufacture and sale of tobacco, aids for smokers to quit, along with a number of other efforts."

In the area of reducing smoking, second-hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, almost half of Canadians would like to see the federal government maintain its current level of involvement and one-third would like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement in this area. A minority — 10 per cent — would prefer the federal government reduce involvement and eight per cent would like the federal government to eliminate involvement in tobacco control altogether. Youth are more likely to favour an increasing level of government involvement compared to other age groups, while smokers are more apt than non-smokers to prefer that the government reduce or eliminate its involvement altogether.

A regression model was tested to explore linkages to the opinion that the federal government should increase its level of involvement in the area of reducing smoking, second-hand smoke and regulating tobacco. Results indicate that views about government involvement in general are likely the key drivers. The most influential elements in the model are the opinion that the provinces should play a strong role and that tobacco should be a high priority on the federal government's agenda. These predictors are followed by the perceived harm caused by second-hand smoke and the belief that the federal government should be the organization with primary responsibility in a number of areas of tobacco control. Smoker status is also strongly (negatively) associated with advocating stronger federal involvement in reducing smoking, second-hand smoke and regulating tobacco (i.e., smokers are the least likely to do so). A strong support for federal involvement is also linked to the number of Canadians one thinks smoke. On the face of it, this suggests that as people become more aware of the actual proportion of Canadians that smoke, support for strong federal involvement would decrease. A few other indicators are linked to advocating stronger federal involvement in reducing smoking, second-hand smoke and regulating tobacco, although to a much lesser degree. Women are more likely than men to advocate a strong federal involvement. Support for federal involvement is also linked to support for involvement of local or regional governments (i.e., all levels of government should be involved) and a higher level of responsibility ascribed to Canadians themselves. Appendix C presents the results of the regression model and the strength of each link to a federal involvement.

Change in Government Involvement

"In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government...?"



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=2317


FTCS General Public Survey, 2006

- Support for increasing federal involvement in tobacco control is highest in BC and among visible minorities. Those who express greater concern about the seriousness and increasing health risks associated with tobacco and who estimate a higher proportion of the population to be smokers are also more apt to say the government should increase its involvement.
- Women, those 25 to 44 years of age, the college-educated, and employed individuals prefer that the government maintain its current level of involvement. Those who believe the health risk posed by tobacco is decreasing are also more likely to favour the status quo.
- Those who do not view the health risks associated with tobacco as serious are more apt to say the federal government should reduce or eliminate involvement in the area compared to non-smokers.
- Within the youth group, those who tend to support increased federal involvement in tobacco control are non-smokers, those with a high school education or less, parents and those who believe that the health risks associated with tobacco are increasing. Reducing or eliminating involvement is more often supported by smokers and those who do not perceive smoking-related health risks to be serious.
- Among smokers, BC residents, youth and those who believe the health risks associated with tobacco are increasing are more apt to prefer increased federal involvement in tobacco control. Reducing or eliminating the federal role is supported more often by older smokers (45 years and older) and those who do not perceive the health risks associated with smoking or SHS to be serious.

With respect to the role that the federal government should play vis-à-vis other partners in tobacco control, Canadians most often prefer that the federal government be an equal partner (55 per cent). One in four (25 per cent) believe the federal government should play a leadership role, while 16 per cent say it is appropriate that the federal government play a very limited role in reducing the use of tobacco. There is no significant difference in responses on the basis of age. Smokers are more apt than non-smokers to say the federal government should play a very limited role.

Type of Role

“There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?”



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=2317

FTCS General Public Survey, 2006

- A federal leadership role is more often preferred by those who favour increased federal involvement in tobacco control.
- Individuals who express concern about the seriousness of the health risks of tobacco are more likely than others to prefer the federal government be an equal partner in tobacco control efforts.
- Quebecers are more likely than those in other regions to say that the federal government should be an equal partner and less apt to view a leadership role as appropriate.
- Those who provide a lower estimate of the proportion of Canadians who smoke and those who believe that the health risks associated with tobacco are not serious are more apt to say the federal government should play a very limited role.
- These relationships tend to be replicated in the youth group (though not consistently at the significant level — i.e., rated seriousness of the health risks of tobacco and estimate of the proportion of Canadians who smoke become non-significant in this sub-sample).

- Sub-group differences within the smoker population are similar to the general public and, in addition, older smokers are more apt to prefer a very limited role for the federal government, while smokers who are parents more often favour a leadership role compared to those who are not parents, as do men.


2.3 INVOLVEMENT OF DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS

Canadians advocate participation from a wide range of representatives in the fight to reduce the health risks of tobacco. At the top of the list, NGOs (such as the Canadian Cancer Society), health care professionals and Canadians themselves are seen as partners who should be very involved, according to 62 to 65 per cent of Canadians. Following closely behind these are the tobacco industry, and the provincial and federal governments (according to 56 to 58 per cent). Only the local or regional level of government is a less obvious choice, although even they received 50 per cent support for high involvement. There is fairly unanimous agreement that none of these partners (with the exception of perhaps the tobacco industry at 13 per cent) should be only minimally involved. Youth are more likely to advocate for government involvement (federal and provincial) to reduce the health risks of tobacco and less apt than those in other age groups to see a role for Canadians themselves and the health care professions. The gap between smokers and non-smokers is striking with respect to federal and provincial government involvement in the issue (a 21 percentage point gap between smokers and non-smokers in the proportion that indicates these partners should be very involved). Smokers are also less apt than non-smokers to indicate a role for all the other partners mentioned.

A regression model was also created to isolate the closest relationships to the opinion that the federal government should be heavily involved in reducing the health risk of smoking and second-hand smoke. Results indicate that views about government involvement in general are by far the strongest drivers. The most influential elements in the model are the opinion that provinces should play a strong role, and generally suggesting the federal government as the organization with primary responsibility in a number of areas of tobacco control. These are followed in the model by a degree of perceived harm caused by second-hand smoke. (The strength of this predictor, however, declines slightly in the presence of other variables in the model.) Being a smoker is also strongly (negatively) associated with advocating a strong federal role in tobacco control (i.e., smokers are unlikely to do so). A fifth association exists with advocating a strong role for the tobacco industry in tobacco control (i.e., those who argue for a strong role for the federal government also argue for a strong role for the tobacco industry). Support for a strong federal role is also negatively correlated with the extent to which Canadians suggest that either provincial governments or Canadians themselves should take the lead in terms of responsibility in a number of areas in tobacco control. (Appendix C presents the results of the regression model and the strength of each link to a strong federal role.)

Level of Involvement

"How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS?"



EKOS Research
Associates Inc.

n=2317

FTCS General Public Survey, 2006

- Canadians who are the most concerned about the effects of tobacco (on smokers and others, believing the risk of smoking to be increasing over time) are also the strongest advocates of heavy federal involvement.
- It is logical that individuals who think that there are more smokers than there really are in Canada argue for stronger federal involvement. While 61 per cent of Canadians who believe that more than half of the population smokes say the federal government should be highly involved, this proportion drops to 48 per cent among those who are aware that smokers currently make up less than one in four Canadians.
- The involvement of the federal government is more often argued to be strong among women, parents, and non-smokers, as well as those with the highest income (compared with each of their counterparts).
- Similar patterns exist with respect to gender in terms of involvement of NGOs. The relationships also exist for NGOs and belief in the harm and risk of smoking and the advocacy of an increasing (and appropriate) government role (in each case as one increases they advocate a larger role for NGO's).
- Men are less likely than women to advocate a strong role for health care professionals. There is also an interesting and reverse relationship with awareness of the number of smokers. Those who know that fewer than one in four Canadians smoke are more apt to advocate for a strong role for health care professionals than those who think more people smoke.
- The same relationships exist regarding involvement of Canadians themselves. Men are less apt than women to suggest heavy involvement. Involvement is also more strongly advocated

as the age of the respondent increases. It is noteworthy that the interest is also weaker in rural areas of the country. It is also interesting to see that the involvement of Canadians themselves does not depend on the number of smokers that one thinks there are in Canada (although those who are more concerned with the harm and risk, and advocate an increasing role for the federal government, are also greater advocates for heavy involvement on the part of the general public).

- Men and (to a lesser extent) the university educated are less apt than other Canadians to suggest a strong role for the tobacco industry. It is particularly noteworthy that Aboriginal people are among the most likely to say that the tobacco industry should be heavily involved (according to 67 per cent). This may be part of the reason that those Canadians who believe that more than half of Canadians smoke are particularly likely to suggest a strong involvement on the part of the tobacco industry.
- The same patterns exist among Canadians believing in the need for strong provincial involvement, although the same relationship does not exist with respect to awareness of the proportion of Canadians who smoke. This suggests that when Canadians think about larger numbers of people smoking they begin to think on a more national scale (in terms of the problem and the solution).
- It is Aboriginal people who are also among the most likely to say that local or regional governments (perhaps including Band councils on-reserve) be involved in reducing the risks of smoking and second-hand smoke. The other types of relationships (e.g., gender, belief that there are more smokers than there are, etc.) are also present in connection with regional government involvement.
- With respect to the youth population, the patterns are generally the same, with a few exceptions. By and large, some basic demographic patterns are no longer pronounced (e.g., differences by gender and income) and more regional patterns begin to emerge. Specifically:
 - ◇ ratings of the involvement of the federal government does not show any differences by gender or proportion of Canadians believed to smoke;
 - ◇ responses regarding provincial involvement shows no differences by income or perception of harm caused by tobacco or general risk to Canadians;
 - ◇ ratings of the involvement of NGOs shows no differences by gender or perception of harm or risk of tobacco. Youth in the Atlantic are most apt to emphasize NGO involvement (77 per cent);
 - ◇ no differences exist in suggested involvement of health professionals by gender or perception of how many Canadians smoke or the harm/risk caused by tobacco;
 - ◇ no differences exist in suggested involvement of the tobacco industry by gender, smoking status, perception of how many Canadians smoke or


- the harm/risk caused by tobacco, or among Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal youth. There is, however, a fairly pronounced urban/rural split, with urban youth suggesting greater industry involvement;
- ◇ emphasis on local or regional government involvement is more pronounced among BC youth and least in Quebec. No differences exist by gender or smoking status; and,
 - ◇ the involvement of Canadians themselves is no different along gender or income lines or by smoking status. Aboriginal youth are more apt to emphasise Canadians themselves than other youth, as are youth who think that a large proportion of Canadians smoke.
- In terms of the smokers specifically, the following change from the overall pattern of Canadian responses:
- ◇ suggested federal involvement no longer differs along gender or income;
 - ◇ ratings of the involvement of health professionals decreases with age (which is the reverse of the overall pattern among all Canadians);
 - ◇ the emphasis placed on the involvement of the tobacco industry is stronger than average among smokers in British Columbia and weaker among smokers in Alberta. A number of other differences (i.e., by education, Aboriginal status, awareness of incidence of smoking) disappear;
 - ◇ ratings of the involvement of local or regional governments is lower than average among smokers in Quebec, youth smokers and those living in urban areas, relative to the rest of the smoking population;
 - ◇ emphasis on the involvement of Canadians themselves is more pronounced among youth smokers than it is among smokers of other ages. The urban-rural split found in the overall population disappears among smokers.

2.4 ORGANIZATIONS WITH RESPONSIBILITY FOR DIFFERENT ELEMENTS OF TOBACCO CONTROL

Canadians hold varying opinions on who should have the primary responsibility for tobacco control, depending on the specific area of control being explored. Also, although they can often see a clear choice in terms of organization with the primary responsibility, they rarely see just one organization being involved, echoing the results presented in the previous section. Canadians were presented with six different areas of tobacco control (prevention of youth who take up of smoking, harm reduction through regulation of manufacturing and sale of tobacco products, protection through reduction of smuggling, cessation through support programs for smokers trying to quit, and protection through reduced exposure to second-hand smoke). In each case, Canadians were offered a choice of seven partners (including Canadians themselves) and were asked to select the one that should have the primary responsibility, and then any others that should also have some responsibility in the area.

Looking first at prevention, Canadians themselves are given the primary responsibility by the largest portion of the public (34 per cent). The federal government runs a close second at 24 per cent, followed by the provincial government (14 per cent). Particularly among those selecting either Canadians themselves or the federal government, the provincial government is most often selected as another partner that should have some involvement (41 per cent), followed by health care professionals (29 per cent). There were no significant differences in the youth responses. Smokers were more likely than non-smokers to suggest that Canadians themselves have the primary responsibility to reduce youth who take up of smoking.

Responsibility for Targeting Youth



The following table presents results for those selecting Canadians themselves, the three levels of government, and the tobacco industry. As shown, those advocating Canadians in the primary role most often included the federal government and health care professionals for additional roles. Among those suggesting the federal government in the primary role, typically the provincial government is also suggested as having a role, followed by Canadians themselves. Those placing the local government in the central role most often suggested Canadians themselves in a secondary role. Finally, those isolating the tobacco industry for the primary responsibility most often selected the federal government (followed by Canadians themselves) as also having responsibility.


SECONDARY ROLE (Selected 2 nd)	PRIMARY ROLE (Selected 1 st)					
	Canadians Themselves (n=762)	Federal Government (n=498)	Provincial Government (n=335)	Local/Regional Government (n=201)	Tobacco Industry (n=157)	NGO (n=133)
The federal government	32	0	38	21	55	21
Your provincial government	38	72	0	45	42	30
Your local/regional government	26	28	26	0	25	17
Non-government/non-profit organization	23	27	23	18	21	0
Health care professionals	36	28	29	25	23	31
The tobacco industry	19	23	13	10	0	6
Canadians themselves	1	32	34	36	33	39

- The federal government was selected more often for primary responsibility by Canadians with more education and those who are parents. There are also strong linkages to perceived harm, risk and role of government in general. (Those perceiving more harm from smoking and second-hand smoke, an increasing risk over time and need for greater government involvement were also more apt to select the federal government.)
- Quebecers, women, and Canadians who are over 45 years of age (relative to other Canadians) were more likely to suggest that Canadians themselves have the primary responsibility to reduce youth take-up of smoking.
- Among youth and smokers more specifically, most of the patterns of difference disappear.

With respect to harm reduction through the regulation of manufacturing of tobacco products, the federal government is seen as clearly having the primary role (at 57 per cent). On the other hand, 20 per cent of Canadians see this as being the responsibility of the tobacco industry. No other tobacco control partner is given a significant primary role in this area. Others seen as having a role are the provincial government and, to a lesser degree, the federal government, the tobacco industry and NGOs. There are no significant differences on the basis of age or smoker/non-smoker status.

Responsibility for Reducing Harm

"Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers, and then who else?"



EKOS Research Associates Inc.

FTCS General Public Survey, 2006

Those viewing the federal government as having the lead role most often select the provincial government (56 per cent) and then the tobacco industry (30 per cent) as also having a role to play. Among the Canadians suggesting that the tobacco industry has the primary role, the federal government is then most often selected as also having some responsibility (according to 57 per cent of those who picked the industry as the lead).


SECONDARY ROLE	PRIMARY ROLE	
	Federal Government (n=624)	Tobacco Industry (n=243)
The federal government	0	56
Your provincial government	56	23
Your local/regional government	15	9
Non-government/non-profit organization	19	15
Health care professionals	17	18
The tobacco industry	29	0
Canadians themselves	14	14

- Residents of Quebec, men, non-smokers, and those with higher levels of education and income are each more likely than other Canadians to suggest that the federal government have the primary role. Those who are aware that fewer than one in four Canadians smoke are also more apt to point to the federal government in this area. This is also true of those who believe that smoking and second-hand smoke are harmful, and individuals who believe that the federal government should play an increasing role in tobacco control.
- The tobacco industry is more often cited as having a primary responsibility by residents of Alberta, women, and 25 to 44 year old Canadians (although in each of these cases the federal government is still cited also twice as often). It is interesting to note that Canadians who suggest that the federal government should play a reduced role in tobacco control in general are more likely than other Canadians to say that the tobacco industry should be the primary regulator of manufacturing.
- It is noteworthy that Aboriginal people are considerably more likely than others to suggest that Canadians themselves should have the primary responsibility for regulating manufacturing (16 per cent).
- It is also of interest to note that Canadians who believe that the federal role should be eliminated entirely from tobacco control in general are more apt than others to advocate Canadians themselves in the primary role in product regulation.
- Among youth more specifically, most of the patterns of difference disappear.
- Among smokers some of the demographic patterns disappear, although there are still differences by gender and perception of the harm and risk of tobacco and the appropriateness of the federal role.

In a slightly different area from regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products, respondents were also asked about who should have the primary responsibility for regulating the sales of tobacco products. The results, however, are virtually the same as they are for regulation of manufacturing products, with 61 per cent advocating the federal government in the primary role, and the provincial government as also having some (but not the primary) responsibility (51 per cent). As with the results regarding manufacturing, those who selected the federal government in the driver seat on regulating sales, largely selected the provincial government as a secondary (and vice versa) source of responsibility. There are no significant differences among youth and smokers.

Responsibility for Regulating Sales


"Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products, and then who else?"



- > The federal government is more often assigned the lead role by Quebecers, as well as those who believe the risk of tobacco to be decreasing, and individuals who believe that tobacco control is an appropriate federal role to be playing, compared with other Canadians. Residents of British Columbia are more apt to see their provincial government in the lead role, as is also the case with youth.
- > There is a greater tendency for Aboriginal people to assign responsibility to Canadians themselves (15 per cent do) than non-Aboriginal people (although the majority of Aboriginal people still assign the lead role to the federal government).
- > Among youth more specifically, most of the patterns of difference disappear.
- > Among smokers most of the demographic patterns still hold.

The federal government is even more of an obvious (and singular) choice for holding the primary responsibility for reducing smuggling (according to 73 per cent of Canadians). Provincial governments are the only other possibility, but running a distant second at 11 per cent. On the other hand, provincial governments are seen as another partner that should have some responsibility (based on responses from 59 per cent of individuals). No others stand out. Most of those who singled out the federal government for primary responsibility also indicated provincial governments as another responsible party. Similarly, among those who suggest provincial governments in a primary role, also suggested involvement from federal governments. It is interesting to note that even in an area such as this six per cent of respondents believe that Canadians themselves should have the primary responsibility. The response of the youth and smoker sub-groups are similar to those of their respective counterparts.


Responsibility for Reducing Smuggling



- Canadians living in British Columbia and the Atlantic provinces, as well as urban dwellers are more likely than other residents across the country to put the federal government in the driver seat in the area of reducing smuggling. This tendency also increases with education and income, as well as among those who are aware than fewer than one in four Canadians currently smoke. This is also the case with people who think that the risk from tobacco is decreasing over time, but who (nonetheless) think that the Government of Canada should be increasing its role.
- Among youth more specifically, most of the patterns of difference disappear.
- Among smokers some of the demographic patterns disappear, although there are still differences by perception of the harm and risk of tobacco and the appropriateness of the federal role, and rural smokers still place a greater degree of emphasis on a provincial role compared with urban smokers.

Cessation, through support programs and activities designed to help smokers quit, is an area many partners are seen as having some level of responsibility for. In terms of primary responsibility, the federal government is at the fore with 30 per cent. This is followed closely by provincial governments, NGOs and health care professionals. A similar picture is presented with regard to possible partners with additional responsibility with provincial governments in the lead at 39 per cent. There are no significant differences on the basis of age or smoker/non-smoker status.

Responsibility for Cessation




In cases where the federal government is suggested for the lead responsibility, many other partners are suggested for additional responsibility (although provincial governments top the list) (see table below). When provincial governments are indicated as the lead, virtually all partners are suggested equally for additional responsibility (which is also the case when Canadians themselves are suggested in the lead role). When NGOs are suggested as the lead, the federal government and health care professionals are suggested equally in a secondary role. Although few selected the tobacco industry as having the primary responsibility, among those who did, about half suggested the federal government as also having a role to play.

SECONDARY ROLE (Selected 2 nd)	PRIMARY ROLE (Selected 1 st)				
	Federal Government (n=636)	Provincial Government (n=397)	Non-gov./ Non-Profit Organizations (n=357)	Canadians Themselves (n=262)	Tobacco Industry (n=108)
The federal government	0	34	32	38	52
Your provincial government	69	0	38	40	31
Your local/regional government	28	25	22	23	9
Non-government/non-profit organization	34	33	0	33	23
Health care professionals	34	31	39	38	17
The tobacco industry	19	11	12	21	0
Canadians themselves	24	24	27	0	17

- The federal (primary) role is more popular among Canadians reporting the highest household income levels (\$100, 000 or more). It is also suggested more often among those who see a need for increasing federal involvement (and think of it as an appropriate federal role).
- A provincial lead is more commonly advocated in Quebec and among the university-educated (and those reporting the highest household incomes) than elsewhere in Canada.
- Among youth more specifically, most of the patterns of difference disappear.
- Among smokers most of the demographic patterns disappear, although there are still differences by perception of the harm and risk of tobacco and the appropriateness of the federal role, and employed smokers still place a greater degree of emphasis on the federal government compared with those not employed.

The issue of second-hand smoke is an interesting one. Although more suggest a lead role for the federal government (34 per cent), a fair number also advocate provincial governments or Canadians themselves in the lead role (24 and 21 per cent, respectively). Provincial governments are typically selected for some level of responsibility, even if it is not always the primary role. Forty-one per cent of those that selected someone else in the lead responsibility also picked provincial governments for some level of involvement, followed by Canadians themselves and local or regional government. In the case of second-hand smoke, when the federal government is not selected for primary responsibility, it is only the fourth most popular choice for any responsibility. Youth responses do not differ significantly on this item from other age groups. Smokers are less apt than non-smokers to select the federal or provincial governments as having primary responsibility. It is also smokers who more often than non-smokers suggest that Canadians themselves should take the lead on reducing second-hand smoke.

Responsibility for Reducing Second-Hand Smoke



Among those selecting the federal government in the lead role, most (73 per cent) also selected provincial governments for some involvement (see table below). Among those selecting provincial governments in the lead role, the federal government is tied with local governments and Canadians themselves for additional responsibility. Where respondents selected local governments for the primary responsibility, provincial governments and Canadians themselves are selected for additional responsibility more often than the federal government.

SECONDARY ROLE (Selected 2 nd)	PRIMARY ROLE (Selected 1 st)			
	Federal Government (n=730)	Provincial Government (n=550)	Canadians Themselves (n=508)	Local Government (n=259)
The federal government	0	39	33	24
Your provincial government	73	0	33	48
Your local/regional government	34	35	23	0
Non-government/non-profit organization	19	18	16	17
Health care professionals	18	16	18	15
The tobacco industry	14	10	11	8
Canadians themselves	29	36	0	38

- Provincial (primary) responsibility is more often suggested by Canadians with the highest levels of education and income.
- There are only minimal regional differences, although fewer residents of Alberta place the primary emphasis on the federal government (with more placing it at the regional or local level). A slightly larger proportion of Ontarians suggest that Canadians have the primary responsibility and the federal role is given marginally stronger emphasis in Quebec.
- Both levels of government are selected for the lead role more often by people who think that smoking is harmful. Only the federal government is selected, however, for primary responsibility by those who think that second-hand smoke is harmful (and those who would like to see the federal government increase its role, and see it as one that is appropriate).
- Canadians who do not see tobacco as harmful, would like to see the federal role eliminated and do not see it as an appropriate federal role, are more likely to suggest that Canadians themselves should have the primary responsibility in this area.
- Among youth specifically, there are no longer any differences by income or gender, although the other patterns still hold. Aboriginal youth are also more likely than non-Aboriginal youth to suggest involvement from the tobacco industry (although to a much smaller degree).
- Among smokers most of the demographic patterns disappear, although there are still differences by perception of the harm and risk of tobacco and the appropriateness of the federal role.

APPENDIX A
QUESTIONNAIRE
(ENGLISH AND FRENCH)

Hello, my name is _____ and I work for Ekos Research Associates.
 We are conducting a survey for Health Canada to obtain the views of Canadians
 16 years of age or older on a variety of issues. All of your responses to the
 survey are completely confidential and no personal information will be provided
 to Health Canada or any other organization as a result of this survey.
 The interview will take about 10 minutes. Is now a good time?

****NOTE: THEY MUST BE UNDER 25 YEARS OLD OR SMOKERS TO DO THE SURVEY SO IF YOU
 GET KICKED OUT OF THE SURVEY, CODE QF.**

@F6 @intro
 Notes
 @NOT1
 @NOT2
 @NOT3
 @NOT4
 @not5
 @not6

29:

RECAL

=> * if IF((ROT5=#1),1,2)

in public places 1
 in workplaces 2

30:

SMK7S

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every
 day, occasionally, or not at all?

Not at all 1
 Occasionally 2
 Every day 3
 DK/NR 9

31:

SMKRS

=> * if IF((SMK7S=#2,#3),1,2)

Smoking status

Smoker 1
 Non-smoker 2

32:

SMK2S

=> +1 if NOT (SMKRS=#1)

PROBE FOR PRECISE NUMBER PER DAY IF TOLD ABOUT # OF "PACKS"

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

DK/NR 99

33:

SMK9S

=> +1 if SMKRS=#1

Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?

Yes..... 1
No 2
DK/NR..... 9

34:

SMR2S

=> * if IF((SMKRS=#1),1,IF((SMK9S=#1),2,3))

Smoking status

Smoker..... 1
Past smoker..... 2
Non-smoker 3

35:

AGEXS

IF HESITANT MOVE ONTO NEXT QUESTION

In what year were you born? NOTE: ANSWER THE FULL YEAR, I.E. 1977 as "1977"

HESITANT..... 9999

36:

AGEYS

=> +1 if NOT (AGEXS=#1)

May I place your age into one of the following general age categories?

Under 25 01
25-34 years 02
35-44 years 03
45-54 years 04
55-64 years 05
65 years or older 06
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR 99

37:

AGES

=> * if IF((AGEXS>1981 AND AGEXS<1991),1,IF((AGEXS>1971 AND AGEXS<1982),2,IF((AGEXS>1961 AND AGEXS<1972),3,IF((AGEXS>1951 AND AGEXS<1962),4,IF((AGEXS>1941 AND AGEXS<1952),5,IF((AGEXS>=1900 AND AGEXS<1942),6,AGEYS))))))

Computed age

Under 25 01
25-34 years 02
35-44 years 03
45-54 years 04
55-64 years 05
65 years or older 06
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR 99

38:

SEX

=> INT if SMR2S=#2-#3 AND AGES=#2-#7

DO NOT ASK

Record gender of respondent

Male..... 1
Female..... 2

40:

SERPR

Please tell me if you think that each of the following is very, somewhat, not very or not at all serious...

41:

SER2

The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes

Not at all serious..... 1
Not very serious..... 2
Somewhat serious..... 3
Very serious..... 4
Don't know 8
No response 9

42:

SER3

The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

Not at all serious..... 1
Not very serious..... 2
Somewhat serious..... 3
Very serious..... 4
Don't know 8
No response 9

43:

RISK2

Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years?

Increased..... 1
Stayed the same 2
Decreased 3
Don't know 8
No response 9

44:

WHYI

=> +1 if NOT (RISK2=#1)

DO NOT READ LIST

- b Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased?
- Youth smoking more now 01
- See it/smoking everywhere (GENERAL VIEWS: PERVASIVE, AVAILABLE...) 02
- Hear more about someone with cancer/disease these days (INCLUDES AGING POPULATION, HEALTH ISSUES...) 03
- Other (specify) 77 O
- DK/NR 99 X
- CIGARETTES ARE MORE ADDICTIVE/MORE CHEMICALS/MORE ADDITIVES 04 N
- MEDIA REPORTS 05 N
- INCREASED STRESS IN PEOPLE'S LIVES/JOBS 06 N
- GOVERNMENTAL BANS/LEGISLATION/POLICIES/REACTIONS 07 N

45:

WHYD

=> +1 if NOT (RISK2=#3)

DO NOT READ LIST

- c Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has decreased?
- Higher awareness in public now about risks/MESSAGE/ADVERTISING GETTING THROUGH 01
- See/know fewer and fewer people smoking these days/MORE PEOPLE QUITTING (GENERAL VIEWS) 02
- Smoking not allowed in public places anymore/GVERNMENTAL LEGISLATION 03
- Other (specify) 77 O
- DK/NR 99 X
- PRICE/INCREASE IN TAXES 04 N

46:

SMPER

- 8 About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally?
- DK/NR 999

47:

CONT2

=> +1 if NOT (ROT7=#1)

- 9 Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues such as obesity or wait times. Other people say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada and so tobacco should continue to be a high priority. From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?
- Yes 1
- No 2
- (DO NOT READ) Focus on both/all issues 3
- (DO NOT READ) Don't know 8
- (DO NOT READ) No response 9

48:

CNT2B

=> +1 if NOT(ROT7=#2)

Some people say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada and so tobacco should continue to be a high priority. Other people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues such as obesity or wait times. From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?

Yes..... 1
 No..... 2
 (DO NOT READ) Focus on both/all issues..... 3
 (DO NOT READ) Don't know 8
 (DO NOT READ) No response..... 9

49:

AWAR

Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians?

Yes..... 1
 No..... 2
 DK/NR..... 9

50:

AWAR2

=> +1 if NOT(AWAR=#1)

DO NOT READ LIST

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

Ban smoking in public places..... 01
 Advertising about risk of smoking 02
 Warning labels of packages..... 03
 Increase prices/higher taxes..... 04
 Restriction on sales of cigarettes to youth..... 05
 General restrictions on sales of tobacco products..... 07
 Community/educational (school) awareness programs(INCLUDES STOP SMOKING CAMPAIGNES AND HOT LINES)..... 06
 Other (specify)..... 77 O
 (DO NOT READ) Don't know 98 X
 (DO NOT READ) No response..... 99 X

51:

FTCS

13

The federal government does have programs and legislation in place. The current Federal Tobacco Control Strategy is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death. Health Canada leads this strategy that involves mass media campaigns, laws and regulations for the manufacture and sale of tobacco, aids for smokers to quit, along with a number of other efforts. Is this an appropriate role for the Government of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the provinces or not for profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society?

- 1 - Yes, appropriate for federal government
- 2 - No, better left to others
- 9 - DK/NR

@FTCS

52:

INVOL

14

In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether.

- Increase involvement..... 1
- Maintain involvement..... 2
- Reduce involvement..... 3
- Eliminate involvement..... 4
- DK/NR..... 9

53:

PARTN

READ LIST

15

There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?

- A leadership role..... 1
- An equal partner with the provinces and not for profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society..... 2
- A very limited role, assisting and/or coordinating with the provinces and not for profit organizations..... 3
- DK/NR..... 9

54:

MNVO

16 a-s

There are a variety of organizations that have an interest in tobacco and health. Please indicate how much involvement you feel each of the following organizations or groups of individuals should have in reducing the health risks of smoking and second hand smoke. Please use a 7-point scale where 1 is not all involved, 7 is extremely involved and 4 is somewhat.

55:

INVO1

How involved...should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS

The federal government

1. Not at all involved 1
2 2
3 3
4. Somewhat involved 4
5 5
6 6
7. Extremely involved 7
DK/NR 9

56:

INVO2

How involved...should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS

The provincial government

1. Not at all involved 1
2 2
3 3
4. Somewhat involved 4
5 5
6 6
7. Extremely involved 7
DK/NR 9

57:

INVO3

How involved...should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS

Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society

1. Not at all involved 1
2 2
3 3
4. Somewhat involved 4
5 5
6 6
7. Extremely involved 7
DK/NR 9

58:

INVO4

How involved...should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS

Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

1. Not at all involved 1
2 2
3 3
4. Somewhat involved 4
5 5
6 6
7. Extremely involved 7
DK/NR 9

59:

INVO5

How involved...should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS

The tobacco industry

- 1. Not at all involved 1
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4. Somewhat involved 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7. Extremely involved..... 7
- DK/NR..... 9

60:

INVO6

How involved...should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS

Your local or regional government, such as the health board

- 1. Not at all involved 1
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4. Somewhat involved 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7. Extremely involved..... 7
- DK/NR..... 9

61:

INVO7

How involved...should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS

Canadians themselves

- 1. Not at all involved 1
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4. Somewhat involved 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7. Extremely involved..... 7
- DK/NR..... 9

63:

RESP

Next, I would like you to think about the organization that should be responsible for different activities. For each one I will ask you which one you think should have the main responsibility and then which other organizations, if any, should also have some responsibility...

64:

RESP1

=> +1 if NOT(ROT1=#1)

ACCEPT ONLY 1 ANSWER

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example. Should it be.....(read list)/ Would you like me to read the list again?

The federal government..... 01
 Your provincial government..... 02
 Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
 ----- 04
 Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
 Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
 ----- 07
 The tobacco industry 08
 ----- 09
 Canadians themselves..... 10
 DK/NR..... 99 X

65:

RSP1B

=> +1 if NOT(RSP1=#1-#10)

ACCEPT ALL THAT APPLY

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. Would you like me to read the list again? Is there anyone else?

The federal government..... 01
 Your provincial government..... 02
 Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
 ----- 04
 Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
 Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
 ----- 07
 The tobacco industry 08
 ----- 09
 Canadians themselves..... 10
 DK/NR..... 99 X

18abc

66:

RESP2

ACCEPT ONLY 1 ANSWER

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking Should it be....(read list) / Would you like me to read the list again?

- 9 The federal government..... 01
 Your provincial government..... 02
 Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
 04
 Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
 Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
 07
 The tobacco industry 08
 09
 Canadians themselves..... 10
 DK/NR..... 99 X

67:

RSP2B

=> +1 if NOT(RESP2=#1-#10)

ACCEPT ALL THAT APPLY

b Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking Would you like me to read the list again? / Is there anyone else? c

- The federal government..... 01
 Your provincial government..... 02
 Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
 04
 Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
 Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
 07
 The tobacco industry 08
 09
 Canadians themselves..... 10
 DK/NR..... 99 X

68:

RESP3

=> +1 if NOT(ROT3=#1)

ACCEPT ONLY 1 ANSWER

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes Should it be....(read list) / Would you like me to read the list again?

- a
- The federal government..... 01
 - Your provincial government..... 02
 - Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
 - 04
 - Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
 - Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
 - 07
 - The tobacco industry 08
 - 09
 - Canadians themselves..... 10
 - DK/NR..... 99 X

69:

RSP3B

=> +1 if NOT(ESP3=#1-#10)

ACCEPT ALL THAT APPLY

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes Would you like me to read the list again? Is there anyone else? ✓

- b
- The federal government..... 01
 - Your provincial government..... 02
 - Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
 - 04
 - Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
 - Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
 - 07
 - The tobacco industry 08
 - 09
 - Canadians themselves..... 10
 - DK/NR..... 99 X

70:

RESP4

ACCEPT ONLY 1 ANSWER

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit. Should it be....(read list) / Would you like me to read the list again?

- The federal government..... 01
- Your provincial government..... 02
- Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
- 04
- Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
- Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
- 07
- The tobacco industry 08
- 09
- Canadians themselves..... 10
- DK/NR..... 99 X

71:

RSP4B

=> +1 if NOT(RESP4=#1-#10)

ACCEPT ALL THAT APPLY

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit. Would you like me to read the list again? Is there anyone else? C

- The federal government..... 01
- Your provincial government..... 02
- Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
- 04
- Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
- Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
- 07
- The tobacco industry 08
- 09
- Canadians themselves..... 10
- DK/NR..... 99 X

72:

RESP5

ACCEPT ONLY 1 ANSWER

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke <recal > Should it be ...(read list) / Would you like me to read the list again?

- 2/abc
a
- The federal government..... 01
 - Your provincial government..... 02
 - Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
 - 04
 - Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
 - Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
 - 07
 - The tobacco industry 08
 - 09
 - Canadians themselves..... 10
 - DK/NR..... 99 X

73:

RSP5B

=> +1 if NOT(RESP5=#1-#10)

ACCEPT ALL THAT APPLY

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke <recal >. Would you like me to read the list again? Is there anyone else?

- b
- The federal government..... 01
 - Your provincial government..... 02
 - Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
 - 04
 - Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
 - Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
 - 07
 - The tobacco industry 08
 - 09
 - Canadians themselves..... 10
 - DK/NR..... 99 X

74:

RESP6

=> +1 if NOT(ROT6=#1)

ACCEPT ONLY 1 ANSWER

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products. Should it be ...(read list) / Would you like me to read the list again?

- The federal government..... 01
- Your provincial government..... 02
- Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
- 04
- Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
- Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
- 07
- The tobacco industry 08
- 09
- Canadians themselves..... 10
- DK/NR..... 99 X

75:

RSP6B

=> +1 if NOT(ESP6=#1-#10)

ACCEPT ALL THAT APPLY

- Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products. Would you like me to read the list again? Is there anyone else?
- The federal government..... 01
- Your provincial government..... 02
- Your local or regional government (if asked - health board)..... 03
- 04
- Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society 05
- Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists 06
- 07
- The tobacco industry 08
- 09
- Canadians themselves..... 10
- DK/NR..... 99 X

77:

BACK

Now I have just have a few background questions to complete the survey.

83:

HOU

read list

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

- One person, living alone 01
 Single, with child/children 02
 A married or common-law couple, without children 03
 A married or common-law couple, with children 04
 Single, without children, living with roommate(s) 05
 Single, without children, living with family/ parents 06
 Other (please specify) 77 O
 DK/NR 99

84:

KID1A

=> +1 if NOT (HOU=#2,#4,#7)

READ LIST

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

- under 2 1
 2-6 2
 7-12 3
 13-17 4
 18 or over 5
 (DO NOT READ) Do not have any children 8 X
 (DO NOT READ) DK/NR 9 X

85:

EDU20

What is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?

- Some high school or less 01
 High school graduate 02
 Some college 03
 Community/Technical college or CEGEP graduate 04
 Private college graduate 05
 Some university 06
 Bachelor's degree 07
 Graduate degree 08
 DK/NR 99

86:

EMPL

What is your current employment status?

Self-employed.....	01
Employed full-time.....	02
Employed part-time/seasonal/contract.....	03
Unemployed and looking.....	04
Unemployed and not looking.....	05
Student.....	06
Retired	07
Leave (maternity, disability).....	08
Homemaker	09
Other (specify).....	77 O
DK/NR.....	99

87:

INCM

read list

What is your annual HOUSEHOLD income from all sources before taxes? Is it...(read list)

<\$20,000.....	1
\$20,000-\$29,999.....	2
\$30,000-\$39,999.....	3
\$40,000-\$49,999.....	4
\$50,000-\$59,999.....	5
\$60,000-\$79,999.....	6
\$80,000-\$99,999.....	7
\$100,000 or more.....	8
DK/NR.....	9

91:

MINOR

READ LIST, CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY

Do you consider yourself to beread list PROMPT IF NECESSARY: A member of a visible minority by virtue of your race or colour

A member of a visible minority.....	1
An Aboriginal person	2
(DO NOT READ) None.....	8 X
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	9 X

92:

THNK

Thank you for completing our survey!

Completion	1 D
------------------	-----

Bonjour. Je m'appelle ____ et je travaille pour les Associés de recherche Ekos. Nous faisons un sondage pour Santé Canada afin de connaître l'opinion de Canadiens âgés de 16 ans et plus sur divers sujets. Toutes vos réponses au sondage seront traitées de façon absolument confidentielle et aucun renseignement personnel ne sera transmis à Santé Canada ni à aucune autre organisation à la suite de ce sondage. L'entrevue devrait prendre environ 10 minutes. Pouvons-nous la faire maintenant?

@F6 @intro

Notes

@NOT1

@NOT2

@NOT3

@NOT4

@not5

@not6

29:

RECAL

=> * si IF((ROT5=#1),1,2)

dans les lieux publics..... 1
au travail..... 2

30:

SMK7S

Est-ce que vous fumez présentement la cigarette (manufacturer ou que vous roulez vous-même) tous les jours, à l'occasion ou pas du tout?

Pas du tout..... 1
A l'occasion..... 2
Tous les jours..... 3
NSP/PDR..... 9

31:

SMKRS

=> * si IF((SMK7S=#2,#3),1,2)

État de fumeur ou non-fumeur

Fumeur..... 1
Non-fumeur..... 2

32:

SMK2S

=> +1 si NOT (SMKRS=#1)

CHERCHER NOMBRE PRÉCIS PAR JOUR SI LA RÉPONSE EST UN # DE "PAQUETS"

En moyenne, combien de cigarettes fumez-vous par jour?
NSP/PDR..... 99

33:

SMK9S

=> +1 si	SMKRS=#1
----------	----------

Avez-vous fumé au moins 100 cigarettes au cours de votre vie?

Oui.....	1
Non.....	2
NSP/PDR.....	9

34:

SMR2S

=> * si	IF((SMKRS=#1),1,IF((SMK9S=#1),2,3))
---------	-------------------------------------

État de fumeur ou non-fumeur

Fumeur.....	1
Ancien fumeur.....	2
Non-fumeur.....	3

35:

AGEXS

EN CAS D'HÉSITATION PASSER A LA QUESTION SUIVANTE

En quelle année êtes-vous né? NOTE: INSCRIRE L'ANNÉE AU COMPLET, P. EX., "1977"

HÉSITANT..... 9999

36:

AGEYS

=> +1 si	NOT (AGEXS=#1)
----------	----------------

Puis-je vous situer dans l'un des groupes d'âges suivants?

Moins de 25 ans.....	01
25-34 ans.....	02
35-44 ans.....	03
45-54 ans.....	04
55-64 ans.....	05
65 ans ou plus.....	06
(NE PAS LIRE) NSP/PDR.....	99

37:

AGES

=> * si	IF((AGEXS>1981 AND AGEXS<1991),1,IF((AGEXS>1971 AND AGEXS<1982),2,IF((AGEXS>1961 AND AGEXS<1972),3,IF((AGEXS>1951 AND AGEXS<1962),4,IF((AGEXS>1941 AND AGEXS<1952),5,IF((AGEXS>=1900 AND AGEXS<1942),6,AGEYS))))))I
---------	---

Computed age

Moins de 25 ans.....	01
25-34 ans.....	02
35-44 ans.....	03
45-54 ans.....	04
55-64 ans.....	05
65 ans ou plus.....	06
(NE PAS LIRE) NSP/PDR.....	99

38:

SEX

=> INT si AGES=#2-#7

NE PAS DEMANDER

Inscrire le sexe du répondant

Homme 1

Femme 2

40:

SERPR

Dites-moi s'il vous plaît si vous croyez que ce qui suit est très, assez, pas tellement ou pas du tout sérieux...

41:

SER2

Les méfaits causés à la santé des fumeurs par la cigarette

Pas du tout sérieux 1

Pas tellement sérieux 2

Assez sérieux 3

Très sérieux 4

Je ne sais pas 8

Pas de réponse 9

42:

SER3

Les méfaits causés à la santé des non-fumeurs par la fumée secondaire provenant des cigarettes fumées par d'autres personnes

Pas du tout sérieux 1

Pas tellement sérieux 2

Assez sérieux 3

Très sérieux 4

Je ne sais pas 8

Pas de réponse 9

43:

RISK2

Diriez-vous que le risque pour la santé des Canadiens en général posé par le tabac a augmenté, a diminué ou est resté à peu près le même au cours des 5 dernières années?

A augmenté 1

Est resté le même 2

A diminué 3

Je ne sais pas 8

Pas de réponse 9

44:

WHYI

=> +1 si NOT (RISK2=#1)

NE PAS LIRE LA LISTE

Pourquoi le niveau de risque du tabac pour la santé a-t-il augmenté, selon vous?

Les jeunes fument davantage maintenant 01

On fume partout..... 02

On entend plus souvent parler de nos jours de quelqu'un qui a le cancer/est malade03

Autre réponse (préciser) 77 O

NSP/PDR..... 99 X

45:

WHYD

=> +1 si NOT (RISK2=#3)

NE PAS LIRE LA LISTE

Pourquoi le niveau de risque du tabac pour la santé a-t-il diminué, selon vous?

Le public est plus au courant des risques maintenant 01

On voit/connait de moins en moins de gens qui fument de nos jours..... 02

Il n'est plus permis de fumer dans les lieux publics..... 03

Autre réponse (préciser) 77 O

NSP/PDR..... 99 X

46:

SMPER

Selon vous, quel est le pourcentage de tous les Canadiens qui fument, même à l'occasion?

NSP/PDR..... 999

47:

CONT2

=> +1 si NOT(ROT7=#1)

Certains disent que les taux de tabagisme diminuent au Canada et qu'il faut maintenant attirer l'attention du public sur d'autres problèmes de santé comme l'obésité ou les temps d'attente. D'autres disent qu'il y a encore 5 millions de fumeurs au Canada et que le tabac doit donc demeurer une priorité importante. A votre avis, le tabac doit-il demeurer une forte priorité pour le gouvernement?

Oui..... 1

Non..... 2

(NE PAS LIRE) Attirer l'attention sur les deux/ tous les problèmes 3

(NE PAS LIRE) Je ne sais pas..... 8

(NE PAS LIRE) Pas de réponse 9

48:

CNT2B

=> +1 si NOT(ROT7=#2)

Certains disent qu'il y a encore 5 millions de fumeurs au Canada et que le tabac doit donc demeurer une priorité importante. D'autres disent que les taux de tabagisme diminuent au Canada et qu'il faut maintenant attirer l'attention du public sur d'autres problèmes de santé comme l'obésité ou les temps d'attente. A votre avis, le tabac doit-il demeurer une forte priorité pour le gouvernement?

- Oui 1
 Non 2
 (NE PAS LIRE) Attirer l'attention sur les deux/ tous les problèmes 3
 (NE PAS LIRE) Je ne sais pas 8
 (NE PAS LIRE) Pas de réponse 9

49:

AWAR

Pouvez-vous nommer quelque mesure que ce soit actuellement prise par le gouvernement du Canada pour réduire les maladies et les décès associés au tabac chez les Canadiens?

- Oui 1
 Non 2
 NSP/PDR 9

50:

AWAR2

=> +1 si NOT(AWAR=#1)

NE PAS LIRE LA LISTE

Que fait actuellement le gouvernement du Canada pour réduire les maladies et les décès associés au tabac chez les Canadiens?

- Interdiction de fumer dans les lieux publics 01
 Publicité sur les risques associés au tabac 02
 Avertissements sur les paquets de cigarettes 03
 Hausse des prix/taxes 04
 Restriction sur la vente de cigarettes aux jeunes 05
 Restrictions générales sur la vente des produits du tabac 07
 Programmes communautaires/scolaires de sensibilisation 06
 Autre réponse (préciser) 77 O
 (NE PAS LIRE) Je ne sais pas 98 X
 (NE PAS LIRE) Pas de réponse 99 X

51:

FTCS

Le gouvernement fédéral dispose bel et bien de programmes et de mesures législatives. Présentement, la Stratégie fédérale de lutte contre le tabagisme est une initiative destinée à réduire les maladies et décès liés au tabagisme. Santé Canada est le chef de file de cette stratégie qui comporte des campagnes médiatiques, des lois et règlements sur la fabrication et la vente de tabac, des moyens pour aider les fumeurs à abandonner la cigarette et diverses autres mesures. Ce rôle convient-il au gouvernement du Canada ou faudrait-il plutôt laisser ce rôle à d'autres comme aux provinces ou à des organisations sans but lucratif, comme à la Société canadienne du cancer?

1 - Oui, convient au gouvernement fédéral

2 - Non, le laisser à d'autres

9 - NSP/PDR

@FTCS

52:

INVOL

Pour ce qui est de réduire le tabagisme et la fumée secondaire et de réglementer le tabac, voudriez-vous qu'à l'avenir le gouvernement fédéral augmente son engagement, le maintienne à son niveau actuel, réduise son engagement ou élimine carrément son engagement.

Augmente son engagement..... 1

Maintienne son niveau actuel d'engagement 2

Réduise son engagement 3

Elimine son engagement..... 4

NSP/PDR..... 9

53:

PARTN

LIRE LA LISTE

Il y a plusieurs partenaires qui démontrent un intérêt à vouloir réduire la consommation de tabac. Parmi les rôles suivants, lequel est le plus approprié selon vous pour le gouvernement fédéral?

Un rôle de chef de file 1

Un partenariat à égalité avec les provinces et les organisations sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer..... 2

Un rôle très limité de soutien et/ou de coordination avec les provinces et les organisations sans but lucratif 3

NSP/PDR..... 9

54:

MNVO

Diverses organisations ont un intérêt en matière de tabagisme et de santé. Dites-moi s'il vous plaît dans quelle mesure les organisations ou groupes de personnes ci-dessous devraient s'engager en vue de réduire les risques pour la santé provenant du tabagisme et de la fumée secondaire. Veuillez répondre selon une échelle de 7 points où 1 signifie qu'ils ne devraient pas du tout s'engager, 7, qu'ils devraient s'engager énormément et 4, s'engager moyennement.

55:

INVO1

(Mesure où...devrai(en)t s'engager en vue de réduire les risques pour la santé provenant du tabagisme et de la fumée secondaire) Le gouvernement fédéral

1. Pas du tout s'engager	1
2	2
3	3
4. S'engager moyennement	4
5	5
6	6
7. S'engager énormément	7
NSP/PDR	9

56:

INVO2

(Mesure où...devrai(en)t s'engager en vue de réduire les risques pour la santé provenant du tabagisme et de la fumée secondaire) Le gouvernement provincial

1. Pas du tout s'engager	1
2	2
3	3
4. S'engager moyennement	4
5	5
6	6
7. S'engager énormément	7
NSP/PDR	9

57:

INVO3

(Mesure où...devrai(en)t s'engager en vue de réduire les risques pour la santé provenant du tabagisme et de la fumée secondaire) Les organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer

1. Pas du tout s'engager	1
2	2
3	3
4. S'engager moyennement	4
5	5
6	6
7. S'engager énormément	7
NSP/PDR	9

58:

INVO4

(Mesure où...devrai(en)t s'engager en vue de réduire les risques pour la santé provenant du tabagisme et de la fumée secondaire) Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes

1. Pas du tout s'engager	1
2.....	2
3.....	3
4. S'engager moyennement	4
5.....	5
6.....	6
7. S'engager énormément.....	7
NSP/PDR.....	9

59:

INVO5

(Mesure où...devrai(en)t s'engager en vue de réduire les risques pour la santé provenant du tabagisme et de la fumée secondaire) L'industrie du tabac

1. Pas du tout s'engager	1
2.....	2
3.....	3
4. S'engager moyennement	4
5.....	5
6.....	6
7. S'engager énormément.....	7
NSP/PDR.....	9

60:

INVO6

(Mesure où...devrai(en)t s'engager en vue de réduire les risques pour la santé provenant du tabagisme et de la fumée secondaire) Votre administration locale ou régionale, comme votre agence de santé

1. Pas du tout s'engager	1
2.....	2
3.....	3
4. S'engager moyennement	4
5.....	5
6.....	6
7. S'engager énormément.....	7
NSP/PDR.....	9

61:

INVO7

(Mesure où...devrai(en)t s'engager en vue de réduire les risques pour la santé provenant du tabagisme et de la fumée secondaire) Les citoyens eux-mêmes

1. Pas du tout s'engager	1
2.....	2
3.....	3
4. S'engager moyennement.....	4
5.....	5
6.....	6
7. S'engager énormément.....	7
NSP/PDR.....	9

63:

RESP

Et maintenant, j'aimerais savoir quelle organisation devrait, selon vous, avoir la responsabilité de diverses activités. Pour chacune, je vais vous demander à qui devrait revenir la responsabilité principale et quelles autres organisations devraient, le cas échéant, avoir une certaine responsabilité...

64:

RESP1

=> +1 si	NOT(ROT1=#1)
----------	--------------

ACCEPTER UNE SEULE REPONSE

Selon vous, qui devrait avoir la PRINCIPALE responsabilité pour ce qui est de réglementer la fabrication des produits du tabac en vue de réduire les méfaits causés aux fumeurs? Il pourrait s'agir, par exemple, de travaux de recherche en vue de diminuer la teneur en nicotine des cigarettes. Est-ce que ça devrait être...(lire la liste)/Voulez-vous que je relise liste?

Le gouvernement fédéral.....	01
Votre gouvernement provincial	02
Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé).....	03
.....	04
Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer	05
Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes	06
.....	07
L'industrie du tabac.....	08
.....	09
Les citoyens eux-mêmes.....	10
NSP/PDR.....	99 X

65:

RSP1B

=> +1 si NOT(Resp1=#1-#10)

ACCEPTER TOUTE REPONSE PERTINENTE

Qui d'autre devrait avoir une responsabilité pour ce qui est de réglementer la fabrication des produits du tabac en vue de réduire les méfaits causés aux fumeurs? Voulez-vous que je relise la liste? Y en a-t-il d'autres?

- Le gouvernement fédéral..... 01
- Votre gouvernement provincial 02
- Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé)03
- 04
- Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer 05
- Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes 06
- 07
- L'industrie du tabac..... 08
- 09
- Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... 10
- NSP/PDR..... 99 X

66:

RESP2

ACCEPTER UNE SEULE REPONSE

Selon vous, qui devrait avoir la PRINCIPALE responsabilité pour ce qui est de réduire le nombre de jeunes qui commencent à fumer? Est-ce que ça devrait être... (lire la liste)/ Voulez-vous que je relise la liste?

- Le gouvernement fédéral..... 01
- Votre gouvernement provincial 02
- Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé)03
- 04
- Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer 05
- Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes 06
- 07
- L'industrie du tabac..... 08
- 09
- Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... 10
- NSP/PDR..... 99 X

67:

RSP2B

=> +1 si NOT(Resp2=#1-#10)

ACCEPTER TOUTE REPONSE PERTINENTE

Qui d'autre devrait avoir une responsabilité pour ce qui est de réduire le nombre de jeunes qui commencent à fumer? Voulez-vous que je relise la liste? Y en a-t-il d'autres?

- Le gouvernement fédéral 01
- Votre gouvernement provincial 02
- Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé) 03
- 04
- Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer 05
- Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes 06
- 07
- L'industrie du tabac..... 08
- 09
- Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... 10
- NSP/PDR..... 99 X

68:

RESP3

=> +1 si NOT(ROT3=#1)

ACCEPTER UNE SEULE REPONSE

Selon vous, qui devrait avoir la PRINCIPALE responsabilité pour ce qui est de réduire la contrebande de cigarettes? Est-ce que ça devrait être... (lire la liste)/ Voulez-vous que je relise la liste?

- Le gouvernement fédéral 01
- Votre gouvernement provincial 02
- Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé) 03
- 04
- Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer 05
- Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes 06
- 07
- L'industrie du tabac..... 08
- 09
- Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... 10
- NSP/PDR..... 99 X

69:

RSP3B

=> +1 si NOT(Resp3=#1-#10)

ACCEPTER TOUTE REPONSE PERTINENTE

Qui d'autre devrait avoir une responsabilité pour ce qui est de réduire la contrebande de cigarettes? Voulez-vous que je relise la liste? Y en a-t-il d'autres?

Le gouvernement fédéral..... 01

Votre gouvernement provincial..... 02

Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé)03

..... 04

Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer 05

Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes 06

..... 07

L'industrie du tabac..... 08

..... 09

Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... 10

NSP/PDR..... 99 X

70:

RESP4

ACCEPTER UNE SEULE REPONSE

Selon vous, qui devrait avoir la PRINCIPALE responsabilité des programmes et activités en vue de réduire le nombre de fumeurs, comme les programmes pour aider les fumeurs à cesser de fumer? Est-ce que ça devrait être... (lire la liste)/ Voulez-vous que je relise la liste?

Le gouvernement fédéral..... 01

Votre gouvernement provincial..... 02

Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé)03

..... 04

Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer 05

Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes 06

..... 07

L'industrie du tabac..... 08

..... 09

Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... 10

NSP/PDR..... 99 X

71:

RSP4B

=> +1 si	NOT(RESP4=#1-#10)
----------	-------------------

ACCEPTER TOUTE REPONSE PERTINENTE

Qui d'autre devrait avoir une responsabilité pour ce qui est des programmes et activités en vue de réduire le nombre de fumeurs, comme les programmes pour aider les fumeurs à cesser de fumer? Voulez-vous que je relise la liste? Y en a-t-il d'autres?

- | | | |
|---|----|---|
| Le gouvernement fédéral | 01 | |
| Votre gouvernement provincial | 02 | |
| Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé)03 | | |
| | 04 | |
| Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer | 05 | |
| Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes | 06 | |
| | 07 | |
| L'industrie du tabac..... | 08 | |
| | 09 | |
| Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... | 10 | |
| NSP/PDR..... | 99 | X |

72:

RESP5

ACCEPTER UNE SEULE REPONSE

Selon vous, qui devrait avoir la PRINCIPALE responsabilité pour ce qui est de réduire l'exposition des Canadiens à la fumée secondaire <recal >? Est-ce que ça devrait être... (lire la liste)/ Voulez-vous que je relise la liste?

- | | | |
|---|----|---|
| Le gouvernement fédéral | 01 | |
| Votre gouvernement provincial | 02 | |
| Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé)03 | | |
| | 04 | |
| Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer | 05 | |
| Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes | 06 | |
| | 07 | |
| L'industrie du tabac..... | 08 | |
| | 09 | |
| Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... | 10 | |
| NSP/PDR..... | 99 | X |

73:

RSP5B

=> +1 si NOT(Resp5=#1-#10)

ACCEPTER TOUTE REPONSE PERTINENTE

Qui d'autre devrait avoir une responsabilité pour ce qui est de réduire l'exposition des Canadiens à la fumée secondaire? Voulez-vous que je relise la liste? Y en a-t-il d'autres?

- Le gouvernement fédéral 01
- Votre gouvernement provincial 02
- Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé) 03
- 04
- Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer 05
- Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes 06
- 07
- L'industrie du tabac..... 08
- 09
- Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... 10
- NSP/PDR..... 99 X

74:

RESP6

=> +1 si NOT(ROT6=#1)

ACCEPTER UNE SEULE REPONSE

Selon vous, qui devrait avoir la PRINCIPALE responsabilité pour ce qui est de réglementer la vente des produits du tabac? Est-ce que ça devrait être... (lire la liste)/ Voulez-vous que je relise la liste?

- Le gouvernement fédéral 01
- Votre gouvernement provincial 02
- Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé) 03
- 04
- Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer 05
- Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes 06
- 07
- L'industrie du tabac..... 08
- 09
- Les citoyens eux-mêmes..... 10
- NSP/PDR..... 99 X

75:

RSP6B

=> +1 si NOT(RESP6=#1-#10)

ACCEPTER TOUTE REPONSE PERTINENTE

Qui d'autre devrait avoir une responsabilité pour ce qui est de réglementer la vente des produits du tabac? Voulez-vous que je relise la liste? Y en a-t-il d'autres?

Le gouvernement fédéral	01
Votre gouvernement provincial	02
Votre administration locale ou régionale (si on le demande - votre agence de santé)	03
.....	04
Des organisations non gouvernementales ou sans but lucratif comme la Société canadienne du cancer	05
Les professionnels de la santé comme les médecins, infirmières et dentistes	06
.....	07
L'industrie du tabac	08
.....	09
Les citoyens eux-mêmes	10
NSP/PDR	99 X

77:

BACK

Il me reste quelques questions personnelles avant de terminer le sondage.

83:

HOU

read list

Lequel des ménages suivants décrit le mieux celui dans lequel vous vivez?

Une personne seule	01
Célibataire, avec enfant(s)	02
Couple marié ou en union de fait, sans enfant	03
Couple marié ou en union de fait, avec enfant(s)	04
Célibataire, sans enfant, vivant avec colocataire(s)	05
Célibataire, sans enfant, vivant avec famille/ parents	06
Autre réponse (veuillez préciser)	77 O
NSP/PDR	99

84:

KID1A

=> +1 si NOT (HOU=#2,#4,#7)

LIRE LA LISTE

Avez-vous des enfants dans les groupes d'âges suivants?

moins de 2 ans	1
2-6 ans	2
7-12 ans	3
13-17 ans	4
18 ans et plus	5
(NE PAS LIRE) DO NOT HAVE ANY CHILDREN	8 X
(NE PAS LIRE) NSP/PDR	9 X

85:**EDU20**

Quel est le plus haut niveau de scolarité que vous avez atteint?

Un peu d'école secondaire ou moins.....	01
Diplôme d'études secondaires.....	02
Un peu d'études collégiales.....	03
Diplôme d'un collège communautaire/technique ou CEGEP	04
Diplôme d'un collège privé.....	05
Un peu d'études universitaires	06
Baccalauréat	07
Diplôme d'études supérieures	08
NSP/PDR.....	99

86:**EMPL**

Quelle est votre situation d'emploi actuelle?

Travailleur autonome.....	01
Employé à temps plein	02
Employé à temps partiel/saisonnier/à contrat	03
Sans emploi et qui en cherche	04
Sans emploi et qui n'en cherche pas	05
Etudiant	06
Retraité	07
En congé (de maternité, d'invalidité)	08
Personne au foyer	09
Autre réponse (préciser)	77 O
NSP/PDR.....	99

87:**INCM****LIRE LA LISTE**

Quel est le revenu annuel de votre MÉNAGE, de toutes sources, avant impôts?

<20,000\$.....	1
20,000\$-29,999\$.....	2
30,000\$-39,999\$.....	3
40,000\$-49,999\$.....	4
50,000\$-59,999\$.....	5
60,000\$-79,999\$.....	6
80,000\$-99,999\$.....	7
100,000\$ ou plus	8
NSP/PDR.....	9

91:**MINOR****LIRE LA LISTE, RETENIR TOUTE RÉPONSE PERTINENTE**

Considérez-vous que vous appartenez à l'un des groupes suivants? SUGGÉRER AU BESOIN: Membre d'une minorité visible en raison de votre race ou de la couleur de votre peau

Membre d'une minorité visible	1
Autochtone	2
(NE PAS LIRE) Aucun	8 X
(NE PAS LIRE) NSP/PDR.....	9 X

92:

THNK

Merci d'avoir répondu à notre sondage

Complet 1 D

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE RATE

Exhibit 1
Call Results and Response Rate Table

Total Numbers Accepted		53497
Total out of scope		11326
Numbers not in service	9355	
Business or non residential lines	1890	
Duplicates	17	
Numbers blocked by Phone companies	64	
Total Unresolved		8249
Busy , no answers, Answering machines	6168	
Retired, called 10 times without success	2081	
Total In-scope Non-responding		13327
Language difficulty	777	
Other	167	
Unavailable	45	
Household refusals	12238	
Break offs	100	
Total In-scope Responding units		20595
Completes	2317	
Ineligible	2437	
Quota Filled	15841	
Response Rate		48.8%

APPENDIX C
REGRESSION ANALYSIS

	DEPENDENT VARIABLES	
	Dependent variable "How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS: The federal government"	Dependent variable "In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate?"
Linear Regression Model (Stepwise Entry Method)		
	Standardized Coefficients (Betas)	
How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS: The provincial government	.608*	-.251*
Count of responsibilities for Federal Government	.222*	-.150*
How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking	.063*	-.164*
Categorical dummy variable Smoking Status: Smoker versus Non-smoker	-.076*	.124*
How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS: The tobacco industry	-.074*	---
Count of responsibilities for Provincial Government	-.077*	---
Count of responsibilities for Canadians Themselves	-.050*	.038
About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally?	.049*	-.093*
Categorical dummy variable Priority: Tobacco should be a high government priority versus Not/Focus on both	.047*	-.183*
How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS: Canadians themselves	.044*	---
How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS: Your local or regional government, such as the health board	---	-.073*
Count of responsibilities for Tobacco Industry	-.043*	---
Count of responsibilities for Local Government	.037	---
Categorical dummy variable Gender: Male versus Female	---	-.062*
Adjusted R Square	0.63	0.37

* Significant at 0.01 level

--- Insignificant



Public Perceptions of the Relevance and Progress of Tobacco Control in Canada

DETAILED TABLES
(GENERAL PUBLIC, SMOKERS, YOUTH)

POR-06-10
H1011-06-0009

Submitted to:
Health Canada

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES INC.
November 14, 2006

DETAILED TABLES GENERAL PUBLIC SURVEY

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Ottawa Office
99 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1100
Ottawa, Ontario
K1P 6L7
Tel: (613) 235 7215
Fax: (613) 235 8498
E-mail: pobox@ekos.com

Toronto Office
480 University Avenue, Suite 1006
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1V2
Tel: (416) 598 8002
Fax: (416) 598 2543
E-mail: toronto@ekos.com

Edmonton Office
9925 109th St. NW, Suite 606
Edmonton, Alberta
T5K 2J8
Tel: (780) 408 5225
Fax: (780) 408 5233
E-mail: edmonton@ekos.com

Table of Contents

WPROV	Page: 1
WAGE	Page: 1
WSEX	Page: 1
WSMOK	Page: 1
How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes SER2	Page: 2
How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking SER3	Page: 6
Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years? RISK2	Page: 10
Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased? WHYI	Page: 12
Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has decreased? WHYD	Page: 16
About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally? SMPER	Page: 18
Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority? CONT	Page: 20
Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians? AWAR	Page: 22
What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians? AWAR2	Page: 24
The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the FTCS	Page: 28
In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether. INVOL	Page: 30

Table of Contents

There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?

PARTN Page: 32

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The federal government

INV01 Page: 34

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The provincial government

INV02 Page: 38

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society

INV03 Page: 42

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

INV04 Page: 46

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The tobacco industry

INV05 Page: 50

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

INV06 Page: 54

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Canadians themselves

INV07 Page: 58

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

RESP1 Page: 62

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

RSP1B Page: 66

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

RESP2 Page: 70

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 2

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 2

Table of Contents

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

RSP2B Page: 74

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

RESP3 Page: 78

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

RSP3B Page: 82

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

RESP4 Page: 86

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

RSP4B Page: 90

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

RESP5 Page: 94

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

RSP5B Page: 98

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

RESP6 Page: 102

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

RSP6B Page: 106

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

SM7 Page: 110

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

SMK2 Page: 112

Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?

SM9 Page: 114

Smoking status

SMST2 Page: 116

Age of respondent

AGE Page: 118

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 3

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 3

Table of Contents

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

HOU Page: 122

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

KID1A Page: 126

What is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?

EDU20 Page: 130

What is your current employment status?

EMPL Page: 134

What is your annual HOUSEHOLD income from all sources before taxes?

INCM Page: 138

Do you consider yourself to be

MINOR Page: 142

Observed gender of respondent

SEX Page: 144

Provincial indicator (NOTE: Territories are collapsed in BC)

PROVI Page: 146

Imported rural/urban indicator

RURAL Page: 150

	TOTAL
WPROV	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317
Unweighted n:	2317
British Columbia	13.4%
Alberta	9.6%
Saskatchewan	3.2%
Manitoba	3.6%
Ontario	38.1%
Quebec	24.3%
New Brunswick	2.5%
Nova Scotia/PEI	3.5%
Newfoundland	1.8%
WAGE	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2305
Unweighted n:	2305
<25	15.2%
25-34	17.7%
35-44	21.4%
45-54	28.1%
55-64	11.7%
65+	15.8%
WSEX	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317
Unweighted n:	2317
Male	49.0%
Female	51.0%
WSMOK	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317
Unweighted n:	2317
Smoker	20.1%
Non-smoker	79.9%

FTCS General Public Survey (0946)

06/11/03

How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes

	Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SER2																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	5%	2%	6%	3%	11%	2%	6%	2%	4%	7%	4%	3%	7%	2%
SERIOUS (3 4)	95%	97%	95%	96%	94%	94%	98%	94%	96%	88%	97%	94%	97%	96%	93%	96%	97%	93%	98%
Not at all serious	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Not very serious	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	2%	8%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	6%	1%
Somewhat serious	18%	14%	13%	15%	17%	29%	10%	25%	12%	32%	15%	20%	22%	13%	31%	19%	20%	17%	13%
Very serious	77%	83%	82%	81%	77%	66%	87%	69%	84%	56%	82%	73%	75%	83%	62%	77%	77%	75%	84%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:								.01		.01		.01			.05				
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
Mean:	3.72	3.80	3.76	3.77	3.72	3.59	3.84	3.62	3.81	3.41	3.79	3.65	3.73	3.79	3.53	3.72	3.73	3.67	3.80
Std. dev:	0.585	0.490	0.592	0.533	0.576	0.665	0.471	0.649	0.499	0.790	0.492	0.675	0.501	0.508	0.698	0.585	0.535	0.651	0.514
Student's t:		.01				.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01				.01

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
SER2								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	4%	5%	3%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%
SERIOUS (3 4)	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	96%	95%	95%
Not at all serious	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Not very serious	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%
Somewhat serious	18%	20%	20%	23%	17%	17%	18%	17%
Very serious	77%	75%	76%	71%	79%	79%	77%	78%
Don't know	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
Mean:	3.72	3.70	3.71	3.64	3.76	3.74	3.72	3.73
Std dev:	0.585	0.602	0.591	0.640	0.526	0.574	0.573	0.588
Student's t:	*	-	-	.10	-	-	-	-

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SER2										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	9%	4%	5%	4%
SERIOUS (3 4)	95%	97%	94%	95%	95%	95%	91%	96%	94%	95%
Not at all serious	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Not very serious	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	8%	3%	4%	3%
Somewhat serious	18%	17%	19%	20%	16%	20%	20%	18%	23%	17%
Very serious	77%	79%	75%	76%	78%	74%	71%	78%	71%	78%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		.05		-		(.05)			-	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
Mean:	3.72	3.75	3.69	3.71	3.73	3.69	3.60	3.73	3.66	3.73
Std dev:	0.585	0.544	0.616	0.580	0.588	0.609	0.693	0.567	0.614	0.576
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	-	-	-	.05	.05	.01	.01

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SER2																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	4%	4%	3%	5%	0%	100%	2%	30%	2%	6%	4%	2%	2%	12%	19%	2%	9%
SERIOUS (3 4)	95%	95%	96%	95%	100%	0%	98%	68%	98%	92%	96%	98%	98%	87%	78%	98%	90%
Not at all serious	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	31%	0%	10%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	4%	7%	1%	3%
Not very serious	3%	4%	2%	3%	0%	69%	1%	20%	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%	7%	12%	1%	6%
Somewhat serious	18%	17%	20%	17%	19%	0%	17%	40%	13%	22%	21%	10%	19%	30%	34%	15%	26%
Very serious	77%	78%	76%	78%	81%	0%	82%	28%	86%	71%	76%	88%	78%	56%	43%	83%	64%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
No response	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:					(.01)		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
Mean:	3.72	3.73	3.72	3.72	3.81	1.69	3.80	2.88	3.83	3.63	3.71	3.86	3.76	3.42	3.18	3.81	3.52
Std dev:	0.585	0.565	0.554	0.603	0.396	0.467	0.462	0.948	0.445	0.678	0.564	0.418	0.494	0.805	0.910	0.465	0.742
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 5

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 10

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SER3																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)		8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	11%	5%	11%	4%	19%	5%	10%	6%	6%	11%	7%	8%	5%	5%
							+++		+++	---	---	---	+++		-		++			
SERIOUS (3 4)		91%	91%	92%	89%	92%	88%	93%	87%	95%	78%	94%	88%	93%	93%	88%	92%	90%	94%	95%
							--		---	---	---	+++	---	+	++	--				+
Not at all serious		2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	7%	1%	4%	0%	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%	2%
Not very serious		5%	5%	4%	7%	5%	8%	4%	8%	3%	13%	4%	6%	5%	5%	8%	5%	8%	1%	3%
Somewhat serious		23%	21%	19%	18%	21%	31%	22%	26%	20%	35%	20%	25%	22%	22%	26%	25%	22%	20%	22%
Very serious		68%	70%	73%	71%	71%	57%	71%	60%	75%	43%	74%	63%	71%	71%	62%	67%	69%	74%	72%
Don't know		1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
No response		0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		.10						.01		.01		.01		.10					
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
	Mean:	3.58	3.64	3.62	3.62	3.63	3.43	3.66	3.46	3.70	3.17	3.69	3.50	3.66	3.64	3.48	3.58	3.60	3.65	3.66
	Std dev:	0.706	0.655	0.738	0.694	0.675	0.772	0.630	0.792	0.591	0.908	0.605	0.782	0.604	0.653	0.789	0.695	0.661	0.708	0.631
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.10	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	.05	-	-	-	.10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 6

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 11

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
SER3								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	392	282	222
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	8%	4%	7%	6%	6%	8%	15%	9%
SERIOUS (3 4)	91%	95%	92%	94%	93%	91%	84%	88%
Not at all serious	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	3%	3%
Not very serious	5%	3%	5%	2%	5%	6%	12%	6%
Somewhat serious	23%	30%	27%	24%	23%	24%	20%	19%
Very serious	68%	65%	65%	70%	70%	68%	64%	69%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.01						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
Mean:	3.58	3.60	3.58	3.60	3.65	3.58	3.46	3.59
Std dev:	0.706	0.620	0.662	0.708	0.611	0.710	0.829	0.752
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.10	-	.01	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 7

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 12

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SER3										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	8%	7%	9%	8%	7%	6%	11%	8%	10%	7%
SERIOUS (3 4)	91%	93%	89%	91%	91%	92%	88%	91%	88%	92%
Not at all serious	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	2%
Not very serious	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	10%	5%	6%	5%
Somewhat serious	23%	20%	26%	23%	23%	22%	27%	23%	21%	24%
Very serious	68%	73%	64%	68%	68%	70%	61%	68%	67%	68%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%
No response	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.05		-		-			.10	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
Mean:	3.58	3.65	3.53	3.58	3.60	3.63	3.50	3.59	3.55	3.59
Std dev:	0.706	0.661	0.737	0.706	0.691	0.645	0.713	0.706	0.769	0.687
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 8

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 13

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SER3																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
	NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	8%	9%	8%	6%	6%	56%	0%	100%	4%	12%	7%	3%	5%	22%	31%	4%	17%
	SERIOUS (3 4)	91%	90%	91%	93%	94%	35%	100%	0%	95%	87%	92%	97%	95%	76%	63%	95%	80%
	Not at all serious	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	33%	0%	30%	1%	5%	2%	1%	1%	4%	15%	1%	6%
	Not very serious	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%	23%	0%	70%	3%	7%	6%	2%	4%	17%	16%	3%	12%
	Somewhat serious	23%	23%	24%	21%	23%	17%	25%	0%	18%	24%	28%	13%	26%	36%	34%	21%	27%
	Very serious	68%	67%	68%	73%	70%	18%	75%	0%	77%	63%	64%	84%	69%	39%	29%	74%	54%
	Don't know	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	4%	0%	2%
	No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
	Mean:	3.58	3.54	3.58	3.65	3.64	2.22	3.75	1.70	3.74	3.46	3.55	3.81	3.63	3.13	2.82	3.70	3.31
	Std dev:	0.71	0.76	0.70	0.66	0.62	1.14	0.43	0.46	0.54	0.83	0.68	0.49	0.61	0.86	1.05	0.57	0.89
	Student's t:	*	-	-	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 9

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 14

Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years?

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RISK2																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
Increased	28%	26%	27%	25%	27%	30%	30%	23%	32%	19%	30%	33%	28%	21%	33%	32%	26%	20%	20%
Stayed the same	35%	34%	37%	37%	37%	34%	27%	35%	35%	43%	33%	33%	37%	35%	36%	33%	35%	40%	40%
Decreased	31%	32%	32%	34%	31%	28%	39%	37%	26%	34%	31%	28%	26%	39%	26%	27%	34%	37%	38%
Don't know	6%	7%	4%	5%	5%	7%	4%	4%	7%	4%	6%	5%	7%	5%	7%	4%	3%	2%	2%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-						(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RISK2								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Increased	28%	49%	38%	26%	25%	27%	23%	21%
Stayed the same	35%	30%	31%	47%	36%	34%	31%	29%
Decreased	31%	17%	26%	22%	32%	33%	41%	42%
Don't know	6%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	6%	8%
No response	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 10

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 15

Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years?

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural Urban
RISK2									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523 1791
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551 1762
Increased	28%	29%	27%	26%	31%	41%	36%	25%	27% 28%
Stayed the same	35%	35%	35%	35%	29%	30%	35%	36%	32% 36%
Decreased	31%	29%	33%	29%	34%	23%	25%	33%	32% 31%
Don't know	6%	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%	8% 5%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% 0%
Chi-Squared:				(.01)		(.01)		(.05)	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4 2

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RISK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Increased		28%	20%	24%	44%	29%	11%	29%	13%	100%	0%	0%	41%	23%	14%	21%	29%	26%
Stayed the same		35%	32%	38%	35%	34%	53%	33%	54%	0%	100%	0%	27%	37%	44%	48%	33%	41%
Decreased		31%	43%	33%	15%	32%	27%	31%	29%	0%	0%	100%	25%	35%	36%	24%	33%	28%
Don't know		6%	5%	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	2%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	5%	7%	5%	5%
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)				(.01)		(.01)		.01			(.01)				(.05)	
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 11

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 16

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased?

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
WHY?	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	645	81	61	39	241	170	53	266	380	87	558	304	174	160	59	185	126	38	62
	Unweighted n:	738	91	80	60	284	145	78	287	451	180	558	450	154	126	77	194	122	48	56
Youth smoking more now		28%	38%	27%	21%	30%	24%	30%	32%	26%	20%	30%	32%	28%	24%	31%	32%	21%	41%	20%
See it/smoking everywhere		36%	30%	31%	41%	41%	30%	51%	41%	33%	33%	37%	35%	35%	40%	30%	33%	36%	34%	58%
Hear more about cancer		14%	15%	7%	9%	15%	18%	9%	10%	17%	14%	14%	11%	15%	19%	10%	14%	15%	13%	18%
Cigarets more addictive		13%	11%	17%	14%	9%	22%	5%	9%	16%	22%	12%	12%	14%	13%	18%	14%	12%	4%	14%
Media reports		3%	0%	6%	0%	3%	0%	9%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	0%	4%	3%	0%	1%
Increased stress in life		2%	4%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Gov't bans/legislation		2%	0%	0%	9%	3%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	4%	2%	0%	4%	2%	0%	0%
Other		2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	4%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	6%	2%
DK/NR		11%	12%	18%	6%	9%	14%	11%	10%	12%	18%	10%	12%	12%	9%	20%	9%	16%	6%	2%
Chi-Squared:			(.01)						(.05)		(.05)					(.05)				
+/- points around 50%:		4	10	11	13	6	8	11	6	5	7	4	5	8	9	11	7	9	14	13

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 12

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 17

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
WHYI	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	645	118	42	107	125	115	61	75
	Unweighted n:	738	299	128	56	68	89	56	40
Youth smoking more now		28%	40%	24%	24%	22%	31%	28%	29%
			+++						
See it/smoking everywhere		36%	42%	48%	36%	38%	36%	21%	31%
			++	+++				--	
Hear more about cancer		14%	4%	16%	12%	18%	18%	16%	20%

Cigaretts more addictive		13%	5%	8%	8%	19%	16%	14%	21%
			---	-		+			
Media reports		3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	3%	6%
Increased stress in life		2%	1%	0%	3%	0%	1%	4%	2%
Gov't bans/legislation		2%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	0%	2%
			--	-	++++				
Other		2%	3%	2%	1%	0%	4%	8%	0%
								+++	
DK/NR		11%	16%	14%	16%	14%	5%	9%	3%
			++				--		-
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
	+/- points around 50%:	4	6	9	13	12	10	13	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 13

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 18

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
WHYI	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	645	310	336	367	273	122	37	483	140	505
	Unweighted n:	738	275	463	392	339	154	66	515	165	570
Youth smoking more now		28%	27%	30%	27%	31%	29%	28%	29%	32%	27%
See it/smoking everywhere		36%	35%	37%	37%	36%	36%	35%	36%	29%	38%
Hear more about cancer		14%	19%	10%	14%	14%	13%	15%	15%	10%	15%
Cigarets more addictive		13%	14%	13%	14%	12%	5%	8%	15%	21%	11%
Media reports		3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	0%	3%	6%	2%
Increased stress in life		2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Gov't bans/legislation		2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	8%	0%	1%	1%	3%
Other		2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%
DK/NR		11%	12%	11%	12%	11%	18%	20%	10%	11%	12%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)		-		(.01)		++	(.01)	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	6	5	5	5	8	12	4	8	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 14

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 19

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased?

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not Serious	Serious	Not Serious	Increase	Same	Decrease	Increase	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
WHYI	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	645	62	294	228	635	10	616	23	645	0	0	306	260	32	39	450	165
	Unweighted n:	738	60	303	318	716	20	699	33	738	0	0	355	279	45	49	504	198
	Youth smoking more now	28%	31%	28%	28%	29%	22%	28%	25%	28%	0%	0%	29%	28%	32%	26%	30%	24%
	See it/smoking everywhe	36%	42%	35%	40%	36%	58%	36%	48%	36%	0%	0%	40%	33%	32%	34%	36%	40%
	Hear more about cancer	14%	13%	17%	11%	14%	16%	15%	9%	14%	0%	0%	13%	17%	10%	6%	15%	12%
	Cigaretts more addictive	13%	15%	15%	8%	13%	7%	13%	25%	13%	0%	0%	9%	17%	15%	22%	13%	13%
	Media reports	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	2%	10%	5%	2%	4%
	Increased stress in life	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	1%	3%
	Gov't bans/legislation	2%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	8%
	Other	2%	6%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%
	DK/NR	11%	8%	9%	15%	12%	9%	12%	5%	11%	0%	0%	13%	10%	7%	13%	13%	9%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)										(.05)				(.01)	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	13	6	5	4	22	4	17	4	*	*	5	6	15	14	4	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 15

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 20

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has decreased?

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
WHYD	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	724	99	72	54	270	161	70	419	305	156	568	256	162	302	47	156	167	73	117	
	Unweighted n:	659	87	59	66	252	117	78	314	345	260	399	270	138	245	61	145	134	55	98	
Higher public awareness		30%	26%	24%	26%	29%	38%	32%	31%	30%	28%	31%	31%	38%	26%	22%	34%	32%	26%	18%	
Fewer people smoking		45%	52%	39%	48%	43%	39%	53%	45%	44%	49%	43%	48%	40%	44%	50%	40%	39%	41%	48%	
Smoking not allowed		41%	42%	49%	38%	43%	37%	35%	39%	43%	33%	43%	32%	45%	47%	29%	40%	45%	50%	54%	
Price/increase in taxes		3%	1%	2%	5%	5%	2%	4%	5%	2%	5%	3%	5%	2%	3%	6%	3%	6%	1%	2%	
Other		2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	4%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	
DK/NR		2%	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	0%	3%	2%	
Chi-Squared:			-						-		-	(.01)			(.10)		-				
+/- points around 50%:		4	11	13	12	6	9	11	6	5	6	5	6	6	8	6	13	8	8	13	10

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
WHYD	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	724	40	29	91	158	138	112	152
	Unweighted n:	659	99	81	43	95	127	115	95
	Higher public awareness	30%	46%	35%	28%	33%	30%	28%	26%
	Fewer people smoking	45%	21%	25%	31%	43%	46%	54%	54%
	Smoking not allowed	41%	43%	47%	61%	45%	40%	39%	27%
	Price/increase in taxes	3%	6%	8%	4%	1%	3%	1%	7%
	Other	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%
	DK/NR	2%	7%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
	+/- points around 50%:	4	10	11	15	10	9	9	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 16

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 21

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Urban
WHYD									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	724	305	419	414	301	70	26	627	169
Unweighted n:	659	244	415	364	285	63	33	561	163
Higher public awareness	30%	29%	31%	33%	26%	21%	44%	30%	36%
Fewer people smoking	45%	44%	45%	42%	49%	35%	35%	46%	43%
Smoking not allowed	41%	46%	37%	45%	35%	38%	39%	42%	36%
Price/increase in taxes	3%	2%	5%	2%	5%	1%	4%	4%	5%
Other	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	6%	1%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-		(.01)	++	++	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	6	5	5	6	12	17	4	8

		Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
WHYD																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	724	132	402	77	697	27	665	54	2	0	722	190	400	80	43	512	177
	Unweighted n:	659	129	345	96	624	34	586	66	1	0	658	166	349	83	54	445	183
	Higher public awareness	30%	26%	29%	34%	30%	27%	31%	18%	0%	0%	30%	37%	30%	21%	17%	33%	24%
	Fewer people smoking	45%	60%	40%	39%	45%	24%	43%	64%	0%	0%	45%	42%	41%	60%	64%	44%	48%
	Smoking not allowed	41%	32%	46%	38%	41%	30%	42%	33%	100%	0%	41%	42%	46%	27%	22%	42%	36%
	Price/increase in taxes	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	12%	3%	6%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	7%	7%	3%	5%
	Other	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	7%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	26%	2%	7%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2%	4%	6%	2%	3%
	Chi-Squared:		(.05)			(.01)	++++	--	++	--	--	(.01)				+		
	+/- points around 50%:	4	9	5	10	4	17	4	12	98	*	4	8	5	11	13	5	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 17

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 22

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SMPER	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
less than 25%		13%	17%	17%	13%	14%	9%	13%	17%	10%	15%	13%	10%	11%	18%	8%	11%	14%	18%	19%
25-50%		52%	54%	51%	53%	52%	53%	49%	56%	49%	50%	53%	47%	57%	54%	45%	48%	62%	58%	62%
over 50%		22%	13%	18%	26%	25%	24%	25%	17%	27%	23%	22%	28%	22%	16%	29%	27%	15%	21%	12%
DK/NR		12%	15%	14%	9%	9%	15%	13%	10%	14%	12%	12%	14%	10%	12%	18%	14%	9%	3%	6%
Chi-Squared:			.01				++		..	++		..	++	..	++	++	++	..	..	..
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
Mean:		158	183	176	130	130	184	165	138	177	154	158	178	134	149	218	183	129	68	95
Std dev:		312	346	336	275	277	338	320	292	330	308	313	329	282	308	364	337	281	164	232
Student's t:		*	-	-	-	.01	.05	-	.01	.01	-	-	.01	.05	-	.01	.01	-	.01	.01

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
SMPER	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
less than 25%		13%	10%	8%	8%	14%	19%	15%	14%
25-50%		52%	39%	52%	58%	53%	57%	53%	48%
over 50%		22%	46%	35%	28%	22%	15%	13%	12%
DK/NR		12%	5%	5%	5%	11%	9%	18%	26%
Chi-Squared:			.01				++	++	++
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
Mean:		158	101	92	96	148	124	214	290
Std dev:		312	211	199	217	301	275	373	423
Student's t:		*	.01	.01	.01	-	.05	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 18

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 23

About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally?

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural Urban
SMPER									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	993	299	105	1906	523 1791
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551 1762
less than 25%	13%	13%	14%	14%	12%	10%	10%	14%	12% 14%
25-50%	52%	54%	51%	54%	50%	41%	44%	55%	52% 52%
over 50%	22%	24%	21%	23%	21%	34%	41%	20%	22% 22%
DK/NR	12%	10%	14%	9%	17%	15%	6%	11%	14% 12%
Chi-Squared:		.01		.01		.01			
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4 2
Mean:	158	134	177	125	207	196	108	150	174 153
Std dev:	312	282	334	270	361	344	231	305	330 307
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	.05	-	- -

		Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
MPER	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
less than 25%		13%	100%	0%	0%	13%	14%	13%	16%	10%	12%	18%	11%	12%	21%	20%	13%	16%
			+++									+++			+++	++	++	++
25-50%		52%	0%	100%	0%	53%	42%	53%	53%	46%	57%	55%	51%	56%	47%	42%	56%	46%
			---	+++	---	++				---	+++		---		---	---	+++	---
over 50%		22%	0%	0%	100%	22%	24%	23%	17%	35%	22%	11%	27%	21%	15%	23%	22%	25%
			---	---	+++			+	-	+++		---	+++	-			---	---
DK/NR		12%	0%	0%	0%	12%	20%	11%	14%	10%	9%	16%	11%	11%	16%	15%	10%	13%
						---	+++					+++			++			+
Chi-Squared:			.01			.10		-		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
Mean:		158	16	38	68	153	232	150	178	140	124	187	149	148	196	186	140	168
Std dev:		312	5	9	9	307	383	303	337	280	268	352	298	303	357	344	292	323
Student's t:		*	.01	.01	.01	.05	.05	-	-	-	.01	.01	-	-	.10	-	.10	.10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 19

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 24

Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?

	TOTAL	Region					Gender		Smoker	Education				Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male		No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
CONT																		
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171
Yes	65%	72%	66%	67%	61%	63%	73%	63%	66%	48%	69%	61%	68%	68%	56%	66%	65%	67%
No	28%	22%	27%	29%	33%	25%	23%	29%	26%	46%	23%	34%	23%	23%	36%	28%	26%	19%
Focus on both/all issue	7%	6%	6%	2%	6%	12%	3%	7%	7%	8%	4%	9%	4%	9%	6%	6%	7%	7%
Don't know	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)								(.01)		(.01)		(.05)				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	6

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
CONT								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Yes	65%	66%	69%	66%	63%	69%	62%	61%
No	28%	29%	26%	25%	26%	27%	32%	29%
Focus on both/all issue	7%	4%	4%	8%	11%	4%	4%	8%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 20

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 25

Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
CONT									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551
Yes	65%	66%	64%	66%	63%	60%	59%	66%	61%
No	28%	25%	29%	26%	29%	31%	38%	26%	30%
Focus on both/all issue	7%	8%	6%	7%	7%	7%	3%	7%	7%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)				(.05)		(.10)	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
CONT																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Yes	65%	58%	69%	64%	67%	29%	69%	28%	72%	62%	63%	80%	70%	23%	23%	73%	46%
No	28%	34%	25%	27%	26%	68%	24%	64%	22%	32%	28%	14%	22%	69%	67%	20%	46%
Focus on both/all issue	7%	8%	5%	8%	7%	1%	7%	5%	6%	4%	8%	6%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.01)	++++	(.01)		(.01)			(.01)			++++	(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 21

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 26

Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians?

	TOTAL	Region					Gender		Smoker		Education				Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
AWAR																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
Yes	76%	74%	72%	74%	80%	76%	71%	71%	81%	73%	77%	68%	81%	83%	68%	74%	77%	84%	85%
No	22%	24%	26%	25%	19%	22%	27%	27%	18%	24%	21%	29%	18%	17%	29%	24%	21%	15%	14%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.10)						.01		.05		.01			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
AWAR								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	362	282	222
Yes	76%	71%	82%	83%	77%	78%	75%	68%
No	22%	24%	16%	16%	22%	20%	23%	29%
DK/NR	2%	5%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 22

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 27

Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians?

		Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Rural	Urban
AWAR	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823
Yes		76%	78%	75%	79%	73%	75%	66%	77%
No		22%	21%	23%	20%	25%	23%	30%	21%
DK/NR		2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	Chi-Squared:				.01	(.10)		.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	5	8	2	4

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
AWAR	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Yes		76%	79%	79%	74%	77%	59%	78%	62%	77%	75%	78%	74%	84%	67%	53%	80%	69%
No		22%	20%	19%	24%	21%	39%	21%	35%	21%	24%	20%	24%	16%	29%	43%	19%	29%
DK/NR		2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	4%	4%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		(.01)		-			(.01)				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 23

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 28

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
AWAR2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1768	229	162	118	704	428	127	811	957	337	1431	618	497	636	122	430	371	164	264
	Unweighted n:	1730	206	168	163	702	341	150	687	1043	563	1167	796	410	510	163	422	324	141	220
Ban smoking in public		41%	25%	22%	38%	41%	61%	33%	35%	46%	37%	42%	40%	42%	44%	44%	42%	38%	34%	34%
Advertise risk of smoki		47%	55%	47%	59%	46%	39%	50%	47%	47%	45%	47%	40%	48%	53%	36%	42%	53%	53%	57%
Warning labels of pckgs		30%	53%	41%	29%	26%	21%	35%	32%	29%	28%	31%	30%	27%	34%	23%	27%	28%	38%	37%
Increase prices/taxes		21%	16%	20%	24%	24%	20%	22%	24%	19%	22%	21%	17%	26%	22%	16%	23%	18%	19%	26%
Restrict sales to youth		10%	13%	5%	5%	11%	12%	6%	9%	11%	7%	11%	7%	11%	13%	11%	7%	12%	10%	11%
Community awareness prgm		17%	23%	14%	13%	17%	15%	18%	15%	18%	15%	17%	13%	21%	18%	11%	18%	21%	17%	13%
Genr restrictns on sale		9%	9%	3%	12%	11%	10%	5%	8%	10%	7%	10%	8%	8%	11%	13%	8%	9%	11%	9%
Research & development		1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Other		1%	1%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	1%
Don't know		1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:			(.01)						(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:		2	7	8	8	4	5	8	4	3	4	3	3	5	4	8	5	5	8	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 24

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 29

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
AWAR2								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1768	171	91	340	384	324	202	249
Unweighted n:	1730	427	263	169	233	284	200	148
Ban smoking in public	41%	40%	49%	40%	45%	32%	47%	43%
Advertise risk of smoki	47%	43%	36%	46%	52%	51%	44%	44%
Warning labels of pkgs	30%	38%	34%	33%	31%	35%	23%	19%
Increase prices/taxes	21%	12%	17%	22%	20%	21%	25%	26%
Restrict sales to youth	10%	6%	6%	12%	15%	7%	9%	8%
Community awareness prgm	17%	16%	14%	18%	17%	18%	18%	14%
Genr restrictns on sale	9%	6%	5%	6%	12%	11%	10%	9%
Research & development	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Other	1%	1%	4%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Don't know	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
No response	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	5	6	8	6	6	7	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 25

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 30

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural Urban
AWAR2									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1768	825	943	1106	648	224	69	1479	359 1406
Unweighted n:	1730	681	1049	1024	692	247	93	1387	376 1352
Ban smoking in public	41%	42%	40%	39%	46%	45%	41%	40%	40% 41%
Advertise risk of smoki	47%	50%	44%	50%	43%	35%	35%	49%	51% 46%
Warning labels of pkgs	30%	30%	31%	34%	25%	23%	46%	31%	26% 32%
Increase prices/taxes	21%	21%	21%	23%	19%	23%	17%	21%	17% 22%
Restrict sales to youth	10%	11%	9%	10%	11%	11%	7%	10%	6% 11%
Community awareness prgm	17%	17%	16%	17%	16%	16%	19%	17%	17% 17%
Genr restrictns on sale	9%	7%	11%	9%	10%	6%	11%	10%	5% 10%
Research & development	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0% 1%
Other	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2% 1%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1% 1%
No response	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1% 0%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)		(.01)		(.05)		(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	3	3	4	6	10	3	5 3

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 26

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 31

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not Serious	Serious	Not Serious	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
AWAR2																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1768	243	965	385	1702	58	1641	113	502	609	565	554	949	150	96	1249	433
Unweighted n:	1730	222	879	483	1649	74	1577	139	551	587	518	555	867	164	128	1185	459
Ban smoking in public	41%	31%	42%	46%	41%	38%	42%	36%	46%	36%	43%	43%	41%	45%	38%	42%	39%
Advertise risk of smoki	47%	57%	46%	42%	48%	27%	49%	32%	38%	49%	51%	44%	51%	47%	24%	50%	37%
Warning labels of pkgs	30%	34%	32%	27%	31%	25%	31%	29%	30%	34%	28%	32%	32%	26%	14%	33%	25%
Increase prices/taxes	21%	21%	23%	15%	21%	20%	21%	30%	16%	22%	25%	20%	23%	22%	20%	20%	23%
Restrict sales to youth	10%	8%	12%	8%	10%	11%	10%	16%	11%	10%	10%	11%	10%	10%	10%	9%	13%
Community awareness prgm	17%	19%	19%	12%	16%	28%	17%	20%	14%	17%	18%	14%	18%	14%	18%	18%	12%
Genr restrictns on sale	9%	11%	9%	11%	9%	8%	9%	14%	9%	7%	12%	7%	10%	13%	14%	8%	12%
Research & development	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Other	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	2%
Don't know	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
No response	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.01)	++++	(.01)	++++	(.01)		(.01)				++++	(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	2	7	3	4	2	11	2	8	4	4	4	4	3	8	9	3	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 27

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 32

The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
FTCS																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
Yes -approp. for Fed Gov	67%	76%	63%	68%	68%	60%	78%	66%	69%	51%	71%	63%	67%	74%	60%	63%	71%	73%	81%
No -left to others	27%	18%	30%	23%	28%	33%	18%	29%	25%	41%	24%	31%	27%	22%	34%	32%	25%	22%	15%
DK/NR	5%	6%	6%	9%	4%	7%	4%	5%	6%	7%	5%	6%	6%	4%	7%	5%	4%	5%	4%
Chi-Squared:		.01								.01		.01			.01				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
FTCS								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Yes -approp. for Fed Gov	67%	73%	70%	68%	71%	72%	60%	60%
No -left to others	27%	25%	24%	27%	24%	24%	33%	33%
DK/NR	5%	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%	7%	7%
Chi-Squared:		.01						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 28

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 33

The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the

		Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Rural	Urban
FTCS	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823
Yes -approp. for Fed Gov		67%	71%	64%	69%	60%	54%	69%	64%
No -left to others		27%	24%	30%	26%	32%	41%	26%	30%
DK/NR		5%	5%	6%	5%	8%	5%	5%	6%
	Chi-Squared:		.01		.10		.01		
	+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	2	4

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement		Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 35%	35-50%	51%+	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin
FTCS	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227
Yes -approp. for Fed Gov		67%	64%	72%	65%	69%	32%	71%	34%	70%	64%	71%	79%	76%	31%	17%
No -left to others		27%	33%	24%	30%	26%	59%	24%	60%	26%	31%	24%	17%	19%	61%	74%
DK/NR		5%	3%	4%	5%	5%	9%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	8%	9%
	Chi-Squared:		.01		.01		.01		.01	.05		.01		.01		.01
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7

In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether.

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INVOL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
Increase involvement		32%	41%	32%	31%	30%	32%	33%	35%	29%	18%	36%	35%	28%	32%	35%	32%	33%	30%	33%
		+++				--			+++		----	+++		--						
Maintain involvement		49%	46%	44%	49%	48%	52%	53%	44%	53%	42%	51%	42%	58%	50%	42%	49%	52%	51%	50%
									----	+++	----	+++		++++						
Reduce involvement		10%	9%	10%	13%	11%	7%	7%	9%	10%	18%	8%	10%	9%	10%	12%	9%	9%	11%	8%
						--			+++		----	+++		----						
Eliminate involvement		8%	3%	10%	6%	9%	8%	7%	10%	6%	21%	5%	10%	5%	6%	10%	8%	6%	6%	9%
		----				++			++++		++++		++++		----			--		
DK/NR		2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%
				+++				--						--						--
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						.01		.01		.01							
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INVOL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Increase involvement		32%	49%	38%	30%	27%	28%	28%	38%
Maintain involvement		49%	39%	52%	56%	52%	52%	47%	39%
Reduce involvement		10%	6%	5%	8%	11%	11%	11%	10%
Eliminate involvement		8%	5%	4%	5%	8%	9%	11%	9%
DK/NR		2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
	+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether.

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INVOL										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
Unweighted n:	2317	893	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
Increase involvement	32%	32%	32%	---	+++	+++	---	---	---	---
Maintain involvement	49%	50%	47%	53%	43%	43%	43%	50%	48%	49%
Reduce involvement	10%	9%	10%	9%	11%	7%	10%	10%	12%	9%
Eliminate involvement	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	15%	7%	10%	7%
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:				.01		(.01)			.05	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INVOL																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Increase involvement	32%	26%	32%	39%	33%	15%	34%	11%	47%	25%	26%	100%	0%	0%	0%	38%	20%
Maintain involvement	49%	46%	52%	45%	50%	22%	51%	30%	40%	52%	55%	0%	100%	0%	0%	55%	35%
Reduce involvement	10%	15%	9%	6%	9%	26%	8%	27%	5%	12%	11%	0%	0%	100%	0%	4%	22%
Eliminate involvement	8%	12%	6%	8%	6%	36%	5%	31%	6%	11%	6%	0%	0%	0%	100%	2%	21%
DK/NR	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)		.01		.01					.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 31

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 36

There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
PARTN	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
A leadership role		25%	27%	26%	29%	27%	16%	31%	27%	22%	18%	26%	23%	22%	29%	21%	22%	25%	34%	30%
An equal partner		55%	56%	51%	50%	51%	63%	55%	52%	58%	46%	57%	57%	58%	52%	58%	56%	58%	47%	54%
A very limited role		16%	14%	20%	16%	18%	14%	11%	16%	16%	30%	13%	16%	15%	16%	15%	18%	15%	14%	15%
DK/NR		4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	8%	2%	4%	4%	7%	4%	4%	5%	4%	7%	3%	2%	5%	1%
Chi-Squared:			.01			-	+++		.05		.01		.05		.01					
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
PARTN								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
A leadership role	25%	24%	29%	19%	24%	25%	28%	29%
An equal partner	55%	59%	53%	62%	55%	57%	49%	48%
A very limited role	16%	14%	15%	15%	16%	14%	19%	18%
DK/NR	4%	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%
Chi-Squared:		(.10)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 32

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 37

There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
PARTN									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551
A leadership role	25%	26%	24%	25%	25%	26%	21%	25%	24%
An equal partner	55%	58%	53%	55%	55%	55%	56%	55%	56%
A very limited role	16%	13%	19%	16%	16%	14%	22%	16%	15%
DK/NR	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	1%	4%	4%
Chi-Squared:		.01						.10	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	2

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
PARTN																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
A leadership role	25%	26%	26%	21%	25%	20%	25%	19%	26%	23%	27%	35%	23%	9%	10%	30%	14%
An equal partner	55%	47%	55%	62%	56%	26%	57%	35%	61%	53%	52%	57%	62%	41%	26%	59%	46%
A very limited role	16%	23%	15%	12%	15%	42%	14%	37%	10%	20%	17%	5%	12%	45%	52%	8%	36%
DK/NR	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	12%	4%	9%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	12%	3%	5%
Chi-Squared:		.01			(.01)		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The federal government

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INVOL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		7%	5%	7%	6%	9%	7%	6%	9%	6%	20%	4%	9%	5%	6%	8%	6%	8%	5%	8%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		36%	38%	43%	33%	37%	33%	36%	37%	36%	40%	35%	35%	39%	36%	42%	39%	37%	39%	27%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		56%	57%	50%	59%	54%	59%	59%	54%	58%	39%	60%	55%	56%	57%	49%	54%	55%	56%	65%
1. Not at all involved		6%	4%	5%	3%	7%	6%	5%	7%	4%	16%	3%	7%	4%	5%	6%	5%	6%	4%	4%
2		2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%
3		4%	4%	6%	2%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	8%	3%	4%	5%	3%	8%	5%	3%	8%	2%
4. Somewhat involved		15%	13%	16%	15%	15%	14%	17%	15%	15%	18%	14%	15%	17%	13%	20%	16%	14%	13%	10%
5		17%	20%	21%	17%	17%	16%	17%	18%	17%	14%	18%	16%	17%	20%	14%	17%	20%	19%	15%
6		17%	22%	18%	12%	19%	13%	16%	16%	18%	11%	19%	15%	16%	21%	16%	15%	18%	14%	24%
7. Extremely involved		38%	34%	32%	46%	35%	45%	42%	37%	40%	28%	41%	40%	39%	36%	33%	39%	37%	41%	42%
DK/NR		1%	1%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:			-						.01		.01		.05			.05				
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
Mean:		5.44	5.50	5.28	5.69	5.31	5.57	5.58	5.35	5.53	4.55	5.66	5.39	5.49	5.50	5.16	5.43	5.41	5.50	5.67
Std dev:		1.70	1.56	1.67	1.58	1.77	1.73	1.62	1.78	1.62	2.13	1.49	1.80	1.61	1.60	1.79	1.69	1.70	1.66	1.62
Student's t:		*	-		.05	.01	.10	-	.05	.05	.01	.01	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	.05

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INVOL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
	NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	7%	3%	3%	6%	5%	7%	15%	9%
	SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	36%	36%	38%	37%	41%	37%	34%	30%
	VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	56%	60%	58%	57%	54%	55%	50%	59%
1. Not at all involved		6%	1%	2%	5%	3%	6%	13%	8%
2		2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%
3		4%	3%	2%	5%	5%	4%	3%	4%
4. Somewhat involved		15%	13%	13%	14%	18%	14%	15%	15%
5		17%	21%	23%	18%	19%	18%	16%	11%
6		17%	24%	22%	22%	16%	17%	13%	10%
7. Extremely involved		38%	36%	36%	34%	37%	39%	37%	48%
DK/NR		1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:			.01						
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
Mean:		5.44	5.69	5.67	5.44	5.45	5.45	5.10	5.50
Std dev:		1.70	1.33	1.36	1.64	1.58	1.70	2.03	1.89
Student's t:		+	.01	.01	-	-	-	.01	-

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INVOL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		7%	6%	9%	7%	7%	6%	9%	7%	8%	7%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		36%	35%	37%	37%	36%	36%	43%	36%	37%	36%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		56%	58%	53%	56%	56%	58%	45%	56%	53%	56%
1. Not at all involved		6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	3%	6%	5%	7%	5%
2		2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%
3		4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	7%	4%	4%	4%
4. Somewhat involved		15%	15%	15%	14%	16%	15%	13%	15%	16%	15%
5		17%	17%	18%	19%	16%	15%	23%	17%	17%	17%
6		17%	20%	15%	20%	13%	20%	11%	17%	15%	18%
7. Extremely involved		38%	39%	38%	36%	43%	39%	34%	39%	38%	38%
DK/NR		1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:			.01		-		-			-	
+/- points around 50%:		2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
Mean:		5.44	5.55	5.35	5.43	5.48	5.51	5.19	5.45	5.35	5.47
Std dev:		1.70	1.60	1.78	1.66	1.73	1.62	1.78	1.70	1.78	1.68
Student's t:		+	.01	.01	-	-	-	.10	-	-	-

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The federal government

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increase	Same	Decrease	Increase	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INVOL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	62
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		7%	12%	6%	6%	6%	37%	5%	33%	4%	10%	7%	1%	2%	19%	52%	2%	21%
			+++			+++			+++		+++				+++			
SOMENWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		36%	39%	38%	33%	36%	43%	36%	42%	28%	40%	41%	19%	43%	61%	30%	30%	50%
					--				+	----	++	+++		+++	++++	-	----	+++
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		56%	48%	56%	60%	57%	19%	59%	23%	67%	49%	51%	80%	54%	17%	17%	68%	28%
			----		+++		----	++++	----	+++	----		++++		----		++++	
1. Not at all involved		6%	8%	4%	5%	4%	31%	3%	28%	3%	8%	5%	1%	2%	12%	43%	1%	16%
2		2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	6%	1%	6%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	7%	9%	1%	5%
3		4%	7%	3%	3%	4%	8%	4%	7%	2%	5%	5%	1%	2%	18%	6%	1%	10%
4. Somewhat involved		15%	14%	16%	13%	15%	15%	14%	20%	10%	17%	17%	5%	18%	31%	15%	11%	25%
5		17%	19%	18%	17%	17%	20%	18%	15%	16%	18%	20%	12%	23%	12%	9%	18%	15%
6		17%	6%	19%	21%	18%	3%	18%	7%	18%	19%	15%	18%	21%	8%	5%	21%	7%
7. Extremely involved		38%	42%	36%	39%	40%	16%	41%	16%	49%	30%	36%	61%	34%	9%	12%	46%	21%
DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:			.01			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
Mean:		5.44	5.17	5.47	5.57	5.54	3.62	5.61	3.76	5.87	5.13	5.35	6.29	5.58	3.88	3.02	5.94	4.26
Std dev:		1.70	1.95	1.60	1.62	1.61	2.20	1.55	2.18	1.48	1.81	1.68	1.12	1.34	1.69	2.19	1.27	2.01
Student's t:		*	.01	-	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 37

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The provincial government

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prcsr	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ESHS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV02																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	305
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		7%	3%	7%	4%	9%	6%	5%	9%	5%	17%	4%	9%	3%	5%	13%	6%	6%	6%	5%
						+++			+++				+++			+++				
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		37%	39%	39%	36%	37%	34%	41%	38%	36%	42%	36%	35%	41%	36%	38%	39%	33%	40%	32%
									+++			+++		++						
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		56%	58%	54%	57%	54%	59%	54%	53%	58%	39%	60%	54%	56%	58%	48%	55%	60%	54%	63%
									---	++		+++		---		---				++
1. Not at all involved		4%	2%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	5%	3%	13%	2%	6%	3%	3%	7%	4%	3%	5%	2%
2		2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	1%	2%	6%	1%	3%	1%	3%
3		3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	2%	1%
4. Somewhat involved		16%	19%	11%	13%	16%	16%	18%	17%	15%	19%	15%	17%	17%	14%	18%	17%	14%	15%	15%
5		17%	17%	26%	19%	16%	15%	20%	17%	18%	17%	18%	15%	20%	18%	15%	18%	15%	23%	16%
6		20%	25%	18%	15%	21%	17%	18%	19%	20%	13%	21%	15%	22%	22%	17%	16%	22%	24%	25%
7. Extremely involved		36%	33%	35%	42%	33%	42%	36%	34%	38%	27%	39%	39%	34%	36%	30%	40%	38%	30%	38%
DK/NR		1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		.05						.01		.01		.01			.01				
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6		7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
	Mean:	5.45	5.57	5.49	5.66	5.30	5.56	5.49	5.34	5.57	4.70	5.64	5.36	5.53	5.53	5.05	5.48	5.54	5.44	5.68
	Std dev:	1.63	1.40	1.57	1.52	1.74	1.64	1.53	1.70	1.55	2.02	1.46	1.78	1.44	1.54	1.87	1.64	1.60	1.54	1.45
	Student's t:	*	-	-	.05	0.1	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	-	-	.01	-	-	-	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 38

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INV02	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
	NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	7%	2%	1%	6%	5%	8%	11%	9%
	SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	37%	37%	39%	37%	44%	35%	33%	31%
	VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	56%	61%	59%	56%	51%	57%	55%	58%
	1. Not at all involved	4%	0%	0%	5%	2%	5%	9%	6%
	2	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%
	3	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	4. Somewhat involved	16%	15%	15%	17%	19%	15%	14%	14%
	5	17%	19%	21%	17%	21%	17%	15%	13%
	6	20%	28%	24%	26%	20%	20%	14%	9%
	7. Extremely involved	36%	33%	35%	30%	31%	37%	41%	49%
	DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		.01						
	+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
	Mean:	5.45	5.69	5.70	5.41	5.39	5.45	5.30	5.51
	Std dev:	1.63	1.26	1.26	1.59	1.49	1.67	1.92	1.85
	Student's t:	*	.01	.01	-	-	-	-	-

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV02											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		7%	5%	8%	6%	6%	8%	13%	6%	8%	6%
			---	+++				+++			
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		37%	37%	37%	37%	37%	35%	36%	38%	37%	37%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		56%	58%	54%	56%	56%	57%	48%	56%	54%	56%
								-			
1. Not at all involved		4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%	8%	4%	6%	4%
2		2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	5%	2%	2%	2%
3		3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%
4. Somewhat involved		16%	15%	17%	16%	16%	17%	17%	16%	15%	16%
5		17%	19%	16%	18%	17%	15%	15%	18%	17%	17%
6		20%	23%	17%	23%	15%	21%	12%	20%	19%	20%
7. Extremely involved		36%	35%	37%	34%	41%	35%	36%	36%	35%	37%
DK/NR		1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		.05		-		.05		-		-
	+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
	Mean:	5.45	5.54	5.39	5.44	5.50	5.41	5.14	5.49	5.36	5.48
	Std dev:	1.63	1.51	1.72	1.60	1.65	1.66	1.93	1.59	1.72	1.60
	Student's t:	*	.05	.05	-	-	-	.05	.10	-	-

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The provincial government

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV02																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	192	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	7%	7%	11%	6%	6%	5%	37%	5%	28%	5%	8%	6%	2%	2%	15%	43%	2%	16%
	++++						++++								++++			
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	37%	37%	35%	39%	36%	37%	40%	36%	44%	27%	42%	41%	22%	43%	58%	31%	33%	46%
	+																	
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	56%	56%	54%	55%	58%	58%	21%	59%	28%	67%	48%	53%	76%	54%	26%	24%	64%	37%
	++++					++++		++++	----	++++	----	++++	++++	----	----		++++	
1. Not at all involved	4%	4%	7%	3%	4%	3%	30%	2%	24%	3%	6%	3%	0%	2%	8%	34%	1%	11%
2	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	7%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	6%	9%	1%	5%
3	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	10%	3%	4%	1%	5%	3%	1%	3%	10%	7%	2%	7%
4. Somewhat involved	16%	16%	13%	17%	16%	16%	24%	15%	26%	11%	19%	18%	8%	19%	31%	14%	13%	23%
5	17%	17%	17%	18%	18%	18%	7%	18%	14%	15%	18%	20%	12%	22%	16%	10%	18%	15%
6	20%	20%	11%	21%	23%	20%	6%	20%	11%	21%	20%	18%	19%	23%	15%	6%	24%	11%
7. Extremely involved	36%	36%	43%	34%	35%	37%	16%	38%	17%	45%	29%	35%	57%	30%	10%	18%	41%	26%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		.01			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
	Mean:	5.45	5.35	5.44	5.52	5.55	3.50	5.61	4.02	5.79	5.16	5.44	6.17	5.51	4.32	3.49	5.79	4.64
	Std dev:	1.63	1.88	1.57	1.56	1.54	2.16	1.50	2.12	1.51	1.72	1.55	1.19	1.36	1.66	2.31	1.32	1.97
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.10	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 41

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 46

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV03																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1192	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	894	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	5%	5%	2%	4%	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	10%	3%	6%	3%	5%	8%	5%	3%	5%	5%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	30%	33%	31%	29%	29%	31%	21%	33%	27%	31%	29%	28%	33%	30%	29%	29%	34%	32%	29%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	65%	61%	65%	64%	65%	63%	73%	60%	69%	57%	67%	65%	64%	65%	63%	64%	62%	63%	66%
1. Not at all involved	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	8%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	2%
2	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	3%
3	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	0%	2%
4. Somewhat involved	12%	12%	8%	15%	12%	15%	8%	14%	11%	13%	12%	14%	13%	10%	9%	11%	14%	16%	13%
5	15%	18%	22%	12%	15%	13%	11%	16%	14%	14%	15%	13%	17%	16%	16%	16%	17%	16%	14%
6	19%	25%	26%	14%	19%	14%	20%	18%	20%	13%	20%	16%	19%	22%	24%	15%	18%	25%	18%
7. Extremely involved	46%	37%	40%	50%	46%	50%	53%	42%	50%	44%	47%	49%	46%	43%	39%	49%	45%	38%	48%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-						.01		.01		.10			-				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
Mean:	5.78	5.64	5.88	5.83	5.77	5.73	5.98	5.61	5.94	5.42	5.87	5.78	5.81	5.75	5.60	5.80	5.77	5.68	5.82
Std dev:	1.51	1.45	1.25	1.52	1.53	1.60	1.49	1.61	1.39	1.88	1.39	1.58	1.41	1.51	1.63	1.56	1.44	1.44	1.48
Student's t:	*	.10	-	-	-	-	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 42

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 47

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INV03									
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		5%	2%	1%	3%	4%	5%	7%	7%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		30%	27%	31%	35%	26%	36%	31%	22%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		65%	70%	67%	61%	70%	58%	61%	70%
1. Not at all involved		3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%
2		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%
3		3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	2%
4. Somewhat involved		12%	10%	8%	15%	10%	14%	16%	10%
5		15%	15%	20%	18%	13%	19%	12%	10%
6		19%	25%	23%	22%	21%	16%	15%	13%
7. Extremely involved		46%	45%	44%	39%	49%	41%	45%	57%
DK/NR		1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:			.01						
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
Mean:		5.78	5.93	5.93	5.71	5.87	5.61	5.60	5.90
Std dev:		1.51	1.28	1.23	1.39	1.49	1.56	1.70	1.64
Student's t:		*	.01	.05	-	-	.05	.10	-

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV03	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%	10%	4%	5%	5%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		30%	31%	29%	32%	26%	30%	25%	30%	32%	29%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		65%	65%	65%	63%	67%	64%	65%	65%	62%	66%
1. Not at all involved		3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	3%
2		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	7%	2%	2%	2%
3		3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%
4. Somewhat involved		12%	13%	12%	13%	12%	8%	9%	13%	16%	11%
5		15%	15%	15%	16%	13%	19%	11%	14%	14%	15%
6		19%	20%	18%	20%	17%	13%	22%	20%	18%	19%
7. Extremely involved		46%	45%	47%	43%	51%	51%	42%	45%	44%	47%
DK/NR		1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:			-		.05		(.05)			-	
+/- points around 50%:		2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
Mean:		5.78	5.77	5.78	5.73	5.86	5.78	5.56	5.77	5.68	5.80
Std dev:		1.51	1.47	1.54	1.50	1.53	1.63	1.75	1.49	1.56	1.50
Student's t:		*	-	-	.05	.05	-	-	-	.10	.10

06/11/03

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-EG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV03																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%	16%	3%	16%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	8%	17%	3%	8%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	30%	28%	33%	25%	30%	31%	30%	27%	28%	31%	32%	25%	34%	35%	21%	33%	22%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	65%	66%	63%	70%	65%	51%	66%	56%	68%	63%	62%	71%	63%	56%	59%	63%	68%
1. Not at all involved	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	13%	2%	13%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	6%	10%	2%	5%
2	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	7%	1%	3%
3	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	6%	4%	2%	3%
4. Somewhat involved	12%	14%	13%	10%	12%	18%	12%	13%	9%	14%	13%	9%	15%	12%	10%	14%	8%
5	15%	13%	17%	12%	15%	8%	15%	10%	16%	15%	15%	14%	16%	18%	7%	17%	11%
6	19%	20%	20%	16%	19%	16%	20%	9%	18%	19%	20%	14%	23%	17%	11%	19%	17%
7. Extremely involved	46%	47%	43%	53%	47%	35%	46%	47%	50%	43%	42%	58%	40%	39%	48%	44%	51%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.01			(.01)		.01		.05			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
Mean:	5.78	5.81	5.76	5.90	5.82	4.96	5.84	5.19	5.97	5.69	5.65	6.07	5.74	5.42	5.30	5.79	5.75
Std dev:	1.51	1.49	1.43	1.53	1.46	2.11	1.41	2.17	1.34	1.55	1.57	1.36	1.38	1.75	2.13	1.40	1.73
Student's t:	*	-	.10	.10	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.10	.05	.01	-	.01	.01	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 45

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 - 40

06/11/03

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV04																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	11%	2%	5%	2%	3%	6%	4%	4%	2%	2%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	33%	33%	39%	34%	33%	28%	34%	34%	31%	36%	32%	33%	34%	32%	35%	33%	32%	33%	33%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	63%	63%	58%	60%	62%	66%	62%	60%	65%	51%	66%	62%	63%	64%	58%	61%	64%	64%	65%
1. Not at all involved	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	8%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	1%
2	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%
3	3%	7%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%
4. Somewhat involved	13%	11%	13%	13%	13%	14%	11%	13%	13%	18%	12%	14%	13%	11%	17%	12%	12%	11%	13%
5	17%	15%	23%	20%	18%	13%	19%	18%	16%	13%	18%	16%	18%	18%	14%	17%	17%	19%	18%
6	17%	20%	19%	16%	18%	15%	13%	18%	17%	13%	18%	16%	19%	18%	16%	14%	20%	21%	19%
7. Extremely involved	45%	42%	38%	44%	44%	51%	49%	42%	49%	38%	47%	46%	45%	45%	42%	47%	44%	43%	46%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-						.01		.01		-			-				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
Mean:	5.77	5.68	5.69	5.78	5.76	5.84	5.79	5.63	5.89	5.21	5.91	5.71	5.81	5.82	5.54	5.75	5.78	5.84	5.90
Std dev:	1.47	1.51	1.36	1.42	1.45	1.52	1.51	1.56	1.36	1.90	1.30	1.55	1.36	1.41	1.66	1.53	1.44	1.32	1.29
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.10	-	-	.05	-	-	-	.10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 46

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 - 51

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INV04								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	6%	6%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	33%	39%	35%	36%	30%	32%	36%	26%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	63%	58%	62%	61%	67%	63%	57%	66%
1. Not at all involved	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	4%	3%
2	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	3%
3	3%	5%	5%	3%	2%	3%	5%	1%
4. Somewhat involved	13%	16%	12%	14%	13%	11%	14%	12%
5	17%	19%	19%	19%	16%	18%	17%	13%
6	17%	19%	24%	17%	21%	18%	12%	12%
7. Extremely involved	45%	39%	38%	45%	46%	45%	45%	54%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
Mean:	5.77	5.64	5.71	5.80	5.88	5.74	5.57	5.87
Std dev:	1.47	1.41	1.37	1.34	1.33	1.51	1.68	1.59
Student's t:	*	.05	-	-	.10	-	.05	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 47

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 52

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV04										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%	8%	3%	4%	4%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	33%	32%	33%	34%	31%	29%	32%	33%	34%	32%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	63%	64%	62%	62%	64%	66%	57%	63%	61%	63%
1. Not at all involved	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	5%	2%	3%	2%
2	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%
3	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%
4. Somewhat involved	13%	13%	13%	12%	14%	14%	9%	13%	14%	13%
5	17%	17%	17%	18%	15%	13%	19%	18%	17%	17%
6	17%	19%	16%	18%	16%	15%	9%	18%	15%	18%
7. Extremely involved	45%	45%	46%	44%	48%	50%	49%	45%	46%	45%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	(.05)	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
Mean:	5.77	5.81	5.73	5.77	5.78	5.80	5.59	5.78	5.70	5.79
Std dev:	1.47	1.40	1.52	1.42	1.50	1.57	1.79	1.43	1.55	1.44
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 48

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV04																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	17%	3%	16%	2%	5%	4%	2%	3%	6%	17%	3%	7%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	33%	26%	36%	28%	33%	36%	32%	36%	31%	33%	35%	25%	37%	40%	29%	33%	33%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	63%	69%	60%	67%	63%	45%	64%	48%	66%	61%	60%	73%	60%	52%	52%	64%	60%
1. Not at all involved	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	13%	1%	11%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	4%	11%	1%	4%
2	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	5%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	7%	1%	3%
3	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	9%	2%	7%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	6%	5%	2%	4%
4. Somewhat involved	13%	10%	14%	10%	13%	15%	12%	18%	11%	14%	14%	9%	14%	18%	11%	12%	14%
5	17%	13%	20%	14%	17%	12%	18%	12%	18%	16%	19%	14%	20%	16%	13%	18%	15%
6	17%	19%	18%	17%	17%	15%	18%	12%	19%	17%	17%	17%	18%	16%	16%	17%	17%
7. Extremely involved	45%	51%	42%	50%	46%	31%	47%	36%	48%	44%	44%	56%	42%	36%	37%	47%	43%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.01			(.01)		.01		.05			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
Mean:	5.77	5.88	5.73	5.84	5.81	4.78	5.85	4.94	5.93	5.67	5.69	6.11	5.74	5.36	5.05	5.86	5.57
Std dev:	1.47	1.49	1.41	1.51	1.41	2.10	1.37	2.05	1.29	1.56	1.50	1.24	1.38	1.67	2.08	1.36	1.67
Student's t:	*	-	.10	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	-	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV05																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1952	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	13%	16%	10%	14%	14%	10%	14%	18%	8%	17%	12%	13%	11%	14%	17%	13%	12%	14%	12%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	26%	18%	34%	28%	26%	28%	22%	28%	24%	29%	25%	25%	28%	22%	23%	28%	26%	25%	25%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	58%	64%	53%	54%	58%	58%	63%	52%	64%	52%	60%	60%	62%	53%	60%	62%	56%	60%	60%
1. Not at all involved	10%	12%	8%	13%	10%	8%	12%	14%	6%	13%	9%	10%	9%	11%	13%	10%	9%	12%	10%
2	3%	4%	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	3%	4%	2%	2%
3	4%	2%	5%	3%	5%	4%	2%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	9%	3%
4. Somewhat involved	13%	9%	18%	17%	13%	15%	11%	15%	12%	15%	13%	13%	13%	15%	9%	13%	16%	14%	11%
5	9%	7%	11%	8%	9%	9%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	8%	10%	8%	8%	8%	9%	4%	11%
6	9%	11%	9%	8%	11%	7%	7%	8%	11%	8%	10%	10%	9%	9%	8%	9%	8%	14%	11%
7. Extremely involved	49%	52%	44%	46%	46%	51%	56%	44%	53%	43%	50%	53%	44%	51%	53%	48%	46%	49%	49%
DK/NR	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	3%	0%	3%
Chi-Squared:		.01						.01		.01		.05			-				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
Mean:	5.38	5.46	5.32	5.23	5.30	5.49	5.52	5.04	5.71	5.05	5.46	5.42	5.56	5.18	5.27	5.48	5.36	5.21	5.45
Std dev:	2.05	2.13	1.93	2.13	2.07	1.96	2.10	2.21	1.82	2.18	2.00	2.04	1.96	2.10	2.21	2.04	2.01	2.13	2.01
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.05	.01	-	-	-	-	-

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INVO5									
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		13%	12%	12%	6%	12%	13%	17%	19%
					---			++	+++
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		26%	25%	21%	29%	25%	28%	26%	23%
					---			---	---
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		58%	61%	64%	63%	59%	58%	51%	55%
				++				---	---
1. Not at all involved		10%	7%	9%	4%	9%	10%	15%	15%
2		3%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
3		4%	4%	2%	3%	6%	3%	3%	5%
4. Somewhat involved		13%	13%	11%	17%	12%	16%	13%	9%
5		9%	8%	8%	10%	7%	8%	11%	9%
6		9%	14%	14%	11%	8%	9%	5%	8%
7. Extremely involved		49%	47%	50%	52%	51%	49%	46%	47%
DK/NR		3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	6%	3%
	Chi-Squared:		.01						
	+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
	Mean:	5.38	5.42	5.59	5.71	5.43	5.36	5.14	5.09
	Std dev:	2.05	1.96	1.96	1.70	2.05	2.03	2.22	2.28
	Student's t:	*	-	.10	.01	-	-	.10	.05

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INVO5										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	13%	12%	14%	13%	13%	16%	10%	13%	14%	13%
						---		---		
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	26%	27%	25%	26%	26%	18%	22%	28%	26%	26%
						---		----		
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	58%	58%	58%	58%	58%	60%	66%	58%	60%	58%
						+		+		
1. Not at all involved	10%	9%	11%	10%	10%	10%	7%	10%	11%	10%
2	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%	3%	2%	3%
3	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%
4. Somewhat involved	13%	15%	12%	14%	12%	7%	8%	15%	14%	13%
5	9%	8%	9%	8%	10%	8%	12%	9%	8%	9%
6	9%	9%	9%	10%	9%	11%	6%	9%	10%	9%
7. Extremely involved	49%	49%	49%	49%	49%	50%	59%	48%	50%	49%
DK/NR	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	1%	3%
Chi-Squared:		-		-		.01		-		
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
Mean:	5.38	5.39	5.36	5.38	5.39	5.39	5.80	5.35	5.36	5.38
Std dev:	2.05	2.01	2.08	2.04	2.04	2.14	1.86	2.04	2.07	2.04
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	.01	-	-	-

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV05																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	13%	16%	13%	12%	13%	20%	12%	23%	13%	12%	14%	14%	10%	17%	22%	12%	16%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	26%	29%	27%	22%	26%	35%	25%	37%	19%	31%	27%	17%	29%	33%	35%	23%	32%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	58%	53%	57%	64%	59%	43%	60%	39%	65%	56%	56%	66%	59%	47%	40%	62%	50%
1. Not at all involved	10%	14%	10%	8%	10%	16%	9%	18%	9%	10%	11%	11%	8%	13%	17%	9%	11%
2	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	5%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	5%
3	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	9%	4%	7%	1%	6%	5%	3%	3%	6%	12%	3%	7%
4. Somewhat involved	13%	16%	14%	10%	13%	21%	13%	21%	10%	17%	13%	7%	16%	18%	18%	12%	17%
5	9%	8%	10%	7%	9%	4%	9%	9%	8%	8%	9%	7%	10%	10%	5%	9%	8%
6	9%	8%	9%	11%	10%	8%	10%	8%	11%	9%	10%	9%	10%	12%	4%	10%	10%
7. Extremely involved	49%	45%	48%	53%	49%	36%	51%	31%	54%	46%	46%	56%	49%	35%	36%	52%	40%
DK/NR	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		.05			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
Mean:	5.38	5.10	5.37	5.55	5.41	4.63	5.47	4.47	5.62	5.28	5.27	5.61	5.49	4.88	4.49	5.54	4.99
Std dev:	2.05	2.18	2.03	1.98	2.03	2.24	2.00	2.23	1.99	2.02	2.09	2.08	1.91	2.12	2.29	1.99	2.11
Student's t:	*	.05	-	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.10	.10	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV06	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	154	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	904	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		7%	6%	6%	4%	10%	5%	7%	10%	5%	17%	5%	8%	5%	8%	8%	4%	8%	9%	11%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		41%	46%	42%	41%	39%	43%	36%	43%	39%	46%	40%	39%	43%	43%	41%	42%	40%	34%	42%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		50%	48%	52%	52%	51%	48%	57%	46%	55%	36%	54%	51%	52%	48%	48%	52%	51%	56%	46%
1. Not at all involved		5%	3%	5%	2%	7%	4%	5%	7%	3%	13%	3%	6%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	7%	7%
2		2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	2%	4%
3		4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	8%	2%	6%	3%	7%	4%	4%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%	1%	5%
4. Somewhat involved		19%	22%	15%	18%	17%	22%	14%	18%	19%	23%	17%	19%	19%	18%	24%	21%	15%	16%	15%
5		18%	19%	23%	18%	19%	14%	21%	19%	17%	15%	19%	16%	20%	20%	12%	16%	20%	17%	23%
6		15%	18%	16%	14%	18%	10%	17%	17%	14%	11%	17%	16%	15%	15%	16%	15%	12%	26%	15%
7. Extremely involved		35%	30%	36%	38%	33%	38%	40%	29%	40%	25%	37%	35%	37%	33%	32%	37%	39%	30%	31%
DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	4%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:			.01						.01		.01		.10		.01					
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
Mean:		5.31	5.23	5.44	5.48	5.24	5.31	5.54	5.09	5.52	4.59	5.50	5.29	5.46	5.25	5.16	5.45	5.37	5.33	5.12
Std dev:		1.69	1.59	1.60	1.56	1.77	1.70	1.63	1.77	1.58	1.98	1.56	1.75	1.54	1.68	1.74	1.57	1.73	1.71	1.80
Student's t:		*	-	-	-	.10	-	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.05	-	-	.05	-	-	.05

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INV06	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
	NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	7%	4%	2%	5%	7%	10%	10%	8%
	SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	41%	42%	44%	48%	44%	37%	41%	33%
	VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	50%	53%	54%	47%	48%	53%	47%	56%
	1. Not at all involved	5%	3%	1%	4%	5%	6%	8%	5%
	2	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%
	3	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	5%	6%	3%
	4. Somewhat involved	19%	15%	20%	18%	21%	19%	17%	18%
	5	18%	23%	20%	27%	17%	13%	18%	12%
	6	15%	23%	24%	15%	15%	16%	14%	10%
	7. Extremely involved	35%	30%	30%	31%	33%	37%	33%	46%
	DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	2%	4%
	Chi-Squared:		.01						
	+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
	Mean:	5.31	5.45	5.48	5.34	5.24	5.24	5.12	5.51
	Std dev:	1.69	1.46	1.37	1.52	1.68	1.83	1.85	1.78
	Student's t:	*	.10	.05	-	-	-	.10	.10

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV06											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1797
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		7%	6%	8%	7%	7%	4%	7%	7%	11%	6%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		41%	43%	40%	42%	41%	38%	34%	42%	40%	41%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	56%	59%	49%	49%	51%
1. Not at all involved		5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	4%	6%	5%	7%	4%
2		2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	2%
3		4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	1%	4%	5%	4%
4. Somewhat involved		19%	19%	18%	18%	20%	16%	16%	19%	20%	18%
5		18%	19%	17%	19%	17%	17%	17%	18%	14%	19%
6		15%	17%	14%	17%	13%	15%	12%	16%	17%	15%
7. Extremely involved		35%	34%	36%	33%	37%	41%	48%	33%	32%	36%
DK/NR		1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	.05			.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
	Mean:	5.31	5.33	5.30	5.29	5.37	5.56	5.62	5.27	5.10	5.38
	Std dev:	1.69	1.62	1.74	1.69	1.67	1.59	1.71	1.69	1.83	1.64
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV06																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	7%	16%	6%	5%	6%	27%	5%	29%	5%	8%	9%	4%	4%	13%	34%	5%	13%
		+++	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	41%	37%	44%	36%	41%	40%	41%	40%	34%	45%	42%	30%	46%	54%	39%	40%	44%
		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	50%	47%	48%	58%	51%	27%	53%	26%	60%	45%	47%	65%	49%	29%	24%	55%	42%
		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1. Not at all involved	5%	9%	4%	4%	4%	24%	3%	22%	4%	6%	6%	2%	2%	9%	29%	2%	10%
2	2%	6%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	7%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	6%	2%	3%
3	4%	7%	4%	5%	4%	14%	4%	10%	3%	4%	6%	2%	4%	9%	10%	3%	7%
4. Somewhat involved	19%	17%	21%	13%	19%	14%	18%	22%	15%	21%	17%	12%	20%	32%	16%	17%	24%
5	18%	13%	20%	18%	18%	12%	19%	8%	16%	19%	19%	15%	21%	13%	12%	20%	13%
6	15%	10%	16%	17%	16%	5%	16%	7%	15%	14%	19%	16%	17%	13%	4%	17%	12%
7. Extremely involved	35%	37%	32%	41%	35%	22%	36%	19%	45%	31%	28%	49%	32%	16%	20%	37%	30%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	6%	1%	5%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.01			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
Mean:	5.31	4.97	5.31	5.57	5.38	3.98	5.45	3.90	5.67	5.16	5.14	5.86	5.37	4.43	3.72	5.53	4.84
Std dev:	1.69	2.03	1.59	1.60	1.63	2.26	1.57	2.15	1.58	1.72	1.73	1.44	1.50	1.77	2.26	1.52	1.94
Student's t:	*	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.10	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 57

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 42

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Canadians themselves

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV07																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1395	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%	8%	3%	6%	3%	4%	9%	4%	3%	3%	3%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	33%	33%	36%	29%	32%	33%	31%	38%	27%	40%	31%	34%	32%	32%	36%	34%	33%	24%	38%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	62%	61%	60%	66%	62%	62%	65%	55%	69%	50%	65%	60%	64%	63%	55%	62%	63%	73%	57%
1. Not at all involved	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	7%	1%	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	1%	1%	3%
2	2%	3%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	0%
3	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	5%	3%	0%	2%
4. Somewhat involved	14%	14%	15%	12%	14%	14%	13%	15%	13%	19%	12%	16%	13%	13%	20%	14%	13%	13%	15%
5	16%	18%	18%	14%	15%	16%	15%	20%	12%	16%	15%	15%	16%	17%	10%	15%	17%	12%	21%
6	14%	13%	12%	16%	15%	11%	20%	13%	15%	9%	15%	13%	14%	14%	10%	13%	14%	12%	14%
7. Extremely involved	48%	48%	48%	50%	47%	51%	44%	42%	54%	41%	50%	46%	51%	49%	45%	49%	50%	61%	43%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-						.01		.01		.05			.01				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6
Mean:	5.76	5.74	5.74	5.90	5.76	5.76	5.73	5.55	5.97	5.32	5.87	5.64	5.87	5.82	5.36	5.76	5.82	6.13	5.68
Std dev:	1.53	1.54	1.51	1.45	1.52	1.56	1.54	1.60	1.43	1.81	1.43	1.63	1.43	1.47	1.85	1.53	1.46	1.32	1.48
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	-	.01	-	-	.01	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 58

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INV07	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		4%	4%	2%	1%	5%	3%	7%	6%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		33%	37%	39%	35%	34%	33%	28%	27%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		62%	58%	58%	63%	59%	64%	61%	66%
1. Not at all involved		2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	3%
2		2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	3%	3%
3		3%	5%	4%	2%	3%	5%	1%	3%
4. Somewhat involved		14%	15%	18%	16%	14%	12%	12%	13%
5		16%	18%	17%	17%	18%	16%	14%	11%
6		14%	20%	19%	11%	16%	12%	14%	11%
7. Extremely involved		48%	39%	39%	52%	43%	52%	47%	56%
DK/NR		1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)							
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7
Mean:		5.76	5.59	5.64	5.91	5.67	5.85	5.69	5.82
Std dev:		1.53	1.50	1.42	1.36	1.54	1.49	1.68	1.64
Student's t:		*	.01	-	.10	-	-	-	-

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV07											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	2%	5%	4%	5%	4%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		33%	34%	32%	34%	30%	35%	39%	32%	37%	31%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		62%	63%	61%	61%	63%	61%	55%	62%	57%	63%
1. Not at all involved		2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%
2		2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
3		3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%
4. Somewhat involved		14%	16%	12%	13%	15%	13%	12%	14%	17%	13%
5		16%	15%	16%	18%	12%	17%	25%	15%	17%	15%
6		14%	15%	12%	14%	13%	15%	9%	14%	10%	15%
7. Extremely involved		48%	47%	49%	47%	50%	46%	46%	49%	47%	49%
DK/NR		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		.01		.05		-			.05	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2
	Mean:	5.76	5.79	5.74	5.77	5.76	5.77	5.65	5.76	5.61	5.81
	Std dev:	1.53	1.46	1.58	1.49	1.59	1.44	1.58	1.53	1.62	1.50
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	.05

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-PG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INVOL																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	192	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	18%	3%	13%	3%	5%	4%	2%	3%	8%	14%	2%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		33%	35%	35%	30%	33%	38%	32%	44%	26%	34%	36%	26%	35%	35%	41%	32%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		62%	60%	60%	64%	63%	41%	64%	43%	70%	59%	59%	71%	61%	54%	41%	64%
1. Not at all involved		2%	2%	2%	2%	17%	2%	11%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	6%	12%	1%	4%
2		2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%
3		3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	7%	3%	6%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	8%	2%
4. Somewhat involved		14%	12%	15%	14%	14%	13%	22%	10%	15%	16%	10%	15%	17%	18%	13%	16%
5		16%	20%	17%	13%	16%	17%	16%	15%	13%	15%	18%	14%	17%	15%	16%	12%
6		14%	13%	15%	13%	14%	4%	14%	11%	14%	13%	16%	15%	14%	15%	4%	15%
7. Extremely involved		48%	48%	45%	51%	49%	37%	50%	57%	46%	43%	56%	47%	39%	37%	49%	47%
DK/NR		1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.01)	-	.01	-	.01	-	-	.01	-	-	-	.01	-
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4
Mean:	5.76	5.77	5.70	5.80	5.81	4.78	5.85	4.90	6.01	5.64	5.68	6.07	5.76	5.41	4.89	5.88	5.50
Std dev:	1.53	1.49	1.51	1.55	1.47	2.22	1.44	1.96	1.40	1.62	1.49	1.30	1.45	1.76	2.07	1.37	1.77
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.10	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP1																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1231	152	116	87	472	284	120	586	645	233	997	478	335	408	98	302	258	101	164
Unweighted n:	1209	140	122	121	457	231	138	493	716	399	810	574	294	330	120	304	216	92	142
The federal government		57%	56%	52%	65%	55%	63%	53%	61%	54%	50%	59%	50%	63%	43%	49%	66%	64%	68%
Your provincial gov't		6%	8%	1%	1%	5%	10%	2%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	7%	5%	7%	5%	3%	5%
Your local/regional gov		2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	8%	2%	1%	0%	0%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		4%	2%	1%	5%	5%	3%	9%	4%	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	2%	3%	5%	3%	3%
Health care professionals		4%	6%	5%	1%	3%	2%	7%	4%	3%	4%	4%	6%	1%	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%
The tobacco industry		20%	22%	29%	15%	23%	9%	22%	15%	24%	24%	19%	18%	24%	19%	21%	21%	25%	16%
Canadians themselves		4%	6%	4%	8%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	6%	3%	5%	3%	3%	11%	4%	2%	2%
DK/NR		5%	1%	5%	5%	3%	9%	4%	5%	4%	6%	4%	7%	3%	4%	8%	6%	1%	3%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)	-	-	-	+++	-	.05	-	(.10)	-	.01	-	-	(.01)	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	8	9	9	5	6	8	4	4	5	3	4	6	5	9	6	7	10	8

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RESP1								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1231	120	55	205	241	237	156	214
Unweighted n:	1209	301	160	103	148	208	160	125
The federal government	57%	45%	54%	63%	53%	62%	61%	56%
Your provincial gov't	6%	12%	13%	2%	3%	7%	7%	4%
Your local/regional gov	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	4%	7%	6%	3%	5%	3%	4%	4%
Health care professionals	4%	8%	2%	0%	2%	5%	4%	5%
The tobacco industry	20%	19%	14%	28%	23%	19%	14%	14%
Canadians themselves	4%	4%	8%	2%	3%	2%	4%	6%
DK/NR	5%	4%	1%	0%	8%	2%	5%	10%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	3	6	8	10	8	7	8	9

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 63

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 63

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Urban
RESP1									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1231	543	688	728	495	149	69	1008	286
Unweighted n:	1209	461	748	688	510	169	82	953	284
The federal government	57%	56%	58%	60%	53%	52%	38%	59%	53%
Your provincial gov't	6%	5%	6%	5%	7%	9%	9%	5%	8%
Your local/regional gov	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	8%	1%	2%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	4%	4%
Health care professionals	4%	3%	4%	2%	5%	5%	2%	3%	5%
The tobacco industry	20%	23%	17%	21%	17%	19%	22%	20%	18%
Canadians themselves	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	2%	15%	3%	3%
DK/NR	5%	3%	6%	3%	7%	4%	2%	5%	6%
Chi-Squared:		.10		.01		(.01)			
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	4	4	4	8	11	3	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 64

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 69

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
RESPI																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1231	144	638	270	1176	46	1118	92	314	419	408	382	618	118	94	836	325
Unweighted n:	1209	146	589	336	1145	57	1088	103	363	416	360	379	565	133	116	784	357
The federal government	57%	65%	59%	49%	58%	39%	58%	49%	53%	55%	62%	62%	61%	37%	31%	63%	43%
Your provincial gov't	6%	2%	7%	7%	6%	1%	6%	6%	8%	6%	4%	6%	5%	4%	9%	5%	8%
Your local/regional gov	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	4%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	4%	4%	2%	10%	4%	1%	4%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	8%	9%	3%	8%
Health care professionals	4%	5%	2%	5%	3%	8%	3%	9%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	8%	6%	3%	5%
The tobacco industry	20%	17%	20%	18%	19%	28%	19%	19%	18%	22%	20%	15%	21%	33%	18%	19%	21%
Canadians themselves	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	9%	3%	7%	4%	5%	2%	3%	2%	7%	13%	2%	6%
DK/NR	5%	3%	6%	3%	4%	11%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%	4%	3%	14%	4%	5%	5%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.05)		(.05)		.01			(.01)				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	3	8	4	5	3	13	3	10	5	5	5	5	4	8	9	3	5

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES+HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP1B																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1171	150	110	82	456	260	114	553	619	216	955	444	324	394	91	283	250	100	156
	Unweighted n:	1152	136	116	111	441	216	132	467	685	371	781	541	285	318	116	290	207	89	137
The federal government		20%	28%	26%	10%	17%	18%	24%	15%	24%	23%	19%	19%	22%	19%	24%	17%	18%	18%	19%
Your provincial gov't		42%	36%	31%	36%	38%	62%	38%	43%	42%	41%	43%	43%	43%	42%	44%	44%	41%	26%	49%
Your local/regional gov		14%	16%	10%	18%	14%	14%	12%	14%	14%	9%	15%	14%	19%	11%	12%	19%	11%	13%	17%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		19%	14%	16%	25%	20%	14%	28%	19%	19%	17%	19%	17%	23%	18%	28%	19%	16%	20%	23%
Health care professionals		18%	16%	12%	23%	23%	11%	18%	16%	19%	19%	17%	18%	17%	18%	17%	22%	15%	19%	17%
The tobacco industry		21%	18%	22%	26%	23%	17%	24%	18%	24%	19%	22%	20%	24%	20%	12%	21%	28%	25%	22%
Canadians themselves		14%	13%	11%	16%	15%	14%	16%	14%	15%	13%	15%	15%	13%	15%	16%	10%	15%	14%	14%
DK/NR		11%	18%	11%	16%	10%	7%	7%	11%	10%	11%	10%	9%	8%	14%	8%	7%	10%	19%	12%
	Chi-Squared:		.01						.05		-		-			.01				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	8	9	9	5	7	9	5	4	5	4	4	6	5	9	6	7	10	8

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RSP1B								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1171	115	54	203	221	232	149	193
Unweighted n:	1152	289	157	101	139	201	150	111
The federal government	20%	24%	23%	22%	24%	16%	20%	14%
Your provincial gov't	42%	34%	44%	40%	47%	36%	45%	49%
Your local/regional gov	14%	14%	12%	12%	17%	13%	16%	14%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	19%	16%	20%	11%	21%	27%	16%	18%
Health care professionals	18%	15%	16%	13%	20%	23%	14%	19%
The tobacco industry	21%	24%	27%	26%	15%	24%	20%	17%
Canadians themselves	14%	16%	11%	9%	15%	13%	19%	17%
DK/NR	11%	11%	9%	13%	10%	9%	12%	9%
Chi-Squared:		.05						
+/- points around 50%:	3	6	8	10	8	7	8	9

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 67

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 72

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP1B										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1171	525	647	704	460	143	67	956	269	901
Unweighted n:	1152	446	706	665	477	160	78	909	268	883
The federal government	20%	21%	19%	19%	21%	21%	24%	20%	20%	20%
Your provincial gov't	42%	44%	42%	41%	45%	43%	50%	42%	41%	43%
Your local/regional gov	14%	15%	13%	14%	15%	16%	15%	14%	10%	16%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	19%	21%	17%	20%	17%	20%	21%	19%	17%	19%
Health care professionals	18%	19%	17%	18%	17%	19%	33%	16%	18%	18%
The tobacco industry	21%	19%	23%	23%	18%	20%	20%	22%	17%	23%
Canadians themselves	14%	13%	16%	13%	17%	15%	17%	14%	9%	16%
DK/NR	11%	11%	10%	10%	12%	8%	7%	11%	9%	11%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	.05		
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	4	4	4	8	11	3	6	3

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 68

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 73

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP1B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1171	141	602	261	1123	40	1065	86	305	402	386	367	594	115	81	804	308
Unweighted n:	1152	141	563	322	1099	46	1040	95	349	397	343	365	544	129	100	756	337
The federal government	20%	18%	20%	19%	20%	9%	20%	22%	22%	23%	16%	22%	19%	20%	16%	21%	17%
Your provincial gov't	42%	40%	40%	45%	44%	13%	44%	31%	50%	37%	43%	49%	44%	27%	26%	46%	35%
Your local/regional gov	14%	7%	14%	19%	15%	6%	14%	10%	20%	13%	12%	18%	13%	12%	9%	15%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	19%	17%	18%	21%	19%	20%	19%	17%	21%	20%	17%	16%	21%	20%	14%	19%	18%
Health care profesionis	18%	14%	17%	21%	18%	28%	18%	12%	22%	18%	15%	17%	20%	13%	18%	17%	20%
The tobacco industry	21%	21%	22%	23%	22%	7%	22%	16%	22%	21%	20%	21%	22%	22%	14%	23%	15%
Canadians themselves	14%	14%	14%	17%	14%	26%	14%	22%	17%	13%	14%	15%	13%	17%	14%	14%	16%
DK/NR	11%	14%	11%	7%	10%	20%	10%	19%	7%	12%	12%	8%	10%	16%	20%	10%	11%
Chi-Squared:					(.01)			.05		.01		.01				.05	
+/- points around 50%:	3	8	4	5	3	14	3	10	5	5	5	5	4	9	10	4	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 69

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 74

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

	TOTAL	Region					Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP2																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2302	309	222	159	876	559	178	1126	1176	460	1842	906	611	758	180	572	476	194	306
Unweighted n:	2306	293	231	220	879	454	229	958	1348	795	1511	1160	521	600	230	566	414	170	259
The federal government	24%	28%	23%	24%	24%	20%	27%	26%	22%	25%	24%	21%	22%	29%	20%	22%	27%	32%	32%
Your provincial gov't	14%	13%	13%	14%	15%	13%	14%	16%	12%	13%	14%	13%	12%	16%	13%	14%	15%	13%	17%
Your local/regional gov	8%	8%	9%	6%	8%	10%	5%	9%	8%	6%	9%	9%	9%	7%	11%	8%	8%	8%	8%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	6%	5%	5%	6%	4%	8%	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	7%	4%	4%	4%
Health care profesionis	5%	3%	7%	6%	6%	4%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%	3%	7%	6%	2%	3%
The tobacco industry	6%	11%	7%	5%	6%	1%	12%	5%	7%	5%	6%	7%	7%	4%	6%	8%	5%	5%	6%
Canadians themselves	34%	29%	31%	35%	35%	39%	27%	29%	38%	37%	33%	35%	36%	30%	33%	35%	31%	35%	27%
DK/NR	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	4%	1%	0%	3%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						.01		-		.01			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	8	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 70

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 75

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RESP2								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2302	240	111	402	492	416	268	362
Unweighted n:	2306	600	324	198	292	380	279	221
The federal government	24%	17%	20%	28%	24%	29%	23%	21%
Your provincial gov't	14%	18%	17%	12%	16%	13%	17%	10%
Your local/regional gov	8%	14%	9%	6%	9%	8%	6%	8%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	6%	6%	9%	6%	8%	4%	5%	5%
Health care professionals	5%	8%	4%	3%	3%	6%	5%	7%
The tobacco industry	6%	9%	8%	12%	4%	6%	3%	2%
Canadians themselves	34%	25%	32%	33%	32%	33%	38%	42%
DK/NR	3%	2%	2%	1%	5%	1%	3%	6%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 71

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 - 72

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural
RESP2									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2302	1054	1249	1388	891	295	105	1895	519
Unweighted n:	2306	878	1428	1307	974	338	144	1813	548
The federal government	24%	27%	22%	25%	22%	20%	21%	25%	21%
Your provincial gov't	14%	13%	15%	13%	15%	12%	12%	14%	15%
Your local/regional gov	8%	7%	9%	8%	9%	13%	10%	7%	9%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%
Health care professionals	5%	4%	6%	4%	6%	6%	6%	5%	5%
The tobacco industry	6%	5%	7%	7%	4%	6%	12%	6%	5%
Canadians themselves	34%	34%	34%	33%	35%	35%	33%	34%	37%
DK/NR	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%
Chi-Squared:		.05		.01		(.10)		-	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 72

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 77

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP2																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2302	307	1204	517	2191	97	2092	181	643	807	715	745	1120	222	179	1551	625
Unweighted n:	2306	281	1116	669	2166	125	2063	211	735	800	654	759	1040	249	225	1502	676
The federal government	24%	26%	26%	18%	25%	12%	25%	16%	25%	23%	24%	29%	24%	15%	13%	30%	11%
Your provincial gov't	14%	16%	14%	16%	14%	12%	14%	11%	12%	15%	15%	13%	15%	15%	11%	13%	17%
Your local/regional gov	8%	9%	9%	9%	8%	6%	9%	7%	12%	7%	6%	10%	8%	7%	4%	8%	9%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	5%	4%	7%	6%	4%	7%	4%	8%	5%	7%
Health care professionals	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	6%
The tobacco industry	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	6%	7%	6%	7%	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%
Canadians themselves	34%	29%	32%	34%	33%	47%	33%	44%	33%	34%	35%	31%	32%	40%	50%	30%	41%
DK/NR	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%
Chi-Squared:					(.05)		.05		.05			(.01)				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 73

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 73

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP2B																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2228	301	211	153	858	529	175	1091	1137	439	1789	872	591	740	171	552	466	193	298
Unweighted n:	2230	283	221	212	861	430	223	927	1303	759	1471	1123	502	584	220	546	403	166	254
The federal government	24%	26%	26%	21%	23%	25%	23%	25%	24%	22%	25%	25%	26%	23%	21%	26%	20%	26%	29%
Your provincial gov't	41%	49%	41%	37%	38%	44%	37%	43%	39%	34%	43%	36%	43%	45%	30%	38%	42%	45%	53%
Your local/regional gov	23%	16%	27%	31%	26%	17%	31%	21%	26%	20%	24%	23%	25%	23%	16%	24%	22%	28%	26%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	22%	20%	21%	23%	20%	24%	28%	18%	26%	18%	23%	20%	23%	25%	20%	25%	21%	23%	20%
Health care professionals	29%	22%	25%	29%	29%	33%	30%	25%	32%	25%	30%	28%	30%	30%	28%	29%	29%	33%	26%
The tobacco industry	16%	21%	21%	17%	17%	10%	16%	15%	18%	15%	17%	14%	19%	17%	15%	18%	17%	22%	14%
Canadians themselves	22%	23%	24%	21%	22%	19%	28%	20%	24%	22%	22%	21%	22%	24%	30%	21%	25%	20%	19%
DK/NR	9%	9%	11%	14%	8%	9%	7%	10%	8%	16%	7%	11%	9%	6%	9%	12%	7%	6%	4%
Chi-Squared:		.01						.01		+++	----	+++		----	.01	++++			----
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	7	7	3	5	7	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	7	4	5	8	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 74

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 79

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RSP2B	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2228	233	108	402	461	408	262	343
	Unweighted n:	2230	583	317	195	278	370	270	206
	The federal government	24%	25%	26%	23%	29%	26%	18%	21%
	Your provincial gov't	41%	32%	36%	44%	39%	49%	38%	40%
	Your local/regional gov	23%	22%	25%	20%	26%	22%	20%	29%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	22%	19%	24%	19%	22%	23%	24%	25%
	Health care professions	29%	22%	26%	27%	27%	31%	30%	35%
	The tobacco industry	16%	14%	19%	20%	18%	17%	15%	10%
	Canadians themselves	22%	26%	23%	21%	21%	22%	22%	21%
	DK/NR	9%	9%	9%	8%	7%	8%	13%	9%
	Chi-Squared:		.01						
	+/- points around 50%:	2	4	6	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 75

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 80

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP2B											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2228	1018	1210	1350	859	288	103	1831	497	1728
	Unweighted n:	2230	857	1373	1272	937	329	139	1753	531	1695
The federal government		24%	26%	23%	26%	22%	28%	22%	24%	21%	25%
Your provincial gov't		41%	45%	37%	43%	38%	37%	46%	42%	35%	43%
Your local/regional gov		23%	26%	21%	23%	24%	23%	18%	24%	24%	23%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		22%	23%	21%	21%	24%	15%	22%	23%	20%	23%
Health care professions		29%	30%	28%	29%	30%	26%	22%	30%	33%	28%
The tobacco industry		16%	17%	16%	18%	15%	17%	19%	17%	17%	16%
Canadians themselves		22%	20%	24%	21%	23%	22%	24%	22%	22%	22%
DK/NR		9%	6%	11%	9%	8%	11%	12%	8%	9%	9%
	Chi-Squared:		.01				.10			.05	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 76

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP2B																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2228	300	1168	496	2123	91	2031	168	622	780	698	730	1083	215	171	1514	597
	Unweighted n:	2230	272	1087	644	2102	115	2001	199	713	772	638	746	1009	238	211	1468	645
The federal government		24%	21%	24%	26%	25%	11%	25%	15%	22%	27%	24%	27%	26%	16%	14%	26%	20%
Your provincial gov't		41%	45%	44%	36%	42%	18%	42%	33%	41%	43%	39%	47%	41%	31%	25%	46%	29%
Your local/regional gov		23%	25%	23%	26%	24%	17%	24%	17%	23%	22%	25%	26%	25%	16%	11%	27%	17%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		22%	27%	22%	20%	23%	13%	22%	22%	23%	21%	22%	20%	25%	24%	11%	24%	20%
Health care professionals		29%	33%	28%	28%	29%	21%	29%	27%	29%	27%	29%	28%	29%	27%	30%	29%	30%
The tobacco industry		16%	15%	17%	17%	17%	9%	17%	14%	16%	18%	15%	15%	18%	18%	9%	18%	14%
Canadians themselves		22%	27%	21%	22%	22%	13%	23%	13%	23%	22%	20%	19%	25%	18%	18%	23%	21%
DK/NR		9%	7%	8%	9%	7%	35%	7%	25%	8%	10%	7%	6%	7%	15%	28%	6%	15%
	Chi-Squared:		-			.01		.01		-		.01				.01		
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	4	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 77

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES 2006 • 77

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP3																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1222	159	130	89	470	266	107	609	613	240	982	510	338	359	90	314	254	92	159
Unweighted n:	1203	141	128	120	460	229	125	503	700	405	798	611	294	285	105	305	217	86	135
The federal government	73%	81%	78%	80%	69%	66%	81%	74%	71%	67%	74%	67%	75%	79%	61%	67%	79%	81%	85%
Your provincial gov't	11%	9%	9%	9%	13%	11%	11%	9%	13%	13%	11%	13%	12%	9%	9%	15%	10%	10%	5%
Your local/regional gov	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	4%	1%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Health care professionals	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
The tobacco industry	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	2%	0%	4%
Canadians themselves	6%	4%	6%	4%	8%	7%	4%	5%	8%	8%	6%	8%	7%	5%	8%	8%	3%	4%	3%
DK/NR	3%	1%	0%	2%	2%	8%	0%	3%	2%	5%	2%	4%	2%	2%	10%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						(.01)		(.10)		(.05)			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	3	8	9	9	5	6	9	4	4	5	3	4	6	6	10	6	7	11	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 78

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RESP3	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1222	120	55	202	270	218	152	196
	Unweighted n:	1203	301	160	103	153	204	159	116
	The federal government	73%	55%	71%	76%	75%	72%	83%	72%
	Your provincial gov't	11%	14%	10%	11%	10%	12%	10%	12%
	Your local/regional gov	3%	7%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
	Health care professionals	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	The tobacco industry	3%	7%	4%	3%	3%	2%	0%	1%
	Canadians themselves	6%	11%	8%	6%	7%	7%	2%	6%
	DK/NR	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	5%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
	+/- points around 50%:	3	6	8	10	8	7	8	9

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 79

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP3											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1222	55%	66%	71%	4%	14%	61	100%	2%	94%
	Unweighted n:	1203	455	74%	67%	51%	16%	7%	95%	2%	90%
	The federal government	73%	72%	74%	74%	72%	58%	59%	76%	62%	76%
	Your provincial gov't	11%	11%	11%	11%	12%	17%	18%	10%	17%	10%
	Your local/regional gov	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%
	Health care professionals	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	The tobacco industry	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%
	Canadians themselves	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	13%	10%	5%	8%	6%
	DK/NR	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%	3%	4%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.01)				(.01)	-
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	4	4	4	8	11	3	6	3

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 80

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increase	Same	Decrease	Increase	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
RESP3																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1222	165	641	292	1162	53	1109	101	349	393	411	393	584	120	100	826	331
Unweighted n:	1203	145	580	361	1137	59	1080	109	397	396	357	394	543	129	118	783	350
The federal government	73%	84%	74%	61%	73%	62%	73%	73%	68%	70%	78%	78%	75%	63%	53%	79%	61%
Your provincial gov't	11%	6%	13%	14%	12%	4%	12%	9%	15%	11%	9%	9%	11%	15%	16%	9%	17%
Your local/regional gov	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	8%	3%	5%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	5%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%
Health care professionals	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
The tobacco industry	3%	2%	2%	5%	2%	10%	2%	6%	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	7%	2%	3%
Canadians themselves	6%	4%	5%	11%	6%	9%	7%	3%	8%	5%	5%	5%	6%	8%	7%	4%	10%
DK/NR	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	5%	7%	2%	3%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.01)		-		(.05)			(.01)				(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	3	8	4	5	3	13	3	9	5	5	5	5	4	9	9	4	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 81

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP3B	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1182	158	130	86	457	245	105	585	597	225	958	489	332	350	80	305	249	90	157
	Unweighted n:	1160	138	128	116	443	212	123	480	680	380	780	589	285	276	101	291	211	83	133
	The federal government:	12%	14%	4%	10%	14%	13%	11%	8%	16%	13%	12%	12%	15%	10%	12%	15%	10%	11%	8%
Your provincial gov't		59%	61%	62%	64%	56%	61%	58%	60%	58%	56%	60%	59%	63%	57%	59%	58%	66%	52%	62%
Your local/regional gov		17%	15%	10%	17%	21%	11%	21%	14%	20%	16%	17%	17%	20%	13%	12%	24%	14%	14%	10%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		5%	0%	2%	6%	5%	8%	7%	4%	6%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	7%	7%	3%	4%	3%
Health care professionals		5%	1%	8%	7%	5%	6%	8%	6%	5%	4%	6%	7%	4%	5%	4%	10%	3%	5%	3%
The tobacco industry		10%	11%	10%	8%	10%	8%	18%	11%	10%	9%	11%	11%	7%	13%	13%	14%	8%	7%	10%
Canadians themselves		15%	18%	15%	14%	16%	14%	13%	15%	16%	15%	16%	16%	14%	15%	18%	14%	14%	21%	11%
DK/NR		14%	14%	14%	17%	13%	14%	11%	17%	11%	18%	13%	13%	12%	16%	8%	15%	14%	9%	15%
Chi-Squared:		(0.01)																		
+/- points around 50%:		3	8	9	9	5	7	9	4	4	5	4	4	6	6	10	6	7	11	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 82

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RSP3B	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1182	117	54	200	261	210	148	187
	Unweighted n:	1160	292	156	101	147	195	153	110
The federal government		12%	20%	17%	11%	10%	13%	9%	10%
Your provincial gov't		59%	47%	62%	65%	58%	55%	64%	63%
Your local/regional gov		17%	16%	16%	15%	21%	16%	19%	13%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		5%	8%	4%	2%	3%	5%	4%	9%
Health care professionals		5%	6%	5%	5%	1%	7%	5%	10%
The tobacco industry		10%	14%	8%	11%	8%	12%	6%	14%
Canadians themselves		15%	17%	13%	14%	18%	12%	15%	17%
DK/NR		14%	12%	15%	10%	16%	16%	13%	12%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)							
+/- points around 50%:		3	6	8	10	8	7	8	9

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 83

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 88

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP3B											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1182	538	644	697	475	143	61	974	268	914
	Unweighted n:	1160	439	721	651	500	161	77	916	284	875
The federal government		12%	14%	10%	11%	14%	21%	23%	10%	16%	11%
Your provincial gov't		59%	60%	59%	58%	61%	51%	63%	60%	58%	60%
Your local/regional gov		17%	21%	14%	18%	16%	17%	24%	17%	14%	18%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		5%	6%	4%	4%	7%	7%	6%	4%	3%	5%
Health care professionals		5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%	7%	5%	3%	6%
The tobacco industry		10%	10%	11%	10%	12%	13%	14%	10%	8%	11%
Canadians themselves		15%	15%	16%	15%	17%	20%	10%	15%	18%	15%
DK/NR		14%	13%	14%	16%	10%	14%	9%	14%	10%	15%
	Chi-Squared:		.05		.05		(.05)			.05	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	4	4	4	8	11	3	6	3

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 84

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP3B																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1182	160	619	282	1128	50	1072	98	339	372	403	384	573	112	93	804	320
	Unweighted n:	1160	139	561	350	1102	53	1042	105	387	374	346	388	528	120	108	762	339
	The federal government	12%	5%	11%	19%	12%	10%	13%	7%	16%	11%	8%	11%	12%	16%	12%	11%	15%
	Your provincial gov't	59%	63%	58%	59%	60%	48%	60%	48%	58%	60%	60%	63%	61%	51%	47%	63%	53%
	Your local/regional gov	17%	11%	16%	20%	17%	10%	17%	15%	19%	15%	16%	22%	15%	12%	10%	18%	13%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	2%	5%	3%	6%	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%
	Health care professionals	5%	3%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	7%	6%	7%	7%	4%	2%	7%	6%	5%
	The tobacco industry	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%	3%	11%	10%	11%	11%	10%	11%	11%	9%	7%	12%	7%
	Canadians themselves	15%	7%	17%	16%	16%	10%	16%	14%	17%	17%	12%	18%	14%	13%	11%	15%	16%
	DK/NR	14%	20%	14%	11%	13%	22%	13%	21%	13%	14%	13%	10%	13%	20%	25%	13%	15%
	Chi-Squared:		.01							.01			(.01)				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	8	4	5	3	13	3	10	5	5	5	5	4	9	9	4	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 85

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 80

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP4	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2314	310	223	159	882	560	180	1134	1179	464	1850	906	613	767	178	574	481	195	308
	Unweighted n:	2312	294	231	220	882	455	230	960	1352	797	1515	1159	523	605	228	567	416	171	260
The federal government		30%	32%	27%	32%	28%	33%	29%	33%	27%	30%	30%	29%	31%	30%	25%	29%	32%	26%	39%
Your provincial gov't		18%	17%	16%	16%	18%	23%	11%	21%	15%	15%	19%	15%	15%	24%	15%	16%	17%	15%	26%
Your local/regional gov		7%	10%	7%	5%	9%	4%	8%	5%	9%	7%	7%	8%	5%	8%	7%	8%	10%	7%	7%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		14%	13%	16%	13%	16%	11%	22%	14%	15%	15%	14%	14%	16%	14%	16%	14%	13%	22%	9%
Health care professionals		13%	12%	17%	18%	14%	10%	12%	10%	16%	11%	13%	14%	14%	12%	13%	11%	14%	15%	10%
The tobacco industry		4%	9%	3%	3%	3%	2%	7%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	2%	5%	5%	5%	2%	3%
Canadians themselves		11%	8%	12%	13%	12%	12%	10%	11%	12%	14%	11%	14%	11%	7%	18%	14%	8%	12%	4%
DK/NR		2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	0%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(0.01)																		
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 86

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 91

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RESP4	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2314	239	112	408	494	416	270	363
	Unweighted n:	2312	598	325	201	293	381	281	221
The federal government		30%	23%	27%	27%	31%	34%	35%	28%
Your provincial gov't		18%	21%	21%	19%	19%	16%	15%	15%
Your local/regional gov		7%	13%	10%	5%	7%	6%	8%	6%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		14%	15%	16%	18%	15%	13%	14%	10%
Health care professionals		13%	10%	10%	16%	10%	13%	13%	16%
The tobacco industry		4%	6%	6%	6%	4%	4%	1%	2%
Canadians themselves		11%	9%	9%	7%	12%	12%	10%	18%
DK/NR		2%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	3%	4%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)							
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 87

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 92

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP4	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2314	1058	1256	1400	891	299	104	1903	519	1791
	Unweighted n:	2312	881	1431	1314	973	339	143	1819	547	1761
The federal government		30%	32%	28%	32%	27%	21%	34%	31%	29%	30%
Your provincial gov't		18%	17%	19%	18%	18%	20%	12%	18%	16%	18%
Your local/regional gov		7%	6%	8%	7%	7%	6%	13%	7%	7%	7%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		14%	12%	16%	15%	13%	13%	11%	15%	16%	14%
Health care professionals		13%	15%	12%	13%	13%	14%	10%	13%	13%	13%
The tobacco industry		4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	6%	7%	3%	5%	3%
Canadians themselves		11%	12%	11%	9%	15%	15%	12%	10%	12%	11%
DK/NR		2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:			.05		.01		(.01)			-	
+/- points around 50%:		2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 88

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 93

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP4																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2314	305	1211	518	2201	98	2102	182	643	811	722	746	1129	223	178	1563	625
Unweighted n:	2312	279	1121	670	2171	126	2067	213	736	801	657	760	1044	251	224	1508	676
The federal government	30%	34%	31%	27%	30%	21%	31%	18%	33%	28%	30%	39%	30%	16%	14%	37%	14%
Your provincial gov't	18%	21%	19%	16%	18%	7%	18%	15%	16%	18%	19%	19%	19%	11%	16%	18%	17%
Your local/regional gov	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	10%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	10%	8%	7%	9%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	14%	13%	13%	19%	14%	15%	14%	19%	14%	16%	15%	11%	16%	19%	14%	12%	20%
Health care profesionis	13%	10%	14%	12%	13%	18%	13%	18%	13%	12%	13%	10%	14%	17%	19%	11%	16%
The tobacco industry	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%	6%	7%	4%	5%
Canadians themselves	11%	10%	10%	11%	11%	18%	11%	18%	10%	13%	11%	10%	9%	19%	19%	9%	17%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:					(.01)		(.01)					(.01)				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 89

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 84

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

		TOTAL	Region					Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
			BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP4B	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2261	308	215	156	870	535	176	1107	1154	443	1818	886	603	747	175	557	475	195	301
	Unweighted n:	2260	291	224	215	870	435	225	936	1324	766	1494	1136	513	589	223	552	410	170	254
The federal government		22%	23%	23%	23%	20%	25%	22%	20%	24%	20%	23%	22%	25%	22%	20%	24%	19%	27%	19%
Your provincial gov't		39%	39%	41%	40%	35%	43%	43%	39%	39%	36%	40%	37%	42%	40%	32%	39%	41%	45%	39%
Your local/regional gov		22%	21%	25%	25%	18%	18%	21%	23%	22%	16%	24%	21%	25%	22%	18%	23%	20%	21%	30%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		28%	35%	27%	33%	27%	23%	30%	23%	32%	22%	29%	22%	31%	31%	23%	27%	29%	30%	32%
Health care profesionis		29%	26%	26%	28%	29%	29%	35%	28%	30%	26%	30%	28%	29%	31%	30%	31%	28%	22%	34%
The tobacco industry		14%	15%	11%	17%	14%	12%	17%	13%	15%	12%	14%	15%	16%	12%	14%	16%	13%	16%	12%
Canadians themselves		22%	23%	17%	23%	24%	20%	24%	19%	25%	20%	23%	20%	23%	23%	20%	20%	22%	18%	19%
DK/NR		8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	6%	8%	7%	11%	7%	9%	6%	7%	14%	9%	4%	8%	4%
Chi-Squared:			.10						.01		+++	---	++	---	++	---	+++	++	---	---
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	7	7	3	5	7	3	3	4	3	.01	3	4	4	7	4	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 90

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 95

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RSP4B								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2261	235	111	401	481	411	263	347
Unweighted n:	2260	590	322	195	284	373	274	211
The federal government	22%	23%	21%	26%	23%	19%	19%	23%
Your provincial gov't	39%	30%	35%	40%	39%	44%	41%	38%
Your local/regional gov	22%	24%	18%	21%	27%	20%	19%	23%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	28%	26%	29%	26%	29%	30%	26%	28%
Health care professionals	29%	22%	24%	26%	30%	31%	34%	32%
The tobacco industry	14%	11%	15%	13%	14%	13%	16%	17%
Canadians themselves	22%	21%	24%	17%	24%	23%	24%	23%
DK/NR	8%	6%	9%	5%	9%	6%	8%	10%
Chi-Squared:		.05						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 91

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 95

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP4B										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2261	1035	1226	1374	866	288	103	1863	509	1749
Unweighted n:	2260	864	1396	1286	951	332	141	1776	535	1721
The federal government	22%	25%	20%	22%	23%	25%	16%	22%	26%	21%
Your provincial gov't	39%	43%	36%	41%	36%	33%	37%	40%	39%	39%
Your local/regional gov	22%	24%	22%	23%	22%	25%	22%	22%	21%	23%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	28%	30%	26%	27%	28%	21%	29%	28%	25%	28%
Health care professionals	29%	30%	28%	28%	31%	24%	22%	30%	30%	29%
The tobacco industry	14%	13%	14%	13%	15%	12%	15%	14%	13%	14%
Canadians themselves	22%	25%	20%	22%	22%	25%	17%	22%	22%	22%
DK/NR	8%	5%	10%	7%	9%	10%	5%	7%	7%	8%
Chi-Squared:		.01				.10				
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 92

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 97

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP4B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2261	301	1189	508	2158	90	2060	174	630	794	710	736	1098	218	175	1536	613
Unweighted n:	2260	274	1103	660	2132	115	2028	203	723	781	646	752	1020	243	217	1485	654
The federal government	22%	21%	23%	23%	23%	10%	23%	20%	23%	24%	19%	24%	24%	13%	12%	24%	17%
Your provincial gov't	39%	43%	38%	41%	40%	19%	40%	26%	40%	38%	40%	42%	42%	32%	23%	44%	28%
Your local/regional gov	22%	24%	23%	23%	23%	6%	24%	12%	22%	22%	22%	25%	24%	14%	13%	26%	15%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	28%	28%	28%	27%	29%	8%	29%	20%	27%	27%	27%	28%	30%	23%	19%	30%	23%
Health care professionals	29%	33%	28%	27%	29%	18%	29%	26%	29%	31%	26%	30%	29%	28%	22%	30%	27%
The tobacco industry	14%	14%	13%	15%	14%	12%	14%	13%	14%	16%	12%	15%	15%	14%	7%	15%	11%
Canadians themselves	22%	18%	22%	22%	22%	19%	22%	23%	26%	21%	17%	26%	21%	14%	23%	21%	24%
DK/NR	8%	6%	7%	6%	6%	33%	6%	20%	7%	8%	8%	5%	5%	15%	25%	5%	11%
Chi-Squared:					.01		.01		.05			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	4	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 93

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES 2006-06

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP5																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2313	310	223	159	882	560	180	1132	1182	461	1852	908	612	768	180	573	479	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2314	294	232	220	883	455	230	959	1355	797	1517	1162	522	606	230	567	415	171	261
The federal government:		34%	36%	28%	35%	32%	38%	34%	33%	36%	27%	36%	31%	36%	36%	27%	37%	36%	37%	35%
Your provincial gov't:		24%	27%	24%	26%	21%	25%	23%	25%	22%	17%	25%	20%	22%	29%	18%	21%	25%	20%	33%
Your local/regional gov:		11%	13%	19%	7%	13%	7%	8%	11%	12%	9%	12%	12%	12%	11%	10%	11%	11%	16%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs:		3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	5%
Health care professionals:		2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	0%	2%	1%
The tobacco industry:		1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%
Canadians themselves:		21%	16%	20%	24%	24%	19%	21%	21%	22%	33%	18%	24%	24%	15%	23%	21%	23%	21%	12%
DK/NR:		4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	4%	3%	7%	3%	5%	3%	2%	11%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						.05		.01		.01			(.01)				
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 94

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006-09

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RESP5								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2313	240	112	407	492	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2314	600	325	200	292	382	282	222
The federal government	34%	31%	40%	34%	41%	34%	32%	28%
Your provincial gov't	24%	28%	30%	24%	21%	22%	26%	23%
Your local/regional gov	11%	13%	9%	10%	10%	13%	11%	15%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%
Health care professionals	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	5%
The tobacco industry	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Canadians themselves	21%	17%	13%	25%	21%	21%	22%	21%
DK/NR	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	6%	6%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 95

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 100

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP5										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2313	1056	1257	1398	893	299	105	1903	523	1788
Unweighted n:	2314	881	1433	1315	975	339	144	1821	551	1759
The federal government	34%	37%	32%	38%	29%	29%	32%	35%	33%	34%
Your provincial gov't	24%	24%	24%	22%	26%	16%	16%	25%	22%	24%
Your local/regional gov	11%	10%	12%	10%	13%	16%	8%	11%	10%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%
Health care professionals	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%
The tobacco industry	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	6%	1%	2%	1%
Canadians themselves	21%	22%	21%	21%	21%	29%	25%	20%	24%	20%
DK/NR	4%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	6%	3%	4%	4%
Chi-Squared:		.01	----	.01		(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 96

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 101

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP5																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2313	307	1209	519	2202	97	2104	180	645	809	721	747	1129	223	179	1563	624
	Unweighted n:	2314	281	1120	671	2174	125	2071	211	738	801	657	761	1044	251	225	1510	676
The federal government		34%	32%	35%	33%	35%	15%	36%	9%	36%	31%	36%	44%	36%	16%	6%	41%	18%
Your provincial gov't		24%	29%	24%	24%	24%	10%	24%	19%	22%	25%	23%	22%	26%	23%	19%	25%	21%
Your local/regional gov		11%	10%	12%	11%	12%	11%	12%	10%	11%	11%	12%	11%	11%	12%	13%	11%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	5%	5%	2%	6%
Health care professionals		2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	6%	2%	5%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%
The tobacco industry		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%
Canadians themselves		21%	22%	19%	22%	20%	37%	19%	43%	21%	23%	19%	17%	18%	34%	42%	16%	32%
DK/NR		4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	16%	3%	8%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	7%	10%	2%	6%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		(.01)		.05			(.01)				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 97

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES 2006 • 102

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP5B																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2217	300	212	151	850	529	175	1073	1144	426	1791	860	591	743	160	557	462	191	301
Unweighted n:	2212	284	221	205	849	429	224	913	1299	736	1476	1109	502	582	217	546	395	168	251
The federal government	21%	21%	24%	26%	18%	22%	29%	21%	22%	21%	22%	21%	21%	23%	15%	23%	22%	27%	25%
Your provincial gov't	41%	39%	45%	39%	38%	46%	38%	40%	42%	37%	42%	36%	46%	42%	31%	41%	42%	46%	42%
Your local/regional gov	27%	28%	26%	28%	30%	22%	26%	27%	26%	21%	28%	25%	29%	27%	26%	25%	26%	26%	34%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	17%	16%	14%	19%	17%	20%	16%	16%	18%	17%	18%	17%	17%	18%	16%	18%	16%	20%	19%
Health care professionals	17%	14%	15%	20%	18%	18%	18%	16%	19%	18%	17%	18%	17%	17%	19%	20%	15%	14%	21%
The tobacco industry	11%	9%	12%	13%	11%	12%	15%	11%	12%	12%	11%	13%	11%	10%	13%	15%	9%	12%	12%
Canadians themselves	26%	28%	24%	25%	28%	23%	27%	25%	27%	19%	28%	23%	29%	27%	24%	27%	26%	26%	29%
DK/NR	11%	10%	8%	13%	12%	5%	13%	9%	20%	9%	13%	11%	8%	14%	10%	8%	11%	9%	
Chi-Squared:		.05						.10		.01		.05			.05				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	7	7	3	5	7	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	7	4	5	8	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 98

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 103

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RSP5B								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2217	234	110	393	477	403	254	337
Unweighted n:	2212	586	319	192	285	359	259	202
The federal government	21%	23%	22%	25%	22%	22%	15%	19%
Your provincial gov't	41%	35%	38%	46%	43%	43%	39%	36%
Your local/regional gov	27%	27%	27%	22%	30%	24%	25%	32%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	17%	13%	14%	13%	21%	19%	20%	17%
Health care professionals	17%	11%	15%	13%	21%	19%	19%	21%
The tobacco industry	11%	9%	17%	10%	13%	12%	11%	10%
Canadians themselves	26%	24%	29%	22%	29%	24%	24%	31%
DK/NR	11%	9%	9%	14%	7%	12%	16%	11%
Chi-Squared:		.01						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 99

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 104

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP5B										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2217	1032	1185	1345	854	287	99	1829	502	1712
Unweighted n:	2212	853	1359	1256	936	329	138	1741	525	1683
The federal government	21%	23%	20%	21%	22%	23%	21%	21%	17%	23%
Your provincial gov't	41%	44%	38%	43%	37%	39%	35%	41%	43%	40%
Your local/regional gov	27%	27%	26%	26%	28%	23%	26%	27%	26%	27%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	17%	17%	17%	17%	18%	18%	15%	17%	15%	18%
Health care professionals	17%	19%	16%	16%	19%	21%	13%	17%	18%	17%
The tobacco industry	11%	13%	10%	12%	11%	15%	11%	11%	9%	12%
Canadians themselves	26%	28%	24%	26%	26%	27%	17%	26%	25%	26%
DK/NR	11%	8%	14%	10%	12%	15%	18%	10%	12%	11%
Chi-Squared:		.01				.05			.10	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 100

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 105

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP5B																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2217	297	1163	504	2122	81	2030	164	624	783	677	732	1088	204	160	1521	584
	Unweighted n:	2212	268	1076	650	2092	107	1999	187	714	765	621	747	1012	227	196	1471	626
The federal government		21%	21%	22%	23%	22%	9%	22%	13%	24%	22%	21%	23%	23%	16%	11%	26%	12%
Your provincial gov't		41%	38%	43%	36%	42%	24%	42%	24%	44%	39%	40%	46%	43%	28%	20%	46%	29%
Your local/regional gov		27%	32%	25%	24%	27%	17%	28%	19%	26%	26%	29%	30%	28%	23%	12%	30%	18%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		17%	17%	16%	19%	18%	12%	17%	17%	20%	16%	16%	19%	17%	19%	10%	18%	16%
Health care professionals		17%	14%	17%	18%	18%	11%	17%	17%	22%	14%	16%	22%	15%	13%	18%	17%	17%
The tobacco industry		11%	11%	11%	13%	12%	6%	12%	8%	15%	9%	11%	13%	11%	9%	8%	13%	7%
Canadians themselves		26%	22%	28%	25%	26%	13%	27%	17%	25%	27%	25%	24%	29%	20%	23%	26%	25%
DK/NR		11%	9%	11%	12%	10%	32%	9%	35%	9%	14%	9%	7%	9%	20%	32%	7%	18%
	Chi-Squared:		-			.01	+++	.01	+++	.01	+++	.01	+++	+++	+++	+++	.01	+++
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	4	4	4	3	7	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 101

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 100

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP6																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1259	160	110	82	518	276	113	576	684	241	1018	474	361	405	103	335	250	102	159
	Unweighted n:	1244	152	120	110	500	228	134	500	744	422	822	604	309	315	136	319	219	87	133
	The federal government	61%	53%	56%	69%	59%	67%	62%	61%	60%	52%	63%	54%	61%	69%	44%	59%	63%	69%	72%
	Your provincial gov't	18%	29%	14%	16%	17%	14%	22%	18%	18%	16%	19%	19%	18%	17%	21%	21%	22%	18%	16%
	Your local/regional gov	3%	2%	1%	0%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Health care professionals	3%	2%	8%	1%	3%	3%	0%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	0%	1%
	The tobacco industry	5%	5%	7%	3%	5%	2%	8%	5%	5%	9%	4%	6%	6%	2%	10%	5%	3%	6%	3%
	Canadians themselves	6%	6%	5%	6%	9%	3%	5%	6%	7%	11%	5%	9%	6%	3%	11%	5%	6%	4%	3%
	DK/NR	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	6%	1%	3%	3%	5%	2%	4%	1%	3%	7%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)				+++			-	(.01)		.01			(.01)				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	8	9	9	4	6	8	4	4	5	3	4	6	6	8	5	7	11	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 102

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 107

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RESP6	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1259	122	59	211	268	237	146	210
	Unweighted n:	1244	307	168	104	160	212	152	134
	The federal government	61%	43%	67%	68%	61%	63%	62%	59%
	Your provincial gov't	18%	25%	20%	9%	20%	17%	20%	20%
	Your local/regional gov	3%	7%	1%	4%	0%	4%	3%	1%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	Health care profesionls	3%	3%	2%	7%	0%	2%	0%	6%
	The tobacco industry	5%	12%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%	1%
	Canadians themselves	6%	7%	3%	4%	9%	5%	5%	8%
	DK/NR	3%	3%	0%	1%	6%	2%	3%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)			+++			
	+/- points around 50%:	3	6	8	10	8	7	8	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 103

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 108

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP6	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1259	587	672	744	498	166	65	1020	288	968
	Unweighted n:	1244	482	762	693	534	184	90	965	291	950
	The federal government	61%	64%	58%	62%	59%	53%	56%	63%	59%	61%
	Your provincial gov't	18%	17%	19%	17%	21%	12%	15%	19%	17%	18%
	Your local/regional gov	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	Health care profesionls	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	7%	3%	2%	3%	3%
	The tobacco industry	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	10%	15%	3%	5%	5%
	Canadians themselves	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	3%	6%	8%	6%
	DK/NR	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	8%	2%	2%	3%	3%
	Chi-Squared:		.05		-		+++		----		-
	+/- points around 50%:	3	4	4	4	4	7	10	3	6	3

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 104

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 109

06/11/03

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP6																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1259	170	619	309	1204	42	1163	78	343	435	387	390	645	105	96	852	337
	Unweighted n:	1244	162	573	371	1170	61	1122	100	390	438	339	387	589	121	126	810	363
The federal government		61%	66%	65%	54%	62%	34%	62%	52%	58%	59%	64%	69%	64%	41%	29%	69%	41%
Your provincial gov't		18%	21%	17%	20%	19%	6%	18%	16%	18%	18%	20%	12%	20%	27%	14%	17%	22%
Your local/regional gov		3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	7%	2%	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	6%	2%	3%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	15%	1%	8%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	8%	1%	4%
Health care professionals		3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	0%	6%	2%	6%
The tobacco industry		5%	3%	5%	8%	5%	5%	5%	6%	7%	7%	1%	5%	3%	12%	8%	4%	8%
Canadians themselves		6%	3%	6%	6%	5%	20%	5%	12%	4%	7%	5%	4%	4%	14%	22%	3%	13%
DK/NR		3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	10%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	6%	2%	4%
	Chi-Squared:		(.05)			(.01)		(.01)		.01			(.01)				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	8	4	5	3	13	3	10	5	5	5	5	4	9	9	3	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 105

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 110

06/11/03

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP6B																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1216	155	103	78	507	260	112	554	662	227	990	454	357	389	95	324	246	99	154
Unweighted n:	1200	145	115	105	486	218	131	481	719	398	802	583	301	302	129	307	214	84	127
The federal government	16%	21%	18%	13%	16%	11%	16%	15%	16%	14%	16%	17%	14%	15%	20%	16%	17%	14%	9%
Your provincial gov't	51%	43%	47%	48%	48%	65%	49%	49%	52%	49%	51%	45%	53%	56%	43%	46%	56%	50%	62%
Your local/regional gov	21%	18%	21%	27%	25%	13%	23%	22%	20%	13%	23%	21%	18%	23%	19%	22%	23%	19%	19%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	11%	15%	11%	10%	10%	13%	12%	10%	12%	8%	12%	10%	15%	10%	12%	13%	9%	10%	6%
Health care profesionis	11%	12%	9%	18%	10%	8%	17%	9%	13%	10%	11%	12%	11%	10%	17%	12%	11%	9%	4%
The tobacco industry	11%	14%	9%	7%	14%	5%	14%	11%	12%	10%	12%	13%	10%	11%	14%	13%	13%	6%	11%
Canadians themselves	12%	11%	13%	19%	13%	10%	11%	10%	14%	12%	12%	11%	13%	13%	11%	16%	14%	14%	10%
DK/NR	14%	15%	19%	15%	12%	13%	13%	17%	11%	19%	12%	12%	15%	14%	10%	12%	12%	21%	16%
Chi-Squared:		.01						.01		.01		-			.05			++	
+/- points around 50%:	3	8	9	10	4	7	9	4	4	5	3	4	6	6	9	6	7	11	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 106

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 111

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RSP6B	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1216	119	58	206	252	230	140	204
	Unweighted n:	1200	300	165	101	151	203	146	128
The federal government		16%	29%	16%	15%	18%	11%	14%	13%
Your provincial gov't		51%	37%	48%	57%	50%	54%	55%	48%
Your local/regional gov		21%	20%	14%	21%	21%	19%	21%	27%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		11%	10%	9%	9%	13%	10%	13%	14%
Health care profesionls		11%	10%	9%	3%	11%	11%	11%	20%
The tobacco industry		11%	12%	13%	8%	17%	10%	12%	8%
Canadians themselves		12%	12%	13%	15%	11%	11%	12%	12%
DK/NR		14%	11%	16%	15%	8%	20%	15%	12%
Chi-Squared:			.01						
+/- points around 50%:		3	6	8	10	8	7	8	9

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 107

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 112

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP6B	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1216	571	645	717	487	152	63	994	278	935
	Unweighted n:	1200	467	733	666	521	173	87	937	281	916
The federal government		16%	14%	17%	15%	16%	17%	19%	15%	13%	16%
Your provincial gov't		51%	54%	48%	53%	48%	41%	52%	48%	48%	52%
Your local/regional gov		21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	22%	16%	21%	16%	23%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		11%	11%	12%	10%	13%	13%	11%	11%	10%	12%
Health care professionals		11%	10%	12%	9%	14%	13%	11%	11%	11%	11%
The tobacco industry		11%	12%	11%	12%	10%	14%	14%	11%	12%	11%
Canadians themselves		12%	13%	12%	13%	12%	19%	24%	11%	10%	13%
DK/NR		14%	13%	14%	14%	13%	11%	16%	14%	15%	13%
Chi-Squared:			-	-	-	.10				-	
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	4	4	4	7	11	3	6	3

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 108

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 113

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP6B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1216	167	599	299	1167	38	1124	75	334	417	374	377	625	102	89	832	322
Unweighted n:	1200	156	557	359	1137	52	1085	96	384	419	327	378	572	117	114	795	344
The federal government	16%	14%	13%	20%	16%	11%	16%	5%	16%	16%	15%	16%	15%	16%	17%	16%	17%
Your provincial gov't	51%	49%	55%	46%	51%	27%	52%	35%	51%	53%	49%	53%	56%	33%	32%	56%	40%
Your local/regional gov	21%	8%	23%	23%	21%	5%	22%	9%	25%	22%	17%	21%	24%	12%	12%	24%	16%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	11%	12%	9%	13%	12%	6%	12%	5%	12%	9%	10%	14%	11%	9%	5%	10%	13%
Health care professionals	11%	7%	10%	14%	11%	16%	11%	15%	13%	10%	11%	12%	10%	13%	14%	10%	15%
The tobacco industry	11%	9%	10%	12%	11%	19%	12%	7%	12%	12%	10%	12%	12%	10%	10%	13%	10%
Canadians themselves	12%	7%	10%	18%	13%	7%	13%	7%	13%	11%	14%	14%	13%	10%	7%	12%	13%
DK/NR	14%	22%	14%	9%	13%	37%	12%	35%	10%	14%	17%	10%	13%	23%	24%	12%	16%
Chi-Squared:		+++		---	---	+++	---	+++	---	++	---	---	---	+++	+++		+
+/- points around 50%:	3	8	4	5	(.01)	3	14	3	10	5	5	5	4	9	9	3	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 109

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SM7																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
Not at all		80%	83%	79%	84%	80%	76%	76%	77%	81%	0%	99%	76%	79%	84%	71%	75%	81%	81%	81%
			+				--			++		+++	--		+++	--	--	+		
Occasionally		4%	3%	3%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	4%	22%	0%	4%	6%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%
											+++	--		+						
Every day		16%	13%	18%	11%	15%	18%	19%	17%	14%	78%	0%	20%	15%	11%	25%	21%	14%	16%	13%
					--		+		+		+++	--	+++	--	++	++	++	--	--	--
DK/NR		0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
											--	++								
	Chi-Squared:		-					-			(.01)		(.01)			(.05)				
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
SM7								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Not at all	80%	94%	86%	74%	73%	78%	78%	87%
Occasionally	4%	2%	4%	6%	6%	4%	4%	3%
Every day	16%	3%	7%	21%	22%	18%	17%	10%
DK/NR	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		+++	+++	---	---	---	---	---
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 110

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

	Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
	TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SM7										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
Not at all	80%	79%	80%	77%	84%	81%	67%	80%	76%	80%
Occasionally	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	6%	4%	4%	4%
Every day	16%	16%	16%	18%	12%	15%	27%	15%	19%	15%
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:				(.01)		(.05)				
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SM7																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Not at all		80%	76%	81%	79%	81%	46%	82%	50%	86%	75%	78%	88%	83%	62%	47%	84%	69%
Occasionally		4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	9%	4%	9%	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	8%	10%	3%	7%
Every day		16%	18%	15%	16%	14%	45%	13%	41%	11%	20%	16%	9%	13%	29%	43%	12%	24%
DK/NR		0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 111

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 115

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

	Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SMK2																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	465	49	47	25	167	133	43	250	215	465	0	212	129	117	52	145	93	37	56
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	800	0	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
5 or less	21%	20%	14%	24%	22%	23%	14%	17%	24%	21%	0%	14%	27%	26%	19%	17%	23%	19%	27%
6-10	25%	27%	14%	22%	25%	26%	31%	23%	27%	25%	0%	25%	23%	26%	21%	28%	24%	26%	19%
11-20	35%	36%	50%	33%	34%	31%	36%	36%	34%	35%	0%	38%	33%	32%	34%	39%	30%	34%	30%
more than 20	18%	16%	22%	14%	18%	19%	17%	23%	13%	18%	0%	21%	16%	15%	26%	15%	20%	21%	25%
DK/NR	2%	1%	0%	8%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:								.05				.10							
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	3	+	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10
Mean:	14.0	14.1	16.4	12.6	13.9	13.5	14.0	15.0	12.8	14.0	+	15.0	12.8	13.2	16.0	13.5	13.4	14.6	14.7
Std dev:	9.5	9.6	9.9	8.4	10.0	9.1	9.1	9.7	9.1	9.5	+	8.8	9.4	10.5	10.9	8.1	8.9	9.2	11.9
Student's t:	+	-	.05	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	+	+	.01	.05	-	.10	-	-	-	-

	Age							
	TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
SMK2								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	465	10	12	107	134	91	57	49
Unweighted n:	800	74	92	104	155	175	125	71
5 or less	21%	38%	40%	25%	20%	16%	15%	16%
6-10	25%	25%	26%	27%	27%	14%	27%	33%
11-20	35%	25%	23%	35%	35%	42%	28%	35%
more than 20	18%	7%	9%	11%	18%	26%	29%	11%
DK/NR	2%	5%	3%	1%	0%	2%	1%	5%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)						
+/- points around 50%:	3	11	10	10	8	7	9	12
Mean:	14.0	9.6	10.2	12.2	13.3	16.9	16.1	13.4
Std dev:	9.5	8.5	11.5	9.3	8.3	9.8	11.7	7.9
Student's t:	+	.01	.01	.05	-	.01	.05	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 112

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 117

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SMK2										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	465	215	250	317	137	55	35	377	120	345
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
5 or less	21%	20%	21%	21%	21%	15%	11%	22%	16%	22%
6-10	25%	25%	25%	24%	25%	25%	27%	24%	24%	25%
11-20	35%	35%	35%	37%	30%	47%	41%	33%	40%	33%
more than 20	19%	19%	18%	16%	22%	13%	19%	19%	17%	19%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	3%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:	14.0	14.1	13.9	13.7	14.6	13.9	14.9	14.0	14.4	13.8
Std dev:	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.2	10.3	8.3	7.8	9.9	9.0	9.7
Student's t:	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increas	Same	Decreas	Increase	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SMK2																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	465	71	232	108	409	53	361	90	87	200	156	85	193	83	96	239	193
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
5 or less		21%	26%	19%	21%	22%	7%	23%	12%	19%	18%	24%	20%	26%	17%	12%	24%	16%
						+++		+++						+++		+++		
6-10		25%	22%	24%	30%	23%	35%	25%	25%	30%	24%	22%	29%	25%	22%	23%	25%	24%
						--	++			+								
11-20		35%	37%	37%	28%	36%	28%	35%	32%	35%	35%	37%	31%	34%	38%	36%	34%	38%
					--													
more than 20		18%	15%	18%	21%	17%	28%	15%	29%	15%	22%	15%	20%	12%	23%	26%	16%	20%
					--	--	++	----	++++		++			----		+++		
DK/NR		2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%
	Chi-Squared:		-			.01		.01		-			.05				-	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5
	Mean:	14.0	13.3	14.0	14.3	13.6	17.1	13.1	17.4	13.1	15.0	13.4	14.0	12.0	15.6	16.8	13.2	14.8
	Std dev:	9.5	9.9	9.0	10.7	9.2	11.6	8.8	11.5	8.9	9.7	9.5	9.6	8.2	11.3	9.6	9.1	9.8
	Student's t:	+	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.05	-	-	.01	.10	.01	.05	.05

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 113

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 118

Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SM9																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1852	260	176	134	716	429	137	886	966	0	1852	697	484	650	128	430	388	158	253
Unweighted n:	1517	206	150	150	597	271	143	617	900	0	1517	775	307	420	132	324	270	114	169
Yes	40%	43%	32%	40%	37%	44%	45%	42%	37%	0%	40%	43%	42%	35%	28%	37%	55%	43%	36%
No	60%	57%	67%	59%	63%	55%	55%	57%	62%	0%	60%	57%	58%	65%	72%	63%	45%	57%	64%
DK/NR	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)	-	-	-	-	-	(.10)	-	-	-	(.05)	-	-	.01	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	7	8	8	4	6	8	4	3	+	3	4	6	5	9	5	6	9	8

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
SM9								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1852	229	99	301	360	326	214	315
Unweighted n:	1517	526	233	97	138	207	157	151
Yes	40%	5%	14%	36%	35%	50%	60%	58%
No	60%	95%	86%	64%	64%	50%	39%	42%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	4	6	10	8	7	8	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 114

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 119

		Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
		TOTAL							
SM9	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1852	844	1008	1084	756	244	70	1529
	Unweighted n:	1517	556	961	792	713	240	82	1184
Yes		40%	40%	39%	39%	41%	23%	36%	43%
No		60%	59%	61%	61%	59%	77%	64%	57%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:					(.01)			(.05)
	+/- points around 50%:	3	4	3	3	4	6	11	3

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SM9	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1852	237	979	411	1796	45	1745	91	558	611	566	661	937	140	85	1324	435
	Unweighted n:	1517	173	722	475	1470	38	1442	64	558	476	398	599	731	102	65	1104	341
Yes		40%	45%	42%	24%	39%	57%	38%	65%	35%	40%	45%	38%	38%	46%	52%	40%	39%
			++	+++	----	--	++	----	+++	----	+++	+	+	+	+	++		
No		60%	54%	58%	76%	60%	43%	61%	35%	65%	60%	54%	62%	61%	54%	46%	60%	61%
				----	++++	++	--	++++	----	+++								
DK/NR		0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%
			++									+++				++		
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.10)		(.01)		(.01)			(.05)				-	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	7	4	4	3	16	3	12	4	4	5	4	4	10	12	3	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 115

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 120

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
		TOTAL																	
SMST2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171
Smoker		20%	16%	21%	16%	19%	24%	24%	22%	18%	100%	0%	23%	21%	15%	29%	25%	19%	18%
Past smoker		32%	36%	25%	34%	30%	34%	33%	30%	0%	40%	33%	33%	30%	20%	28%	44%	35%	30%
Non-smoker		48%	48%	54%	51%	51%	43%	45%	51%	0%	60%	44%	46%	55%	51%	47%	37%	46%	52%
	Chi-Squared:		.01			++	---	---	++	---	++	---	++	++	+	---	---	---	++
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

		Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
		TOTAL						
SMST2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	222
Smoker		20%	4%	11%	26%	27%	22%	21%
Past smoker		32%	5%	13%	26%	25%	39%	50%
Non-smoker		48%	91%	76%	47%	47%	39%	32%
	Chi-Squared:		.01	++	++	---	---	---
	+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 116

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 121

SMST2

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Urban
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551
Smoker	20%	20%	20%	23%	15%	18%	33%	20%	23%
Past smoker	32%	32%	31%	30%	35%	18%	24%	34%	35%
Non-smoker	48%	47%	49%	47%	50%	63%	43%	46%	42%
Chi-Squared:				.01		.01			.01
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4

SMST2

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SMST2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Smoker		20%	23%	19%	21%	19%	54%	17%	50%	13%	25%	22%	11%	17%	37%	53%	15%	31%
Past smoker		32%	35%	34%	19%	32%	26%	32%	33%	30%	30%	36%	34%	32%	29%	24%	34%	27%
Non-smoker		48%	42%	47%	61%	49%	20%	51%	17%	57%	45%	43%	55%	51%	34%	23%	51%	42%
Chi-Squared:			.01			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 117

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 122

AGE

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
AGE																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
15 years old		1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
16-19 years		9%	9%	11%	9%	10%	8%	11%	11%	8%	2%	11%	20%	3%	1%	13%	6%	6%	7%	5%
20-24 years		5%	4%	4%	3%	5%	6%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%	6%	10%	6%	3%	4%	4%
25-34 years		18%	17%	21%	19%	16%	19%	15%	17%	18%	23%	16%	8%	29%	20%	11%	19%	24%	26%	17%
35-44 years		21%	21%	17%	17%	22%	24%	21%	20%	23%	29%	19%	14%	25%	27%	18%	20%	27%	31%	26%
45-54 years		18%	16%	21%	18%	20%	14%	20%	18%	18%	20%	18%	16%	21%	18%	10%	16%	23%	22%	26%
55-64 years		12%	16%	11%	11%	10%	12%	11%	11%	12%	12%	12%	13%	8%	13%	13%	13%	12%	6%	12%
65 years or older		16%	15%	15%	22%	15%	15%	17%	16%	15%	10%	17%	21%	8%	15%	25%	20%	11%	8%	5%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-							.10		(.01)		(.01)		++	(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 118

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 123

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
AGE	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
15 years old		1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
16-19 years		9%	92%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
20-24 years		5%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
25-34 years		18%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
35-44 years		21%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
45-54 years		18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
55-64 years		12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
65 years or older		16%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)							
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 119

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
AGE											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
15 years old		1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%
16-19 years		9%	5%	13%	6%	15%	17%	15%	8%	9%	10%
20-24 years		5%	3%	6%	5%	4%	6%	7%	5%	4%	5%
25-34 years		18%	19%	17%	24%	8%	18%	28%	18%	12%	19%
35-44 years		21%	35%	10%	29%	8%	22%	13%	21%	22%	21%
45-54 years		18%	24%	13%	24%	9%	18%	18%	18%	19%	18%
55-64 years		12%	6%	16%	9%	15%	9%	9%	12%	14%	11%
65 years or older		16%	7%	23%	2%	38%	10%	7%	17%	18%	15%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR		1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:			.01		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:		2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 120

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
AGE																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
15 years old		1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
16-19 years		9%	8%	7%	19%	9%	11%	10%	5%	17%	8%	5%	15%	8%	5%	5%	10%	8%
20-24 years		5%	3%	5%	8%	5%	4%	5%	4%	7%	4%	4%	6%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%
25-34 years		18%	11%	20%	22%	17%	23%	18%	13%	17%	24%	13%	16%	20%	15%	11%	18%	18%
35-44 years		21%	23%	22%	21%	21%	16%	22%	16%	19%	22%	22%	18%	23%	24%	23%	22%	19%
45-54 years		18%	25%	20%	12%	18%	16%	18%	18%	18%	17%	19%	16%	19%	21%	20%	19%	16%
55-64 years		12%	13%	12%	7%	12%	12%	11%	22%	9%	10%	15%	10%	11%	13%	17%	10%	14%
65 years or older		16%	16%	14%	8%	16%	16%	15%	19%	12%	13%	21%	19%	13%	17%	18%	14%	19%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR		1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 121

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 126

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

HOU		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
	One person, living alone	17%	18%	18%	22%	15%	20%	10%	18%	16%	21%	16%	18%	14%	18%	42%	25%	15%	6%	3%
	Single, with child(ren)	7%	10%	3%	5%	6%	7%	7%	4%	9%	9%	6%	7%	7%	5%	16%	9%	6%	2%	3%
	Married without children	22%	24%	25%	25%	21%	20%	25%	23%	22%	21%	23%	21%	20%	26%	10%	22%	22%	33%	27%
	Married with children	39%	36%	40%	35%	40%	38%	39%	38%	39%	37%	39%	32%	47%	41%	10%	32%	48%	46%	5%
	Single, live w roommate	3%	2%	5%	1%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%	9%	3%	1%	1%	3%
	Single, living w family	11%	10%	9%	11%	13%	10%	15%	13%	10%	7%	12%	20%	6%	6%	15%	7%	7%	10%	8%
	Other	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						.01		(.01)		(.01)		++		++			
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 122

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 127

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
HOU								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
One person, living alone	17%	2%	9%	12%	13%	15%	25%	35%
Single, with child(ren)	7%	4%	3%	6%	12%	9%	2%	3%
Married without children	22%	2%	11%	22%	9%	20%	45%	42%
Married with children	39%	21%	22%	43%	62%	53%	22%	17%
Single, live w roommate	3%	3%	13%	9%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Single, living w family	11%	69%	40%	8%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Other	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
HOU									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551
One person, living alone	17%	0%	31%	13%	22%	10%	15%	18%	15%
Single, with child(ren)	7%	14%	0%	8%	4%	7%	11%	6%	6%
Married without children	22%	0%	41%	19%	28%	17%	7%	24%	28%
Married with children	39%	85%	0%	46%	27%	43%	38%	38%	41%
Single, live w roommate	3%	0%	5%	4%	2%	2%	6%	3%	1%
Single, living w family	11%	0%	21%	8%	16%	20%	22%	10%	9%
Other	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.01		(.01)		(.01)		(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51+%	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
HOU																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
One person, living alone	17%	15%	17%	13%	16%	25%	16%	26%	13%	17%	19%	15%	16%	22%	22%	14%	23%
Single, with child(ren)	7%	4%	6%	11%	7%	2%	7%	7%	10%	7%	3%	5%	8%	5%	4%	7%	6%
Married without children	22%	28%	22%	16%	22%	20%	22%	24%	19%	23%	26%	20%	23%	25%	21%	23%	21%
Married with children	39%	40%	41%	37%	39%	32%	39%	32%	38%	39%	38%	40%	38%	37%	40%	41%	33%
Single, live w roommate	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	6%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%
Single, living w family	11%	10%	9%	21%	11%	13%	12%	7%	17%	10%	8%	16%	9%	8%	9%	11%	12%
Other	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.10)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 125

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 130

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
KIDIA																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1061	143	97	63	416	256	85	479	582	215	845	355	340	359	47	244	260	96	184
Unweighted n:	886	109	92	76	362	158	89	340	546	329	557	392	241	247	51	213	196	77	136
under 2	15%	25%	18%	21%	12%	12%	11%	15%	14%	12%	15%	11%	17%	16%	12%	16%	13%	15%	17%
2-6	22%	17%	38%	31%	16%	29%	16%	23%	22%	24%	22%	18%	26%	22%	35%	23%	21%	19%	25%
7-12	36%	41%	44%	26%	38%	30%	33%	35%	37%	39%	35%	25%	45%	39%	57%	34%	38%	36%	38%
13-17	31%	27%	27%	32%	36%	26%	41%	28%	34%	34%	31%	33%	34%	28%	17%	33%	27%	45%	39%
18 or over	33%	32%	30%	31%	33%	33%	34%	33%	32%	32%	33%	45%	23%	29%	31%	39%	37%	23%	24%
Do not have any children	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	8%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	5%	2%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)										(.01)			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	10	11	5	8	10	5	4	5	4	5	6	6	14	7	7	11	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 126

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 131

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
KIDIA	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1061	58	30	200	367	260	68	76
	Unweighted n:	886	142	86	102	216	227	69	43
under 2		15%	2%	34%	43%	15%	1%	1%	0%
2-6		22%	5%	17%	54%	29%	5%	0%	4%
7-12		36%	11%	11%	36%	62%	24%	7%	7%
13-17		31%	39%	16%	6%	39%	49%	21%	12%
18 or over		33%	27%	31%	4%	15%	54%	74%	89%
Do not have any children		4%	44%	16%	1%	0%	1%	4%	0%
DK/NR		1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:			(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:		3	8	11	10	7	7	12	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 127

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 132

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural Urban
KIDIA	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1061	1059	2	772	287	151	52	855	244 816
	Unweighted n:	886	883	3	604	278	137	62	685	218 666
under 2		15%	15%	0%	15%	15%	14%	14%	15%	16% 14%
2-6		22%	22%	0%	22%	22%	27%	23%	22%	19% 23%
7-12		36%	36%	0%	39%	29%	44%	43%	34%	29% 38%
13-17		31%	31%	0%	35%	21%	22%	21%	33%	36% 30%
18 or over		33%	33%	0%	29%	43%	29%	38%	33%	37% 32%
Do not have any children		4%	3%	100%	2%	7%	5%	6%	3%	2% 4%
DK/NR		1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2% 0%
Chi-Squared:			(.01)		(.01)		-		(.05)	
+/- points around 50%:		3	3	57	4	6	8	12	4	7 4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 128

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 133

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
KIDIA	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	1061	137	573	249	1024	33	983	70	310	375	307	341	535	94	80	758	255
	Unweighted n:	886	112	439	251	844	39	808	71	275	318	246	283	407	94	94	599	243
under 2		15%	19%	13%	19%	15%	8%	15%	10%	17%	15%	11%	17%	12%	22%	15%	15%	14%
2-6		22%	8%	26%	23%	22%	21%	23%	15%	21%	28%	18%	25%	21%	20%	15%	23%	20%
7-12		36%	23%	40%	38%	37%	19%	36%	33%	32%	42%	33%	33%	38%	34%	35%	35%	36%
13-17		31%	31%	31%	30%	31%	50%	30%	43%	29%	32%	33%	26%	35%	27%	33%	33%	27%
18 or over		33%	46%	29%	29%	33%	38%	32%	32%	34%	29%	35%	30%	34%	31%	37%	31%	37%
Do not have any children		4%	2%	3%	5%	3%	6%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	6%	4%	3%
DK/NR		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)							(.05)			(.05)					
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	6	3	16	3	12	6	5	6	6	5	10	10	4	6

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
EDU20	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
	Some high school/less	16%	12%	17%	21%	14%	18%	19%	20%	12%	15%	16%	41%	0%	0%	36%	20%	7%	9%	6%
	High school graduate	23%	24%	20%	19%	25%	22%	27%	24%	23%	30%	21%	59%	0%	0%	29%	28%	24%	16%	14%
	Some college	6%	9%	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	7%	5%	0%	21%	0%	6%	6%	8%	5%	2%
	College/CEGEP graduate	19%	14%	14%	16%	18%	26%	19%	18%	20%	19%	19%	0%	72%	0%	15%	20%	23%	27%	18%
	Private college graduat	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	0%	8%	0%	0%	2%	3%	2%	1%
	Some university	6%	7%	4%	8%	6%	4%	8%	5%	7%	5%	6%	0%	0%	18%	5%	6%	7%	4%	5%
	Bachelor's degree	19%	24%	27%	21%	18%	15%	15%	17%	20%	13%	20%	0%	0%	56%	5%	13%	21%	28%	31%
	Graduate degree	9%	9%	10%	6%	10%	7%	4%	9%	8%	7%	9%	0%	0%	26%	3%	5%	8%	9%	22%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	
Chi-Squared:		(0.01)																		
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
EDU20	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Some high school/less		16%	56%	5%	2%	7%	9%	12%	31%
High school graduate		23%	29%	28%	17%	19%	27%	31%	22%
Some college		6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	7%	4%	5%
College/CEGEP graduate		19%	4%	20%	33%	22%	23%	14%	8%
Private college graduat		2%	0%	1%	5%	3%	1%	0%	0%
Some university		6%	5%	18%	4%	5%	6%	5%	6%
Bachelor's degree		19%	0%	18%	24%	26%	17%	22%	14%
Graduate degree		9%	0%	3%	8%	11%	10%	10%	11%
DK/NR		1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)							
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural Urban
EDU20	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523 1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551 1762
Some high school/less		16%	12%	19%	9%	27%	21%	24%	14%	25% 13%
High school graduate		23%	22%	25%	23%	24%	23%	40%	23%	27% 22%
Some college		6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	3%	8%	6%	8% 5%
College/CEGEP graduate		19%	23%	16%	24%	11%	18%	10%	20%	18% 19%
Private college graduat		2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1% 2%
Some university		6%	4%	8%	5%	7%	4%	5%	6%	6% 6%
Bachelor's degree		19%	21%	16%	21%	15%	19%	8%	19%	11% 21%
Graduate degree		9%	9%	9%	10%	7%	8%	1%	9%	4% 10%
DK/NR		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1% 1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)								
+/- points around 50%:		2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	.01 4 2

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
EDU20																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Some high school/less		16%	12%	12%	24%	16%	23%	15%	20%	22%	13%	14%	19%	12%	18%	25%	14%	22%
High school graduate		23%	18%	23%	26%	23%	32%	22%	31%	26%	24%	21%	24%	22%	23%	27%	23%	24%
Some college		6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	10%	6%	5%	7%
College/CEGEP graduate		19%	15%	21%	18%	19%	8%	20%	13%	19%	21%	17%	16%	24%	14%	9%	19%	17%
Private college graduat		2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%
Some university		6%	6%	7%	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%	4%	6%	8%	5%	6%	8%	4%	7%	4%
Bachelor's degree		19%	25%	18%	15%	19%	15%	19%	12%	14%	19%	23%	20%	18%	20%	13%	21%	14%
Graduate degree		9%	15%	9%	4%	9%	10%	9%	10%	6%	8%	11%	7%	10%	6%	9%	8%	9%
DK/NR		1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.05)		(.01)		.01			(.01)			++++	.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 133

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 138

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
EMPL																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1952	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
Self-employed	9%	7%	17%	9%	7%	10%	6%	10%	7%	13%	8%	9%	10%	8%	3%	12%	10%	8%	10%
Employed full-time	42%	43%	35%	42%	44%	41%	42%	46%	38%	48%	41%	30%	53%	48%	11%	36%	52%	65%	60%
Employed part-time/seas	10%	7%	13%	10%	11%	8%	12%	7%	12%	8%	10%	11%	10%	9%	15%	10%	8%	10%	7%
Unemployed and looking	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	4%	2%	4%	3%	5%	2%	11%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Unemployed and not look	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Student	8%	7%	6%	7%	7%	10%	7%	8%	7%	3%	9%	13%	3%	5%	13%	6%	4%	3%	4%
Retired	20%	24%	20%	23%	19%	21%	18%	20%	21%	15%	22%	24%	12%	22%	29%	27%	15%	7%	10%
Leaves (maternity/disab)	3%	6%	0%	1%	3%	3%	5%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	8%	4%	3%	2%	2%
Homemaker	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%	0%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%	5%	0%	4%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			++++	(.01)			
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 134

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 139

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
EMPL								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Self-employed	9%	2%	5%	9%	10%	14%	12%	3%
Employed full-time	42%	12%	43%	65%	65%	54%	29%	1%
Employed part-time/seas	10%	24%	17%	8%	9%	13%	7%	2%
Unemployed and looking	3%	8%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	0%
Unemployed and not look	2%	3%	3%	0%	1%	3%	3%	0%
Student	8%	50%	25%	5%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Retired	20%	0%	0%	0%	1%	6%	38%	91%
Leave (maternity/disab)	3%	0%	1%	6%	4%	4%	5%	1%
Homemaker	3%	0%	1%	3%	5%	3%	3%	1%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
EMPL									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523
Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551
Self-employed	9%	10%	8%	14%	0%	8%	7%	9%	13%
Employed full-time	42%	52%	33%	69%	0%	41%	38%	43%	36%
Employed part-time/seas	10%	11%	9%	16%	0%	13%	8%	9%	11%
Unemployed and looking	3%	2%	4%	0%	8%	4%	9%	3%	3%
Unemployed and not look	2%	1%	2%	0%	4%	2%	3%	1%	1%
Student	8%	4%	11%	0%	20%	12%	14%	7%	8%
Retired	20%	9%	30%	0%	53%	15%	13%	21%	20%
Leave (maternity/disab)	3%	5%	2%	0%	8%	3%	7%	3%	3%
Homemaker	3%	5%	1%	0%	7%	1%	0%	3%	3%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)		(.01)		(.01)		(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:		2	3	3	3	5	8	2	4

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
EMPL																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Self-employed	9%	10%	8%	7%	8%	18%	8%	18%	5%	10%	9%	6%	8%	12%	18%	7%	12%
Employed full-time	42%	44%	45%	42%	42%	35%	43%	36%	40%	46%	41%	39%	47%	38%	33%	45%	36%
Employed part-time/seas	10%	9%	9%	14%	10%	5%	10%	7%	12%	11%	7%	11%	10%	6%	10%	10%	11%
Unemployed and looking	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	6%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%
Unemployed and not look	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Student	8%	5%	7%	13%	7%	10%	8%	4%	12%	7%	5%	10%	6%	6%	5%	8%	7%
Retired	20%	23%	19%	10%	20%	21%	19%	25%	17%	15%	28%	22%	17%	21%	25%	18%	24%
Leave (maternity/disab)	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%
Homemaker	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	6%	1%	3%	2%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.05)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INCM																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
<\$20,000		8%	7%	8%	5%	6%	10%	11%	7%	8%	11%	7%	13%	6%	3%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$20,000-\$29,999		8%	9%	5%	13%	7%	9%	10%	8%	8%	11%	8%	12%	8%	4%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%
\$30,000-\$39,999		8%	6%	6%	10%	9%	10%	9%	9%	8%	11%	8%	10%	8%	8%	0%	34%	0%	0%	0%
\$40,000-\$49,999		8%	9%	7%	8%	7%	10%	10%	7%	9%	10%	8%	9%	10%	6%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%
\$50,000-\$59,999		8%	11%	5%	7%	7%	10%	5%	8%	8%	9%	8%	6%	14%	6%	0%	39%	0%	0%	0%
\$60,000-\$79,999		13%	13%	11%	14%	14%	10%	15%	14%	12%	11%	13%	10%	13%	16%	0%	0%	61%	0%	0%
\$80,000-\$99,999		8%	12%	14%	10%	9%	5%	5%	9%	8%	8%	9%	5%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
\$100,000 or more		13%	11%	21%	9%	17%	8%	10%	15%	12%	12%	14%	7%	11%	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
DK/NR		25%	23%	23%	24%	25%	28%	26%	23%	27%	18%	27%	28%	20%	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:			.01						.05		.01		.01			.01				
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
INCM	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
<\$20,000		8%	10%	16%	5%	7%	4%	8%	12%
\$20,000-\$29,999		8%	6%	12%	10%	7%	6%	9%	10%
\$30,000-\$39,999		8%	4%	7%	7%	10%	6%	8%	13%
\$40,000-\$49,999		8%	4%	9%	9%	6%	10%	11%	9%
\$50,000-\$59,999		8%	5%	6%	10%	8%	10%	8%	7%
\$60,000-\$79,999		13%	9%	8%	18%	12%	16%	14%	7%
\$80,000-\$99,999		8%	6%	8%	12%	11%	10%	5%	4%
\$100,000 or more		13%	6%	11%	13%	19%	19%	13%	4%
DK/NR		25%	50%	23%	15%	21%	18%	24%	33%
Chi-Squared:			.01						
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 139

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 144

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INCM	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
<\$20,000		8%	4%	11%	4%	14%	7%	15%	7%	10%	7%
\$20,000-\$29,999		8%	8%	8%	8%	10%	15%	17%	7%	8%	8%
\$30,000-\$39,999		8%	7%	10%	8%	9%	7%	11%	8%	8%	8%
\$40,000-\$49,999		8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	9%	8%	8%
\$50,000-\$59,999		8%	9%	7%	9%	6%	7%	7%	8%	8%	8%
\$60,000-\$79,999		13%	15%	11%	15%	10%	8%	5%	14%	13%	12%
\$80,000-\$99,999		8%	9%	8%	12%	4%	10%	4%	9%	9%	8%
\$100,000 or more		13%	17%	10%	17%	8%	10%	8%	14%	9%	15%
DK/NR		25%	22%	28%	20%	31%	29%	25%	23%	26%	25%
Chi-Squared:			.01		.01		.01			.05	
+/- points around 50%:		2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 140

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 145

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INCM																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
<\$20,000	8%	5%	7%	10%	8%	12%	8%	11%	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%	9%	10%	7%	10%
\$20,000-\$29,999	8%	4%	7%	12%	8%	11%	8%	11%	11%	7%	7%	10%	7%	9%	9%	8%	9%
\$30,000-\$39,999	8%	7%	8%	10%	8%	6%	9%	5%	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	10%
\$40,000-\$49,999	8%	10%	8%	8%	8%	5%	8%	7%	10%	8%	6%	7%	9%	7%	9%	7%	10%
\$50,000-\$59,999	8%	5%	10%	5%	8%	11%	8%	12%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	5%	7%	7%	10%
\$60,000-\$79,999	13%	17%	15%	9%	13%	3%	13%	10%	11%	13%	15%	13%	13%	13%	8%	15%	9%
\$80,000-\$99,999	8%	12%	9%	8%	8%	15%	9%	5%	6%	10%	10%	8%	9%	10%	7%	9%	7%
\$100,000 or more	13%	19%	16%	7%	14%	7%	14%	9%	10%	15%	16%	14%	14%	11%	15%	16%	8%
DK/NR	25%	22%	21%	31%	24%	29%	24%	29%	27%	23%	23%	24%	24%	29%	27%	23%	28%
Chi-Squared:		.01		++++	.01		.05		.01			-				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 141

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 146

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
MINOR																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
Member of visibl minority	13%	11%	11%	9%	17%	10%	8%	14%	12%	12%	13%	14%	11%	12%	11%	15%	9%	15%	9%
An Aboriginal person	5%	7%	4%	4%	6%	2%	5%	4%	5%	7%	4%	7%	3%	2%	9%	7%	3%	2%	3%
None	82%	84%	84%	86%	76%	87%	89%	82%	83%	81%	83%	78%	86%	86%	79%	80%	88%	83%	89%
DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						-		.01		.01			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

	TOTAL	Age						
		<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
MINOR								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Member of visibl minority	13%	22%	15%	13%	13%	13%	10%	8%
An Aboriginal person	5%	8%	6%	7%	3%	5%	3%	2%
None	82%	68%	79%	82%	82%	84%	86%	88%
DK/NR	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 142

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 147

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
MINOR	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
Member of visibl minority		13%	14%	12%	13%	13%	100%	21%	0%	8%	14%
An Aboriginal person		5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	7%	100%	0%	4%	5%
None		82%	81%	84%	83%	82%	0%	0%	100%	86%	81%
DK/NR		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	.01			.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
MINOR	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Member of visibl minority	13%	9%	10%	19%	13%	13%	13%	10%	19%	11%	10%	17%	11%	10%	12%	12%	15%	15%
An Aboriginal person	5%	3%	4%	8%	4%	10%	4%	6%	6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	9%	4%	7%	7%
None	82%	87%	87%	72%	83%	73%	83%	82%	75%	85%	87%	78%	85%	86%	77%	84%	78%	78%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	6%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	6%	1%	2%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)		.01			(.01)				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 143

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 148

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SEX	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
Male		49%	45%	51%	48%	50%	51%	43%	100%	0%	54%	48%	54%	44%	47%	47%	48%	51%	53%	55%
Female		51%	55%	49%	52%	50%	49%	57%	0%	100%	46%	52%	46%	56%	53%	53%	52%	49%	47%	45%
	Chi-Squared:		-						.01		.05		.01			-				
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
SEX	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2317	600	325	201	293	382	282	222
Male		49%	59%	47%	49%	46%	48%	48%	50%
Female		51%	41%	53%	51%	54%	52%	52%	50%
Chi-Squared:			.10						
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SEX	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2317	883	1434	1317	975	339	144	1823	551	1762
Male		49%	45%	52%	52%	45%	52%	47%	49%	52%	48%
Female		51%	55%	48%	48%	55%	48%	53%	51%	48%	52%
	Chi-Squared:		.01		.01	+++					-
	+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 144

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 149

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SEX	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
Male		49%	62%	52%	38%	48%	67%	47%	71%	41%	49%	58%	54%	44%	48%	63%	48%	52%
			++++	++	----	----	++++	----	++++	----	----	++++	++	----	----	++++	----	+
Female		51%	38%	48%	62%	52%	33%	53%	29%	59%	51%	42%	46%	56%	52%	37%	52%	48%
			----	----	++++	++++	----	++++	----	++++	----	----	----	++++	----	----	+	----
	Chi-Squared:		.01			.01		.01		.01			.01				.10	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 145

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 150

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
PROVI	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	310	223	159	883	562	180	1135	1182	465	1852	909	613	768	180	574	481	195	309
	Unweighted n:	2317	294	232	220	884	457	230	962	1355	800	1517	1163	523	606	230	568	416	171	261
British Columbia		13%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	14%	11%	14%	12%	12%	16%	12%	13%	16%	19%	11%
		+++	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	++	----	++	----	----	----	++	----	----
Alberta		10%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	9%	10%	10%	9%	8%	12%	9%	7%	7%	16%	15%
		----	----	++++	----	----	----	----	+	+	----	----	----	+	+	----	+	+	+	+
Saskatchewan		3%	0%	0%	47%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%	3%	2%
		----	----	----	++++	----	----	----	+	+	----	++	----	+	+	----	+	+	+	+
Manitoba		4%	0%	0%	53%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%	2%
		----	----	----	++++	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Ontario		38%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	39%	37%	36%	39%	38%	37%	39%	30%	34%	39%	40%	49%
		----	----	----	----	++++	----	----	++++	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	++++
Quebec		24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	25%	23%	29%	23%	25%	29%	19%	33%	28%	24%	13%	14%
		----	----	----	----	----	++++	----	++++	----	----	----	++++	----	----	++++	++++	----	----	----
New Brunswick		2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	32%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%
		----	----	----	----	----	----	++++	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Nova Scotia/P.E.I.		4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	46%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	6%	4%	2%	3%	2%
		----	----	----	----	----	----	++++	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Newfoundland/Labrador		2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%
		----	----	----	----	----	----	++++	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Chi-Squared:			(.01)						.01		.05		.01			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 146

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 151

PROVI	WEIGHTED TOTAL: Unweighted n:	Age						
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64
British Columbia	2317	2317	240	112	408	494	418	271
Alberta	13%	13%	13%	10%	13%	13%	12%	19%
Saskatchewan	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%	4%	3%
Manitoba	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	7%
Ontario	38%	38%	39%	42%	36%	39%	43%	34%
Quebec	24%	24%	21%	29%	26%	27%	19%	25%
New Brunswick	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%
Nova Scotia/P.E.I.	4%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%	4%
Newfoundland/Labrador	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:								
+/- points around 50%:	2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 147

PROVI	WEIGHTED TOTAL: Unweighted n:	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	Minor	Abor	No	Rural
British Columbia	2317	2317	1059	1258	1401	893	299	105	1906
Alberta	13%	13%	14%	13%	13%	15%	11%	20%	14%
Saskatchewan	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	5%
Manitoba	4%	4%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%
Ontario	38%	38%	39%	37%	39%	37%	52%	49%	35%
Quebec	24%	24%	24%	24%	23%	25%	20%	9%	26%
New Brunswick	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%
Nova Scotia/P.E.I.	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%
Newfoundland/Labrador	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:									
+/- points around 50%:	2	3	3	3	3	3	5	8	2

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 148

PROVI		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2317	307	1212	519	2205	98	2106	182	645	812	722	747	1130	223	181	1563	628
	Unweighted n:	2317	281	1122	671	2176	126	2072	213	738	803	658	761	1045	251	227	1510	679
British Columbia		13%	17%	14%	8%	14%	9%	13%	11%	13%	13%	14%	17%	13%	12%	5%	15%	9%
Alberta		10%	12%	9%	8%	10%	11%	10%	10%	9%	10%	10%	10%	9%	10%	12%	9%	11%
Saskatchewan		3%	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%
Manitoba		4%	2%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%
Ontario		38%	40%	38%	42%	38%	42%	39%	33%	37%	40%	37%	35%	38%	45%	46%	39%	40%
Quebec		24%	16%	24%	26%	24%	29%	24%	33%	26%	24%	22%	24%	26%	17%	24%	22%	30%
New Brunswick		2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%
Nova Scotia/P.E.I.		4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	1%	4%	3%	3%	2%	6%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	2%
Newfoundland/Labrador		2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		.01							.10			(.01)				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	3	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 149

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 154

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Household Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Feml	Yes	No	ES&HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RURAL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2314	310	223	159	881	562	179	1135	1179	465	1849	909	613	765	180	574	478	195	308
	Unweighted n:	2313	294	232	220	883	457	227	962	1351	800	1513	1161	522	605	230	566	415	171	260
Rural		23%	17%	22%	29%	20%	24%	36%	24%	21%	26%	22%	30%	23%	14%	30%	22%	23%	24%	15%
Urban		77%	83%	78%	71%	80%	76%	64%	76%	79%	74%	78%	70%	77%	86%	70%	78%	77%	76%	85%
Chi-Squared:			++		--	++		----		--	--	++		----	+++	---				+++
		.01							-		.10		.01		.01					
+/- points around 50%:		2	6	6	7	3	5	7	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	4	5	7	

		Age							
		TOTAL	<20	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
RURAL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2314	240	111	408	492	418	271	364
	Unweighted n:	2313	600	322	201	292	382	282	222
Rural		23%	22%	18%	16%	23%	23%	28%	26%
Urban		77%	78%	82%	84%	77%	77%	72%	74%
Chi-Squared:			.01						
+/- points around 50%:		2	4	5	7	6	5	6	7

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RURAL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2314	1058	1256	1398	893	299	104	1903	523	1791
	Unweighted n:	2313	881	1432	1315	973	338	143	1821	551	1762
Rural		23%	23%	22%	22%	23%	13%	21%	24%	100%	0%
Urban		77%	77%	78%	78%	77%	87%	79%	76%	0%	100%
Chi-Squared:			-		-		.01			.01	+++
+/- points around 50%:		2	3	3	3	3	5	8	2	4	2

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 150

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 155

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-EG		
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not Serious	Serious	Not Serious	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	2314	307	1212	516	2202	98	2103	182	645	810	722	746	1127	223	181	1560	628
Unweighted n:	2313	281	1122	667	2172	126	2068	213	735	802	658	759	1043	251	227	1506	679
Rural	23%	20%	23%	22%	22%	28%	22%	28%	22%	21%	23%	20%	22%	28%	28%	21%	25%
Urban	77%	80%	77%	78%	78%	72%	78%	72%	78%	79%	77%	80%	78%	72%	72%	79%	75%
Chi-Squared:																	
+/- points around 50%:	2	6	3	4	2	9	.10	2	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	7	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 151

DETAILED TABLES SMOKERS

Table of Contents

WPRS	Page: 1
WAGES	Page: 1
WSEX5	Page: 1
How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes SER2	Page: 2
How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking SER3	Page: 5
Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years? RISK2	Page: 6
Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased? WHYI	Page: 10
Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has decreased? WHYD	Page: 13
About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally? SMFER	Page: 15
Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority? CONT	Page: 17
Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians? AWAR	Page: 19
What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians? AWAR2	Page: 21
The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the FTCS	Page: 24
In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether. INVOL	Page: 26
There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play? PARTN	Page: 28

Table of Contents

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The federal government

INV01 Page: 30

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The provincial government

INV02 Page: 33

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society

INV03 Page: 36

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

INV04 Page: 39

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The tobacco industry

INV05 Page: 42

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

INV06 Page: 45

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Canadians themselves

INV07 Page: 48

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

RESP1 Page: 51

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

RSP1B Page: 54

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

RESP2 Page: 57

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

RSP2B Page: 60

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 2

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 160

Table of Contents

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

RESP3 Page: 63

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

RSP3B Page: 66

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

RESP4 Page: 69

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

RSP4B Page: 72

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

RESP5 Page: 75

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

RSP5B Page: 78

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

RESP6 Page: 81

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

RSP6B Page: 84

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

SM7 Page: 87

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

SMK2 Page: 89

Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?

SM9 Page: 91

Smoking status

SMST2 Page: 93

Age of respondent

AGE Page: 95

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

HOU Page: 98

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 3

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 161

Table of Contents

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

KIDIA

Page: 101

What is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?

EDU20

Page: 104

What is your current employment status?

EMPL

Page: 107

What is your annual HOUSEHOLD income from all sources before taxes?

INCM

Page: 110

Do you consider yourself to be

MINOR

Page: 113

Observed gender of respondent

SEX

Page: 115

Provincial indicator (NOTE: Territories are collapsed in BC)

PROVI

Page: 116

Imported rural/urban indicator

RURAL

Page: 119

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 4

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 162

FTCS General Public Survey (0946)
Weighted proportions

06/11/03

		TOTAL
WPRS		
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		800
Unweighted n:		800
British Columbia		13.3%
Alberta		9.6%
Saskatchewan		3.3%
Manitoba		3.6%
Ontario		38.0%
Quebec		24.3%
New Brunswick		2.5%
Nova Scotia/PEI		3.6%
Newfoundland		1.8%
WAGES		
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		796
Unweighted n:		796
<25		15.2%
25-34		17.7%
35-44		21.4%
45-54		18.1%
55-64		11.7%
65+		15.8%
WSEXs		
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		800
Unweighted n:		800
Male		49.2%
Female		50.8%

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 1

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 163

How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes

Smokers

	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SER2																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	12%	11%	14%	15%	9%	17%	8%	13%	11%	11%	6%	7%	13%	14%	7%	12%	19%	12%	7%	10%	10%
SERIOUS (3 4)	87%	89%	86%	83%	90%	82%	90%	86%	88%	88%	94%	92%	86%	85%	92%	88%	79%	87%	93%	90%	90%
Not at all serious	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	7%	5%	5%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	7%	0%	2%	7%	5%	2%	3%	5%
Not very serious	8%	7%	10%	12%	6%	10%	3%	9%	7%	9%	2%	5%	10%	8%	7%	10%	12%	8%	5%	7%	5%
Somewhat serious	31%	24%	30%	25%	31%	38%	24%	34%	27%	24%	26%	30%	33%	32%	31%	26%	31%	30%	32%	32%	26%
Very serious	57%	65%	56%	58%	60%	44%	66%	52%	61%	63%	68%	62%	54%	53%	61%	62%	48%	57%	61%	58%	64%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No response	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:														.05			.05				
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10
Mean:	3.41	3.50	3.39	3.42	3.48	3.21	3.54	3.35	3.47	3.51	3.57	3.52	3.38	3.32	3.54	3.48	3.21	3.40	3.52	3.45	3.49
Std dev:	0.804	0.802	0.805	0.800	0.734	0.883	0.789	0.829	0.775	0.757	0.736	0.714	0.775	0.878	0.643	0.751	0.926	0.821	0.683	0.769	0.806
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.05	.01	-	.05	.05	-	-	-	.05	.01	.01	-	.05	-	.10	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 2

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 164

How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SER2										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	12%	8%	15%	10%	15%	12%	16%	12%	14%	11%
SERIOUS (3 4)	87%	92%	84%	89%	83%	87%	83%	87%	84%	88%
Not at all serious	4%	2%	5%	4%	5%	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%
Not very serious	8%	6%	10%	7%	10%	10%	11%	8%	11%	7%
Somewhat serious	31%	33%	29%	29%	33%	23%	25%	32%	35%	29%
Very serious	57%	59%	55%	60%	50%	64%	58%	56%	49%	59%
Don't know	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
No response	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		.01		.05		-			-	
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:	3.41	3.49	3.35	3.47	3.31	3.50	3.37	3.40	3.32	3.44
Std dev:	0.804	0.707	0.861	0.774	0.847	0.767	0.881	0.801	0.810	0.800
Student's t:	*	.05	.05	.05	.05	-	-	-	.05	.05

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 3

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 165

How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SER2																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	12%	5%	10%	18%	0%	100%	4%	38%	5%	17%	9%	6%	4%	17%	26%	5%	19%
SERIOUS (3 4)	87%	94%	90%	82%	100%	0%	95%	62%	94%	82%	91%	94%	95%	81%	73%	95%	80%
Not at all serious	4%	2%	2%	7%	0%	34%	1%	14%	2%	6%	3%	0%	1%	8%	10%	1%	7%
Not very serious	8%	2%	7%	11%	0%	66%	3%	24%	3%	11%	6%	6%	3%	10%	16%	4%	12%
Somewhat serious	31%	33%	33%	24%	35%	0%	29%	35%	24%	33%	31%	12%	34%	37%	37%	25%	36%
Very serious	57%	61%	57%	59%	65%	0%	66%	27%	71%	48%	60%	82%	62%	43%	36%	70%	44%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
No response	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		.01			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5
Mean:	3.41	3.54	3.46	3.34	3.65	1.66	3.60	2.74	3.64	3.25	3.49	3.76	3.56	3.19	3.00	3.65	3.18
Std dev:	0.80	0.67	0.73	0.92	0.48	0.48	0.62	1.00	0.64	0.89	0.73	0.56	0.62	0.91	0.97	0.59	0.91
Student's t:	*	.10	-	.10	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.10	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 4

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 166

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
SER3																						
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92	
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92	
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	19%	21%	23%	23%	14%	26%	12%	25%	13%	16%	16%	12%	26%	21%	14%	20%	25%	18%	17%	12%	19%	
SERIOUS (3 4)	77%	73%	77%	74%	83%	71%	79%	71%	83%	84%	84%	86%	71%	74%	83%	78%	72%	78%	81%	87%	79%	
Not at all serious	7%	3%	13%	9%	4%	10%	5%	9%	4%	7%	2%	4%	8%	9%	2%	6%	8%	6%	4%	5%	8%	
Not very serious	12%	18%	10%	14%	10%	16%	7%	16%	9%	9%	14%	8%	18%	12%	13%	14%	17%	12%	13%	7%	11%	
Somewhat serious	34%	30%	35%	31%	34%	40%	27%	35%	33%	37%	31%	33%	38%	30%	39%	36%	27%	32%	38%	49%	33%	
Very serious	43%	44%	42%	43%	49%	32%	53%	36%	50%	48%	53%	53%	33%	44%	44%	41%	45%	46%	43%	37%	46%	
Don't know	2%	3%	0%	1%	1%	2%	8%	3%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	
No response	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	
Chi-Squared:		.01						.01		.01				.10			-					
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10	
Mean:	3.18	3.20	3.05	3.11	3.32	2.95	3.39	3.02	3.33	3.25	3.34	3.38	2.99	3.14	3.29	3.16	3.13	3.24	3.24	3.21	3.19	
Std dev:	0.91	0.87	1.02	0.98	0.81	0.95	0.86	0.96	0.82	0.89	0.80	0.80	0.93	0.98	0.75	0.89	0.97	0.89	0.82	0.79	0.94	
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	-	-	.01	.01	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 5

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 167

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SER3										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	19%	16%	22%	20%	17%	17%	19%	19%	23%	18%
SERIOUS (3 4)	77%	82%	74%	78%	76%	79%	76%	78%	73%	79%
Not at all serious	7%	5%	8%	7%	5%	4%	4%	7%	8%	6%
Not very serious	12%	11%	14%	13%	11%	14%	15%	12%	15%	12%
Somewhat serious	34%	32%	36%	33%	37%	28%	23%	36%	32%	35%
Very serious	43%	50%	39%	45%	39%	51%	53%	42%	40%	44%
Don't know	2%	2%	3%	1%	5%	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%
No response	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.05							.10	
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:	3.18	3.30	3.10	3.19	3.18	3.31	3.31	3.17	3.09	3.21
Std dev:	0.906	0.854	0.932	0.918	0.865	0.856	0.901	0.899	0.961	0.884
Student's t:	*	.01	.01	-	-	-	-	.10	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 6

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 168

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SER3																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	109	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	19%	19%	18%	22%	14%	61%	0%	100%	13%	24%	18%	10%	10%	27%	38%	11%	28%
SERIOUS (3 4)	77%	78%	80%	76%	84%	29%	100%	0%	87%	72%	78%	87%	89%	68%	56%	87%	67%
Not at all serious	7%	3%	7%	8%	3%	35%	0%	35%	3%	9%	7%	2%	1%	9%	19%	2%	11%
Not very serious	12%	16%	11%	14%	11%	26%	0%	65%	10%	15%	11%	8%	9%	18%	19%	9%	17%
Somewhat serious	34%	40%	36%	26%	36%	21%	44%	0%	26%	36%	36%	17%	37%	44%	39%	32%	36%
Very serious	43%	38%	44%	50%	48%	8%	56%	0%	61%	35%	42%	69%	52%	24%	18%	55%	31%
Don't know	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%
No response	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5
Mean:	3.18	3.15	3.20	3.20	3.33	2.03	3.56	1.65	3.45	3.03	3.19	3.59	3.42	2.87	2.58	3.42	2.91
Std dev:	0.91	0.82	0.89	0.98	0.78	1.00	0.50	0.48	0.79	0.94	0.90	0.73	0.69	0.90	1.01	0.75	0.99
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 7

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 169

Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years?

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RISK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Increased		21%	16%	28%	12%	22%	21%	21%	19%	22%	54%	42%	21%	14%	25%	21%	11%	27%	29%	18%	9%	8%
Stayed the same		41%	38%	37%	46%	43%	43%	33%	41%	41%	31%	29%	45%	44%	43%	43%	36%	39%	41%	41%	46%	43%
Decreased		34%	41%	27%	37%	33%	30%	44%	36%	31%	14%	27%	29%	38%	29%	31%	48%	26%	27%	38%	40%	44%
Don't know		4%	4%	7%	5%	2%	5%	2%	2%	5%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	8%	4%	1%	3%	4%
No response		1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	(.10)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)
+/- points around 50%:		3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	No	Rural	Urban
RISK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639
Increased		21%	21%	20%	20%	22%	31%	36%	18%
Stayed the same		41%	45%	38%	46%	33%	46%	30%	41%
Decreased		34%	31%	36%	30%	39%	21%	36%	35%
Don't know		4%	3%	5%	3%	5%	2%	5%	4%
No response		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 8

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 170

Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years?

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RISK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Increased		21%	12%	18%	33%	22%	9%	23%	14%	100%	0%	0%	38%	18%	16%	14%	21%	20%
Stayed the same		41%	26%	46%	44%	38%	58%	38%	51%	0%	100%	0%	30%	39%	43%	56%	37%	46%
Decreased		34%	57%	34%	19%	35%	25%	34%	32%	0%	0%	100%	29%	39%	36%	27%	38%	29%
Don't know		4%	4%	2%	4%	3%	7%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%
No response		1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(0.01)				(0.01)				.01			(0.01)				(0.10)	
+/- points around 50%:		3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 9

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 171

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased?

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
WHYI	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	165	17	22	7	66	41	13	76	89	29	29	65	33	97	45	21	26	69	27	5	8
	Unweighted n:	180	17	21	10	68	42	22	74	106	40	38	55	41	108	46	24	30	68	29	6	10
Youth smoking more now		23%	37%	19%	20%	22%	22%	25%	27%	21%	44%	28%	15%	25%	27%	20%	15%	26%	26%	18%	16%	26%
See it/smoking everywhere		31%	35%	55%	32%	23%	28%	32%	35%	27%	23%	33%	32%	37%	30%	33%	33%	30%	26%	38%	56%	39%
Hear more about cancer		13%	6%	0%	36%	16%	14%	11%	10%	15%	2%	13%	16%	9%	11%	16%	8%	22%	14%	3%	0%	0%
Cigarets more addictive		22%	22%	32%	18%	15%	28%	18%	18%	25%	21%	10%	20%	23%	22%	17%	33%	18%	20%	26%	0%	21%
Media reports		1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Increased stress in life		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Gov't bans/legislation		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other		1%	0%	0%	13%	1%	0%	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR		18%	6%	9%	0%	24%	19%	27%	18%	18%	22%	17%	23%	10%	18%	21%	16%	17%	21%	15%	28%	25%
Chi-Squared:			-						-		-				-		-					
+/- points around 50%:		7	24	21	31	12	15	21	11	10	15	16	13	15	9	14	20	18	12	18	40	31

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 10

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 172

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased?

Smokers

		Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Rural	Urban
WHYI	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	165	68	97	103	61	30	117	126
	Unweighted n:	180	68	112	113	65	30	129	139
Youth smoking more now		23%	15%	30%	26%	17%	31%	23%	25%
See it/smoking everywhere		31%	27%	34%	33%	26%	23%	34%	29%
Hear more about cancer		13%	16%	11%	12%	13%	10%	13%	14%
Cigaretts more addictive		22%	33%	14%	19%	28%	16%	23%	20%
Media reports		1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%
Increased stress in life		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Gov't bans/legislation		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%
DK/NR		18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	29%	15%	17%
Chi-Squared:			(.05)						
+/- points around 50%:		7	12	9	9	12	18	20	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 11

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 173

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
WHY?																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	165	13	72	62	156	9	145	21	165	0	0	59	56	24	23	85	68
	Unweighted n:	180	13	77	69	171	8	159	21	180	0	0	67	62	25	22	98	68
Youth smoking more now		23%	11%	21%	29%	24%	18%	22%	36%	23%	0%	0%	29%	25%	24%	3%	25%	21%
See it/smoking everywhe		31%	36%	30%	27%	30%	42%	29%	45%	31%	0%	0%	28%	42%	24%	18%	36%	26%
Hear more about cancer		13%	6%	20%	7%	12%	39%	13%	14%	13%	0%	0%	14%	8%	18%	16%	6%	22%
Cigaretts more addictive		22%	17%	22%	24%	22%	12%	23%	16%	22%	0%	0%	16%	24%	22%	35%	19%	24%
Media reports		1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	2%
Increased stress in life		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Gov't bans/legislation		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other		1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%
DK/NR		18%	30%	12%	22%	19%	6%	20%	3%	18%	0%	0%	21%	15%	11%	24%	20%	15%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.10)	-
	+/- points around 50%:	7	27	11	12	7	35	8	21	7	*	*	12	12	20	21	10	12

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 12

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 174

Smokers

		Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
WHY?	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	270	43	21	20	101	58	28	143	127	7	18	90	90	111	64	92	26	66	55	23	41
	Unweighted n:	260	34	22	24	95	52	33	124	136	10	23	76	114	103	65	89	22	62	53	24	41
Higher public awareness		28%	29%	29%	24%	29%	29%	18%	31%	24%	19%	50%	32%	25%	27%	29%	29%	28%	28%	30%	44%	20%
Fewer people smoking		49%	71%	50%	61%	41%	45%	45%	45%	54%	12%	32%	42%	59%	54%	43%	49%	36%	45%	55%	60%	52%
Smoking not allowed		32%	24%	29%	34%	35%	33%	28%	33%	30%	29%	30%	45%	23%	24%	35%	39%	30%	39%	33%	16%	29%
Price/increase in taxes		6%	9%	9%	0%	3%	6%	12%	7%	4%	12%	11%	3%	5%	8%	6%	4%	19%	7%	1%	4%	4%
Other		2%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	7%	1%	3%	16%	4%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	4%	0%	1%	0%	4%
DK/NR		4%	7%	10%	0%	4%	3%	2%	4%	5%	20%	10%	0%	5%	5%	2%	5%	6%	11%	0%	0%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.01)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+++	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	6	17	21	20	10	14	17	9	8	31	20	11	9	10	12	10	21	12	13	20	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 13

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 175

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has decreased?

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
WHYD																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	270	62	134	35	245	24	212	49	0	0	270	45	124	52	45	156	97
Unweighted n:	260	62	129	34	237	22	208	46	0	0	260	44	118	49	46	144	99
Higher public awareness	28%	28%	31%	35%	29%	10%	32%	14%	0%	0%	28%	47%	28%	20%	15%	37%	15%
Fewer people smoking	49%	61%	43%	40%	51%	27%	46%	64%	0%	0%	49%	30%	52%	54%	58%	44%	53%
Smoking not allowed	32%	28%	36%	25%	33%	22%	32%	34%	0%	0%	32%	32%	37%	27%	19%	32%	32%
Price/increase in taxes	6%	1%	7%	5%	4%	27%	4%	9%	0%	0%	6%	4%	4%	5%	13%	4%	7%
Other	2%	0%	2%	4%	1%	17%	2%	3%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	5%	5%	0%	5%
DK/NR	4%	5%	4%	2%	3%	16%	3%	6%	0%	0%	4%	7%	4%	1%	3%	5%	4%
Chi-Squared:					(.01)		(.10)					(.01)				(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	6	12	9	17	6	21	7	14	*	*	6	15	9	14	14	8	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 14

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 176

About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally?

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
EMPER	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
less than 25%		14%	17%	13%	13%	15%	12%	11%	16%	11%	1%	9%	16%	18%	8%	11%	27%	7%	11%	16%	18%	24%
																	++++					
25-50%		50%	51%	57%	56%	51%	42%	50%	53%	47%	46%	52%	51%	52%	52%	52%	45%	40%	50%	56%	57%	60%
							--		+								----					+
over 50%		24%	20%	19%	18%	25%	27%	23%	21%	26%	42%	30%	25%	20%	27%	23%	18%	38%	26%	19%	22%	11%
									-	+	++++				--	++	--	++++				----
DK/NR		13%	12%	10%	13%	9%	19%	16%	10%	15%	10%	10%	8%	10%	13%	14%	10%	15%	14%	9%	4%	5%
						--	+++			--	++							++			-	-
Chi-Squared:									.01		(.05)				.01			.01				
+/- points around 50%:		3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10
Mean:		165	159	141	169	130	223	195	139	191	151	141	116	137	170	174	130	194	175	125	76	81
Std dev:		319	318	294	327	276	372	349	291	343	285	284	254	290	320	330	287	339	328	272	183	206
Student's t:		*	-	-	-	.05	.05	-	.05	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10	-	.05	-	.01	.01

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SMPER										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
less than 25%	14%	13%	14%	16%	9%	8%	1%	15%	9%	15%
25-50%	50%	50%	50%	52%	47%	42%	48%	52%	56%	48%
over 50%	24%	27%	22%	24%	25%	44%	39%	19%	20%	25%
DK/NR	13%	9%	15%	9%	20%	6%	12%	14%	15%	12%
Chi-Squared:		.10		.01		.01			.05	
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:	165	135	186	129	231	108	167	174	185	158
Std dev:	319	280	343	275	379	223	312	332	339	312
Student's t:	*	.05	.05	.01	.01	.05	-	.10	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 15

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 177

About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally?

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not Serious	Serious	Not Serious	Increase	Same	Decrease	Increase	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
SMR																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	10%	40%	18%	69%	9%	61%	15%	16%	32%	27%	15%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
Unweighted n:	800	10%	40%	18%	69%	9%	61%	15%	16%	32%	27%	15%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
less than 25%	14%	100%	0%	0%	15%	6%	14%	14%	8%	9%	23%	11%	15%	17%	12%	16%	11%
25-50%	50%	0%	100%	0%	52%	40%	51%	47%	44%	56%	50%	50%	51%	52%	47%	54%	48%
over 50%	24%	0%	0%	100%	22%	35%	23%	27%	37%	25%	13%	30%	22%	16%	29%	22%	24%
DK/NR	13%	0%	0%	0%	11%	19%	12%	12%	11%	10%	14%	9%	13%	15%	13%	8%	16%
Chi-Squared:		.01			.01		-		.01			.10				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5
Mean:	16%	17	38	70	152	234	153	158	155	140	174	129	167	185	167	121	200
Std dev:	31%	5	9	10	306	376	306	310	298	285	339	270	323	345	320	264	353
Student's t:		.01	.01	.01	.10	.10	-	-	-	-	-	.10	-	-	-	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 16

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 178

Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
CONT																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Yes		47%	56%	46%	31%	46%	48%	53%	50%	45%	55%	49%	53%	43%	50%	47%	43%	48%	50%	52%	39%	49%
			+		---								+									
No		47%	42%	45%	61%	51%	42%	40%	44%	50%	41%	45%	42%	54%	44%	48%	50%	46%	42%	45%	57%	44%
					++				-	+			-	---	---						+	
Focus on both/all issue		3%	2%	4%	0%	2%	7%	6%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	5%	1%	6%	2%	3%	5%
					---																+	
Don't know		2%	1%	5%	6%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%
					++																	
No response		1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
					+		++											++				
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						-		-							-				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural
CONT									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	32%	47%	50%	27%	9%	6%	61%	21%
Unweighted n:	800	32%	47%	52%	26%	9%	6%	63%	21%
Yes	47%	49%	46%	49%	45%	62%	61%	45%	43%
No	47%	47%	47%	46%	47%	31%	39%	49%	50%
Focus on both/all issue	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	0%	4%	3%
Don't know	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%
No response	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-	++	-		(.01)		(.10)	
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 17

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 179

Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not Serious	Serious	Not Serious	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
CONT																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Yes		47%	38%	49%	53%	50%	27%	53%	28%	61%	43%	46%	78%	59%	17%	23%	62%	29%
No		47%	53%	47%	43%	44%	65%	42%	62%	31%	54%	47%	18%	35%	75%	73%	32%	64%
Focus on both/all issue		3%	6%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%	6%	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%	1%	4%	3%
Don't know		2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%
No response		1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		(.05)			(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				(.01)	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 18

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 180

Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians?

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
AWAR																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Yes		71%	60%	65%	66%	77%	73%	65%	69%	73%	64%	77%	82%	66%	65%	74%	81%	68%	68%	78%	71%	81%
No		26%	35%	30%	33%	21%	23%	29%	28%	24%	29%	21%	15%	31%	30%	25%	18%	28%	29%	17%	27%	18%
			++			--							++	++	++							++
DK/NR		3%	5%	5%	1%	3%	4%	6%	3%	4%	6%	2%	3%	3%	6%	1%	1%	4%	3%	5%	1%	1%
															+++	--	--					
	Chi-Squared:		(.10)						-		(.01)				.01			-				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
AWAR	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
Yes		71%	75%	68%	75%	64%	63%	58%	73%	65%	73%
No		26%	22%	29%	22%	31%	35%	38%	24%	30%	24%
DK/NR		3%	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%
Chi-Squared:			.10		.01		(.05)		.10		
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 19

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 181

Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians?

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increas	Same	Decreas	Increas	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
AWAR																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	10%	400	18%	69%	9%	61%	15%	16%	32%	27%	15%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
	Unweighted n:	800	10%	400	19%	70%	8%	63%	14%	18%	32%	26%	16%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
Yes		71%	78%	75%	70%	74%	51%	74%	66%	68%	71%	75%	69%	81%	65%	59%	77%	64%
No		26%	18%	22%	29%	23%	43%	23%	31%	28%	27%	21%	28%	17%	29%	37%	21%	32%
DK/NR		3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	6%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%	2%	3%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		-		(.10)			(.01)				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 20

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 182

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

Smokers

		Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
AWAR2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	566	64	50	37	233	142	41	271	295	34	52	256	156	251	154	155	67	166	114	41	74
	Unweighted n:	563	55	54	45	220	134	55	240	323	47	70	212	195	249	157	152	66	167	112	41	76
	Ban smoking in public	37%	19%	29%	28%	36%	56%	25%	32%	42%	46%	38%	37%	34%	37%	41%	33%	39%	45%	36%	17%	37%
	Advertise risk of smoki	45%	58%	53%	61%	41%	37%	49%	44%	46%	40%	38%	50%	41%	43%	46%	47%	36%	48%	42%	57%	47%
	Warning labels of pkgs	29%	38%	35%	33%	31%	15%	34%	33%	25%	39%	41%	31%	23%	26%	29%	33%	30%	21%	26%	39%	25%
	Increase prices/taxes	22%	22%	8%	26%	26%	19%	27%	21%	24%	17%	21%	22%	22%	20%	21%	27%	23%	20%	20%	12%	28%
	Restrict sales to youth	7%	3%	7%	10%	9%	5%	9%	5%	9%	8%	5%	9%	6%	5%	10%	7%	5%	6%	8%	10%	8%
	Community awareness prgm	15%	29%	13%	15%	12%	10%	21%	15%	15%	18%	14%	15%	17%	9%	21%	18%	14%	14%	21%	10%	10%
	Genr restrictns on sale	8%	6%	3%	12%	9%	8%	3%	6%	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%	5%	10%	10%	7%	6%	8%	17%	6%
	Research & development	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	7%	2%
Other	2%	5%	0%	3%	0%	6%	0%	1%	3%	0%	5%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	7%	2%	1%	0%	6%	
Don't know	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%	1%	9%	2%	
No response	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	3%	0%	3%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	3%	0%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	
Chi-Squared:		(,01)							(,01)		-				(,05)			(,01)				
+/- points around 50%:		4	13	13	15	7	8	13	6	5	14	12	7	7	6	8	8	12	8	9	15	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 21

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 183

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

Smokers

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
AWAR2											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	566	245	321	381	178	62	38	467	137	430
	Unweighted n:	563	242	321	386	170	62	37	463	141	422
	Ban smoking in public	37%	35%	39%	36%	42%	36%	40%	37%	36%	38%
	Advertise risk of smoki	45%	47%	43%	44%	47%	29%	25%	48%	46%	44%
	Warning labels of pckgs	29%	30%	28%	30%	27%	34%	19%	29%	22%	31%
	Increase prices/taxes	22%	22%	23%	22%	21%	20%	27%	22%	28%	20%
	Restrict sales to youth	7%	8%	6%	6%	8%	3%	14%	7%	6%	7%
	Community awareness prgm	15%	14%	15%	15%	13%	14%	14%	15%	9%	17%
	Genr restrictns on sale	8%	7%	8%	8%	8%	8%	2%	8%	6%	8%
	Research & development	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	6%	1%	2%	1%
	Other	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	0%	3%	3%	4%	2%
	Don't know	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	8%	0%	1%	2%	2%
	No response	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		-		-		(.01)			-	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	6	5	5	8	12	16	5	8	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 22

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 184

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

Smokers

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
AWAR2																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	566	85	299	132	514	49	457	101	113	234	204	108	258	96	97	315	215
Unweighted n:	563	83	297	134	512	48	459	98	121	229	198	116	253	95	93	314	213
Ban smoking in public	37%	31%	36%	44%	37%	35%	36%	41%	43%	36%	34%	35%	35%	44%	40%	35%	41%
Advertise risk of smoki	45%	58%	45%	37%	47%	23%	48%	32%	40%	44%	49%	46%	53%	42%	25%	52%	37%
Warning labels of pckgs	29%	31%	30%	26%	30%	16%	29%	27%	27%	28%	30%	43%	31%	23%	12%	34%	22%
Increase prices/taxes	22%	18%	24%	23%	21%	31%	20%	29%	19%	20%	27%	16%	22%	31%	22%	22%	23%
Restrict sales to youth	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	4%	7%	5%	9%	11%	7%	6%	2%	8%	5%
Community awareness prgm	15%	17%	14%	18%	15%	12%	16%	9%	15%	13%	17%	16%	19%	6%	10%	18%	9%
Genr restrictns on sale	8%	9%	8%	8%	7%	11%	8%	6%	6%	6%	10%	12%	6%	9%	7%	7%	9%
Research & development	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	0%	2%	1%
Other	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	9%	2%	6%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	0%	5%	2%	3%
Don't know	2%	1%	3%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
No response	2%	4%	1%	4%	1%	9%	1%	6%	2%	3%	1%	0%	2%	1%	6%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:						(.01)		(.01)				(.01)			++++	(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	6	8	4	14	5	10	9	6	7	9	6	10	10	6	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 23

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 185

The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the

Smokers

	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
FTCS																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Yes -approp.for Fed Gov	51%	58%	38%	50%	55%	45%	53%	55%	47%	45%	65%	57%	46%	47%	54%	57%	47%	51%	52%	54%	63%
No -left to others	42%	35%	54%	44%	40%	45%	38%	38%	45%	51%	23%	37%	47%	47%	38%	34%	49%	40%	41%	40%	34%
DK/NR	7%	7%	8%	6%	5%	10%	9%	7%	8%	4%	11%	6%	6%	6%	8%	9%	4%	8%	7%	6%	3%
Chi-Squared:								.10		(.01)				.05							
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
FTCS											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
Yes	-approp.for Fed Gov	51%	53%	50%	52%	48%	44%	42%	53%	48%	52%
									++		
No	-left to others	42%	42%	42%	40%	44%	45%	51%	41%	44%	41%
DK/NR		7%	6%	8%	7%	8%	11%	7%	7%	7%	7%
	Chi-Squared:										
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 24

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 186

The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the

Smokers

	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS			Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
FTCS																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Yes -approp.for Fed Gov	51%	59%	55%	48%	55%	20%	57%	30%	51%	46%	58%	78%	69%	25%	15%	100%	0%
No -left to others	42%	35%	40%	43%	38%	66%	36%	62%	41%	47%	36%	18%	26%	64%	74%	0%	100%
DK/NR	7%	5%	5%	9%	6%	14%	7%	8%	8%	8%	6%	4%	5%	10%	12%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:					.01		.01		.10			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 25

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 187

In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether.

Smokers

		Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INVOL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Increase involvement		20%	33%	13%	6%	21%	16%	21%	22%	17%	36%	34%	20%	16%	20%	19%	19%	22%	23%	16%	17%	18%
			+++	-	---						+++	+++		---								
Maintain involvement		40%	34%	37%	40%	37%	48%	42%	39%	41%	38%	39%	49%	34%	37%	45%	41%	35%	42%	43%	39%	47%
							+++					+++			+							
Reduce involvement		18%	18%	16%	29%	19%	16%	18%	16%	21%	14%	16%	16%	22%	16%	20%	21%	23%	15%	20%	24%	13%
					++						-				-							
Eliminate involvement		20%	13%	29%	22%	18%	20%	23%	18%	12%	8%	14%	27%	24%	14%	18%	18%	19%	20%	19%	22%	
			-	++							--	--	+++	+++								
DK/NR		2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	0%
				+++														+				
Chi-Squared:			(.01)					.10		(.01)				(.10)				-				
+/- points around 50%:		3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural
INVOL									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218
Increase involvement	20%	20%	19%	20%	19%	31%	24%	17%	21%
Maintain involvement	40%	40%	40%	43%	34%	32%	43%	42%	40%
Reduce involvement	18%	18%	18%	17%	21%	18%	13%	19%	18%
Eliminate involvement	20%	20%	21%	19%	23%	19%	19%	20%	19%
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.10)	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 26

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 188

In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether.

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INVOL																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Increase involvement		20%	16%	20%	25%	21%	10%	22%	10%	36%	14%	17%	100%	0%	0%	0%	30%	9%
Maintain involvement		40%	43%	40%	37%	44%	15%	46%	20%	34%	38%	46%	0%	100%	0%	0%	54%	25%
Reduce involvement		18%	23%	19%	12%	17%	27%	16%	26%	14%	19%	19%	0%	0%	100%	0%	9%	28%
Eliminate involvement		20%	18%	19%	25%	17%	44%	15%	41%	14%	28%	17%	0%	0%	0%	100%	6%	36%
DK/NR		2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.01)	++	(.01)		(.01)			.01				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 27

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 189

There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
PARTN																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	98	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
A leadership role	18%	23%	15%	12%	22%	11%	24%	23%	13%	17%	24%	19%	19%	17%	19%	20%	15%	17%	20%	21%	26%
An equal partner	45%	43%	38%	50%	42%	51%	50%	44%	46%	59%	49%	50%	38%	50%	43%	41%	52%	47%	44%	36%	44%
A very limited role	30%	27%	40%	30%	33%	26%	24%	27%	33%	19%	21%	25%	37%	27%	32%	33%	22%	31%	33%	36%	26%
DK/NR	7%	8%	6%	7%	3%	12%	2%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	10%	4%	4%	7%	4%
Chi-Squared:																					
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
PARTN										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
A leadership role	18%	24%	14%	20%	15%	23%	21%	17%	19%	18%
An equal partner	45%	44%	46%	45%	46%	41%	47%	46%	42%	46%
A very limited role	30%	27%	32%	29%	33%	30%	28%	30%	31%	30%
DK/NR	7%	5%	8%	6%	6%	6%	4%	7%	8%	6%
Chi-Squared:										
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 28

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 190

There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
PARTN																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	190	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
A leadership role	18%	17%	20%	19%	19%	14%	19%	16%	16%	18%	22%	36%	19%	10%	8%	28%	8%
An equal partner	45%	36%	46%	48%	48%	25%	49%	31%	58%	40%	44%	47%	61%	35%	24%	54%	37%
A very limited role	30%	43%	27%	28%	48%	26%	45%	21%	36%	28%	13%	16%	48%	58%	15%	47%	47%
DK/NR	7%	4%	6%	5%	5%	13%	6%	8%	5%	6%	7%	4%	4%	7%	11%	3%	8%
Chi-Squared:																	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 29

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 191

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INVOL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		21%	24%	26%	20%	22%	16%	22%	23%	20%	13%	9%	14%	28%	23%	15%	23%	19%	20%	22%	15%	20%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		39%	39%	45%	48%	34%	38%	42%	38%	39%	36%	38%	44%	36%	38%	42%	39%	43%	41%	40%	40%	33%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		39%	33%	29%	31%	43%	44%	36%	37%	41%	51%	52%	42%	35%	38%	43%	38%	36%	38%	37%	45%	46%
1. Not at all involved		17%	16%	18%	14%	18%	15%	20%	17%	16%	7%	6%	10%	23%	18%	12%	17%	16%	16%	16%	13%	14%
2		4%	8%	7%	6%	4%	2%	2%	5%	4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	2%	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	6%
3		7%	9%	13%	5%	7%	6%	4%	7%	7%	3%	4%	8%	7%	6%	8%	9%	7%	8%	6%	11%	4%
4. Somewhat involved		18%	18%	16%	15%	16%	19%	27%	17%	19%	22%	18%	18%	18%	18%	20%	15%	22%	18%	18%	16%	14%
5		13%	13%	16%	29%	11%	12%	12%	14%	13%	11%	17%	17%	11%	13%	14%	15%	14%	14%	17%	13%	15%
6		12%	7%	13%	15%	15%	8%	13%	10%	14%	24%	14%	12%	10%	9%	14%	14%	12%	10%	12%	12%	16%
7. Extremely involved		27%	26%	15%	16%	28%	35%	23%	27%	27%	27%	38%	30%	25%	28%	29%	23%	24%	28%	25%	33%	30%
DK/NR		1%	4%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:				-					-		.01				-			-				
+/- points around 50%:		3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10
Mean:		4.53	4.34	4.06	4.49	4.57	4.83	4.40	4.47	4.59	5.03	5.33	4.86	4.22	4.47	4.81	4.43	4.49	4.54	4.50	4.81	4.80
Std dev:		2.14	2.17	2.05	1.93	2.19	2.13	2.13	2.17	2.12	1.83	1.78	1.94	2.26	2.19	2.00	2.14	2.07	2.13	2.12	2.09	2.11
Student's t:		*	-	.05	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	.01	.05	.01	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 30

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 192

Smokers

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INVOL											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		21%	18%	23%	19%	24%	16%	23%	21%	22%	21%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		39%	40%	37%	38%	39%	42%	35%	39%	38%	39%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		39%	41%	38%	42%	35%	41%	38%	39%	39%	39%
1. Not at all involved		17%	14%	19%	14%	21%	13%	20%	16%	18%	16%
2		4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%
3		7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	13%	3%	7%	6%	7%
4. Somewhat involved		18%	21%	16%	17%	19%	20%	16%	18%	19%	18%
5		13%	13%	14%	14%	12%	9%	16%	14%	14%	13%
6		12%	13%	11%	13%	11%	12%	9%	12%	11%	12%
7. Extremely involved		27%	28%	27%	29%	25%	29%	29%	27%	27%	27%
DK/NR		1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%
	Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
	Mean:	4.53	4.66	4.44	4.67	4.33	4.63	4.55	4.53	4.49	4.55
	Std dev:	2.14	2.06	2.20	2.10	2.20	2.06	2.26	2.13	2.17	2.14
	Student's t:	*	-	-	.05	.05	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 31

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 193

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV01																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	21%	24%	20%	19%	18%	45%	15%	14%	13%	25%	20%	4%	4%	26%	66%	7%	37%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	39%	44%	39%	35%	38%	40%	39%	35%	33%	40%	42%	16%	49%	54%	22%	34%	42%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	39%	31%	40%	45%	43%	12%	45%	21%	55%	34%	37%	80%	44%	19%	11%	57%	21%
1. Not at all involved	17%	16%	15%	18%	13%	42%	11%	36%	9%	21%	14%	1%	3%	19%	57%	4%	31%
2	4%	8%	5%	1%	5%	3%	4%	8%	4%	4%	6%	3%	1%	7%	10%	3%	7%
3	7%	11%	6%	8%	7%	8%	6%	7%	4%	8%	8%	4%	5%	17%	6%	4%	10%
4. Somewhat involved	18%	16%	19%	16%	17%	24%	19%	14%	17%	19%	17%	6%	22%	26%	12%	12%	23%
5	13%	18%	14%	12%	14%	8%	14%	14%	12%	13%	16%	6%	22%	11%	4%	17%	9%
6	12%	8%	14%	12%	14%	1%	14%	4%	15%	13%	9%	15%	17%	6%	4%	17%	7%
7. Extremely involved	27%	23%	27%	33%	30%	11%	31%	17%	39%	21%	28%	65%	27%	13%	7%	41%	14%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5
Mean:	4.53	4.30	4.62	4.69	4.75	3.02	4.89	3.42	5.21	4.22	4.55	6.17	5.24	3.74	2.38	5.51	3.49
Std dev:	2.14	2.10	2.09	2.21	2.07	2.08	2.00	2.26	1.96	2.17	2.09	1.44	1.51	1.91	1.94	1.68	2.12
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 32

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV02																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	18%	13%	17%	14%	21%	15%	19%	19%	16%	8%	4%	11%	23%	20%	11%	19%	22%	16%	16%	16%	14%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	41%	45%	53%	49%	37%	39%	43%	43%	39%	47%	42%	46%	38%	41%	44%	41%	42%	43%	43%	47%	34%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	40%	40%	29%	35%	42%	44%	36%	37%	43%	45%	52%	41%	38%	38%	45%	39%	34%	40%	41%	37%	49%
1. Not at all involved	14%	9%	13%	9%	17%	13%	15%	15%	13%	6%	3%	8%	18%	15%	9%	15%	16%	13%	10%	12%	12%
2	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	1%	4%	5%	5%	2%	4%	5%	3%	6%	4%	2%
3	6%	5%	12%	6%	6%	6%	2%	7%	6%	8%	4%	4%	7%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	8%	4%	0%
4. Somewhat involved	19%	23%	20%	19%	15%	21%	19%	19%	18%	20%	16%	22%	17%	19%	19%	19%	17%	18%	21%	25%	15%
5	16%	17%	21%	24%	15%	13%	21%	18%	15%	19%	23%	20%	14%	16%	18%	16%	18%	19%	14%	17%	19%
6	13%	9%	16%	19%	14%	10%	12%	11%	15%	17%	16%	15%	12%	10%	17%	15%	13%	10%	16%	12%	21%
7. Extremely involved	27%	30%	13%	16%	28%	34%	24%	26%	29%	28%	36%	27%	27%	28%	25%	22%	29%	25%	26%	27%	27%
DK/NR	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01				.10			-				
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	6	8	13	10	
Mean:	4.70	4.89	4.34	4.68	4.63	4.86	4.64	4.59	4.80	5.06	5.50	4.96	4.46	4.80	4.99	4.60	4.41	4.76	4.73	4.70	5.05
Std dev:	2.04	1.90	1.85	1.79	2.15	2.06	2.03	2.05	2.02	1.74	1.54	1.78	2.18	2.10	1.83	2.06	2.09	2.02	1.93	1.95	1.94
Student's t:	*	-	.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	-	.01	-	.05	-	.10	-	-	-	-	.10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 33

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV03	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
	NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	10%	13%	9%	18%	8%	10%	11%	12%	9%	3%	5%	9%	12%	11%	9%	11%	20%	11%	6%	9%	8%
	SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	30%	28%	32%	24%	33%	32%	18%	36%	25%	28%	41%	33%	29%	29%	34%	29%	29%	29%	37%	33%	38%
	VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	58%	58%	55%	55%	57%	57%	68%	48%	66%	67%	53%	57%	58%	57%	56%	58%	50%	57%	55%	58%	50%
	1. Not at all involved	8%	6%	7%	10%	7%	8%	11%	9%	6%	3%	3%	5%	9%	8%	6%	8%	15%	8%	5%	8%	6%
	2	3%	6%	2%	8%	1%	2%	0%	3%	3%	0%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	6%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	3	4%	2%	2%	2%	6%	3%	0%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%	4%	2%	4%
	4. Somewhat involved	14%	10%	15%	10%	15%	16%	6%	17%	10%	14%	17%	12%	14%	15%	11%	14%	13%	10%	17%	10%	19%
	5	13%	16%	14%	11%	12%	13%	12%	14%	12%	11%	22%	18%	10%	10%	20%	12%	10%	14%	16%	21%	15%
	6	14%	12%	18%	18%	14%	11%	19%	10%	18%	26%	9%	16%	11%	11%	16%	16%	11%	16%	13%	15%	11%
	7. Extremely involved	44%	46%	37%	37%	43%	46%	49%	39%	48%	41%	44%	41%	48%	46%	40%	42%	39%	41%	41%	43%	39%
	DK/NR	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	0%	4%
	Chi-Squared:								.01									.05				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10
	Mean:	5.43	5.44	5.40	5.15	5.43	5.43	5.68	5.17	5.68	5.76	5.58	5.49	5.36	5.40	5.47	5.40	4.90	5.40	5.47	5.52	5.33
	Std dev:	1.87	1.91	1.80	2.09	1.83	1.88	1.94	1.96	1.76	1.48	1.59	1.73	2.01	1.95	1.73	1.90	2.22	1.90	1.70	1.78	1.79
	Student's t:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	.01	.10	*	*	*	*	*	*	.05	*	*	*	*

Smokers

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV03											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		10%	9%	11%	10%	10%	13%	19%	9%	10%	11%
								++			
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		30%	32%	29%	33%	24%	30%	23%	31%	35%	28%
					+++	---				+	
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		58%	58%	57%	55%	62%	55%	58%	58%	54%	59%
					--	++					
1. Not at all involved		8%	6%	9%	7%	9%	10%	9%	7%	8%	7%
2		3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	10%	2%	2%	3%
3		4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%
4. Somewhat involved		14%	14%	13%	14%	11%	9%	9%	14%	16%	12%
5		13%	13%	13%	16%	8%	18%	10%	13%	15%	12%
6		14%	13%	15%	13%	15%	16%	18%	13%	11%	15%
7. Extremely involved		44%	45%	43%	41%	47%	40%	41%	44%	42%	44%
DK/NR		2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		-		.05					-	
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:		5.43	5.48	5.40	5.38	5.52	5.31	5.16	5.45	5.37	5.45
Std dev:		1.87	1.81	1.92	1.85	1.93	1.95	2.10	1.85	1.86	1.88
Student's t:		*	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV03																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	10%	10%	8%	14%	8%	23%	7%	22%	4%	12%	11%	5%	7%	15%	17%	8%	13%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	30%	38%	32%	22%	31%	28%	31%	27%	25%	33%	32%	25%	36%	27%	28%	33%	25%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	58%	50%	59%	63%	59%	44%	61%	47%	69%	53%	55%	70%	56%	56%	51%	58%	59%
1. Not at all involved	8%	6%	7%	9%	5%	20%	4%	18%	3%	8%	8%	3%	4%	11%	15%	5%	10%
2	3%	4%	1%	5%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%
3	4%	6%	2%	4%	3%	7%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	5%	5%	4%	4%
4. Somewhat involved	14%	17%	15%	6%	14%	13%	14%	13%	10%	15%	14%	10%	16%	11%	14%	15%	11%
5	13%	15%	15%	11%	14%	8%	14%	11%	13%	15%	12%	13%	16%	11%	9%	14%	11%
6	14%	8%	15%	14%	14%	17%	16%	7%	19%	11%	14%	13%	18%	15%	7%	15%	11%
7. Extremely involved	44%	42%	43%	49%	46%	27%	45%	41%	49%	43%	41%	56%	38%	41%	44%	43%	47%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%
Chi-Squared:		.01			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	8	5	5
Mean:	5.43	5.26	5.53	5.45	5.56	4.53	5.62	4.81	5.91	5.31	5.31	5.96	5.49	5.19	5.04	5.52	5.39
Std dev:	1.87	1.88	1.77	2.03	1.76	2.29	1.67	2.33	1.47	1.93	1.92	1.52	1.64	2.09	2.24	1.73	2.04
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	-	.01	-	-	.01	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 38

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 200

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV04																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	11%	7%	8%	15%	11%	12%	17%	14%	8%	7%	3%	8%	14%	13%	7%	13%	18%	10%	9%	8%	11%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	36%	35%	44%	34%	38%	32%	31%	36%	36%	36%	36%	40%	32%	32%	42%	37%	35%	33%	36%	42%	42%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	51%	55%	46%	47%	49%	55%	51%	48%	54%	57%	58%	51%	53%	54%	50%	48%	44%	55%	56%	50%	44%
1. Not at all involved	8%	6%	6%	10%	8%	9%	12%	10%	6%	6%	1%	4%	11%	9%	4%	10%	16%	6%	6%	6%	7%
2	3%	1%	2%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	4%
3	5%	12%	5%	5%	3%	5%	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%	5%	6%	8%	3%
4. Somewhat involved	17%	15%	25%	9%	20%	15%	12%	17%	18%	10%	16%	20%	16%	15%	22%	18%	16%	16%	16%	23%	16%
5	14%	7%	14%	20%	15%	12%	17%	14%	13%	22%	16%	15%	11%	12%	16%	14%	14%	12%	13%	11%	23%
6	14%	13%	15%	11%	15%	12%	11%	13%	14%	19%	19%	14%	13%	13%	15%	14%	12%	13%	18%	7%	15%
7. Extremely involved	38%	42%	32%	36%	34%	43%	40%	35%	40%	38%	40%	37%	40%	41%	35%	34%	32%	41%	38%	43%	30%
DK/NR	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	0%	3%
Chi-Squared:		-	11	12	6	7	11	.05	.10					-.05			-				
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10
Mean:	5.20	5.32	5.16	5.08	5.17	5.26	5.10	5.03	5.37	5.51	5.64	5.33	5.14	5.24	5.30	5.05	4.80	5.35	5.33	5.25	5.12
Std dev:	1.90	1.87	1.74	2.03	1.84	1.98	2.11	1.99	1.80	1.66	1.46	1.72	2.03	1.98	1.67	1.96	2.16	1.86	1.79	1.86	1.78
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	.05	-	.05	-	.10	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 39

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 201

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

Smokers

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV04											
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:		800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		11%	8%	13%	9%	13%	11%	11%	11%	13%	10%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		36%	37%	35%	37%	35%	40%	36%	35%	40%	35%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		51%	54%	49%	53%	49%	47%	46%	52%	45%	53%
1. Not at all involved		8%	5%	10%	7%	10%	10%	9%	8%	11%	7%
2		3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%
3		5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	5%
4. Somewhat involved		17%	20%	16%	18%	17%	21%	20%	17%	19%	17%
5		14%	12%	15%	14%	13%	12%	11%	14%	16%	13%
6		14%	13%	14%	14%	12%	10%	7%	15%	12%	14%
7. Extremely involved		38%	41%	35%	38%	37%	37%	39%	38%	34%	39%
DK/NR		2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	7%	1%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:			.10		-		-		-		-
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:		5.20	5.35	5.10	5.29	5.10	5.08	5.12	5.24	5.00	5.27
Std dev:		1.90	1.80	1.97	1.83	1.99	1.96	2.00	1.88	1.97	1.87
Student's t:			.10	.10	-		-		-	.10	.10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 40

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 202

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV04																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	10%	40%	18%	69%	9%	61%	15%	16%	32%	27%	15%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
Unweighted n:	800	10%	40%	19%	70%	8%	63%	14%	18%	32%	26%	16%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	11%	13%	9%	15%	9%	27%	7%	26%	5%	12%	13%	4%	8%	11%	24%	9%	13%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	36%	33%	42%	27%	35%	44%	36%	33%	33%	39%	36%	31%	39%	38%	35%	32%	40%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	51%	53%	48%	58%	55%	25%	55%	40%	61%	47%	49%	64%	52%	49%	40%	57%	47%
1. Not at all involved	8%	9%	7%	10%	6%	23%	4%	21%	3%	9%	3%	3%	4%	8%	20%	6%	10%
2	3%	5%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%
3	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	12%	4%	7%	1%	7%	6%	5%	3%	6%	8%	4%	6%
4. Somewhat involved	17%	18%	20%	12%	17%	24%	17%	17%	16%	18%	18%	15%	18%	19%	16%	15%	18%
5	14%	11%	17%	10%	14%	9%	15%	8%	16%	14%	12%	11%	17%	12%	11%	13%	15%
6	14%	15%	13%	13%	15%	5%	15%	10%	15%	12%	14%	10%	18%	17%	4%	16%	12%
7. Extremely involved	38%	38%	35%	45%	40%	20%	41%	30%	46%	35%	35%	54%	34%	32%	36%	41%	35%
DK/NR	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.01			.01		.01		.05			.01				.05	
+/- points around 50%:	3	4	5	7	4	10	4	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	8	5	5
Mean:	5.20	5.17	5.15	5.27	5.39	3.90	5.47	4.39	5.71	5.04	5.09	5.79	5.35	5.08	4.53	5.43	5.04
Std dev:	1.90	1.96	1.79	2.06	1.78	2.16	1.68	2.30	1.53	1.95	1.96	1.62	1.66	1.89	2.31	1.79	1.96
Student's t:		-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	-	.01	.10	-	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 41

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 203

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region					Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INVO5																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	17%	18%	17%	15%	19%	12%	27%	22%	13%	20%	11%	16%	16%	21%	12%	17%	22%	17%	14%	19%	14%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	30%	15%	42%	34%	29%	35%	19%	29%	30%	28%	27%	23%	36%	30%	30%	30%	27%	29%	30%	28%	24%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	51%	65%	40%	49%	50%	50%	51%	47%	55%	52%	59%	60%	44%	48%	56%	52%	48%	53%	53%	50%	60%
1. Not at all involved	14%	15%	11%	11%	15%	10%	23%	18%	10%	11%	9%	11%	14%	15%	10%	13%	19%	12%	11%	16%	11%
2	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%	9%	1%	5%	3%	5%	1%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%
3	5%	1%	5%	7%	8%	5%	0%	5%	6%	6%	1%	4%	5%	6%	3%	6%	6%	6%	6%	8%	3%
4. Somewhat involved	15%	9%	22%	15%	15%	18%	11%	16%	15%	19%	15%	11%	18%	16%	15%	15%	11%	14%	14%	11%	14%
5	9%	6%	15%	12%	6%	12%	8%	8%	9%	3%	11%	7%	13%	8%	12%	9%	9%	9%	10%	9%	8%
6	9%	13%	4%	10%	8%	9%	8%	8%	9%	14%	9%	8%	7%	7%	9%	11%	7%	7%	12%	10%	10%
7. Extremely involved	42%	52%	35%	38%	42%	41%	43%	39%	46%	38%	50%	52%	36%	41%	47%	41%	41%	46%	40%	40%	50%
DK/NR	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%
Chi-Squared:		.01						.01		.01				.10			-				
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10
Mean:	5.02	5.40	4.78	5.00	4.91	5.16	4.79	4.77	5.27	4.89	5.53	5.36	4.88	4.83	5.38	5.04	4.79	5.11	5.14	4.88	5.38
Std dev:	2.18	2.23	2.07	2.11	2.24	2.01	2.48	2.30	2.03	2.18	1.95	2.13	2.13	2.25	2.00	2.16	2.36	2.17	2.07	2.28	2.09
Student's t:	*	.10	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	-	.10	.05	.01	.05	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 42

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 204

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INVO5										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	89	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	219	592
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	17%	16%	18%	17%	17%	21%	14%	16%	19%	17%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	30%	29%	30%	27%	35%	25%	30%	30%	34%	28%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	51%	53%	50%	54%	47%	50%	52%	52%	46%	53%
1. Not at all involved	14%	12%	15%	13%	14%	15%	10%	13%	15%	13%
2	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	3%	4%
3	5%	4%	6%	4%	7%	8%	5%	5%	5%	5%
4. Somewhat involved	15%	17%	15%	14%	19%	13%	21%	15%	19%	14%
5	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%	4%	4%	10%	9%	9%
6	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	7%	4%	10%	9%	9%
7. Extremely involved	42%	44%	41%	45%	37%	44%	49%	42%	37%	44%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-		.10		-		-		
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:	5.02	5.13	4.94	5.12	4.87	4.87	5.19	5.06	4.81	5.10
Std dev:	2.18	2.14	2.21	2.19	2.14	2.34	2.13	2.15	2.19	2.18
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10	.10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 43

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 205

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV05																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	17%	20%	16%	22%	16%	29%	16%	24%	16%	17%	19%	8%	14%	18%	32%	14%	20%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	30%	31%	31%	23%	28%	43%	27%	42%	19%	34%	29%	14%	32%	37%	31%	24%	35%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	51%	48%	51%	55%	54%	27%	56%	33%	62%	47%	51%	74%	53%	43%	35%	60%	43%
1. Not at all involved	14%	17%	12%	15%	12%	23%	12%	20%	12%	14%	16%	8%	10%	12%	28%	11%	15%
2	4%	4%	3%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	1%	4%	6%	4%	3%	4%
3	5%	4%	5%	7%	5%	11%	4%	9%	1%	6%	6%	1%	5%	6%	9%	3%	9%
4. Somewhat involved	15%	16%	17%	11%	14%	27%	14%	21%	11%	19%	14%	7%	15%	20%	17%	11%	19%
5	9%	12%	10%	5%	9%	6%	8%	12%	6%	9%	9%	5%	12%	11%	4%	10%	7%
6	9%	6%	8%	10%	9%	7%	9%	6%	7%	7%	13%	8%	9%	13%	6%	9%	9%
7. Extremely involved	42%	41%	44%	44%	46%	21%	47%	27%	55%	40%	37%	67%	44%	31%	29%	51%	34%
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5
Mean:	5.02	4.88	5.10	4.94	5.17	3.90	5.23	4.28	5.44	4.91	4.90	6.03	5.21	4.75	4.02	5.41	4.66
Std dev:	2.18	2.25	2.13	2.32	2.14	2.16	2.13	2.20	2.17	2.16	2.21	1.81	2.05	2.07	2.42	2.08	2.21
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	-	.01	.10	.10	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 44

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 206

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender			Age				Education			Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem		<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
INV06																							
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92		
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92		
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	17%	17%	10%	15%	21%	14%	17%	21%	14%	9%	8%	11%	23%	19%	12%	18%	20%	12%	21%	15%	17%		
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	45%	41%	54%	53%	39%	52%	38%	45%	44%	45%	38%	51%	39%	44%	46%	46%	40%	49%	44%	46%	46%		
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	37%	40%	35%	29%	40%	31%	45%	33%	40%	46%	53%	36%	38%	36%	41%	34%	38%	38%	34%	40%	37%		
1. Not at all involved	12%	11%	8%	10%	15%	11%	13%	16%	9%	3%	3%	8%	18%	14%	8%	14%	13%	9%	13%	11%	12%		
2	5%	7%	2%	5%	6%	3%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%	3%	5%	4%	7%	6%	6%	8%	8%	3%	4%		
3	7%	11%	8%	10%	4%	8%	7%	8%	7%	8%	4%	7%	7%	6%	6%	9%	6%	8%	8%	2%	12%		
4. Somewhat involved	23%	20%	28%	21%	22%	27%	11%	23%	22%	19%	26%	25%	21%	24%	22%	22%	23%	24%	25%	24%	20%		
5	15%	10%	18%	22%	13%	16%	20%	14%	16%	18%	9%	19%	11%	13%	18%	15%	11%	17%	11%	20%	14%		
6	12%	11%	8%	12%	14%	10%	13%	11%	12%	21%	18%	12%	11%	9%	17%	12%	13%	9%	12%	18%	10%		
7. Extremely involved	25%	29%	26%	17%	26%	21%	31%	22%	28%	25%	34%	24%	27%	27%	24%	22%	24%	29%	21%	22%	27%		
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	3%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%		
Chi-Squared:		.05					.05		.01						-		-						
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10		
Mean:	4.61	4.64	4.77	4.47	4.58	4.54	4.88	4.39	4.83	5.06	5.28	4.80	4.43	4.57	4.87	4.45	4.52	4.81	4.37	4.79	4.58		
Std dev:	1.99	2.04	1.81	1.84	2.09	1.87	2.07	2.05	1.90	1.67	1.70	1.78	2.16	2.05	1.81	2.01	2.06	1.89	2.01	1.86	2.02		
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	.10	.01	-	.01	-	.05	-	.10	.10	-	-	-		

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 45

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 207

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV06										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	17%	15%	19%	16%	19%	11%	20%	17%	21%	16%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	45%	46%	43%	44%	47%	42%	32%	47%	48%	43%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	37%	37%	37%	39%	32%	46%	48%	35%	29%	40%
1. Not at all involved	12%	11%	13%	12%	13%	9%	15%	12%	16%	11%
2	5%	4%	6%	4%	6%	2%	5%	5%	5%	5%
3	7%	7%	7%	8%	5%	5%	1%	8%	11%	6%
4. Somewhat involved	23%	25%	21%	21%	26%	22%	21%	23%	22%	23%
5	15%	14%	15%	15%	15%	14%	10%	15%	15%	15%
6	12%	12%	11%	13%	8%	8%	9%	12%	10%	12%
7. Extremely involved	25%	24%	26%	26%	24%	38%	39%	23%	20%	27%
DK/NR	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	.05			.05	
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:	4.61	4.64	4.59	4.69	4.50	5.10	4.90	4.55	4.26	4.74
Std dev:	1.99	1.93	2.03	1.97	1.99	1.93	2.19	1.96	2.02	1.96
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	.05	-	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 46

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 208

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
INV06	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		17%	21%	16%	15%	15%	33%	11%	39%	6%	18%	22%	5%	10%	19%	41%	11%	24%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		45%	49%	48%	38%	44%	50%	46%	38%	40%	49%	41%	28%	51%	57%	36%	42%	46%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		37%	29%	36%	45%	41%	13%	42%	22%	54%	30%	36%	65%	39%	22%	22%	45%	29%
1. Not at all involved		12%	14%	11%	11%	10%	29%	8%	30%	4%	14%	15%	3%	7%	9%	35%	7%	18%
2		5%	8%	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	9%	2%	4%	8%	3%	3%	10%	6%	4%	6%
3		7%	12%	6%	7%	6%	13%	6%	11%	4%	9%	6%	6%	6%	9%	9%	7%	8%
4. Somewhat involved		23%	26%	25%	18%	23%	23%	23%	20%	19%	27%	20%	13%	25%	31%	20%	19%	25%
5		15%	11%	17%	13%	15%	14%	17%	7%	17%	13%	15%	9%	20%	18%	7%	16%	12%
6		12%	10%	12%	13%	13%	5%	13%	9%	11%	10%	15%	15%	16%	8%	4%	15%	8%
7. Extremely involved		25%	19%	24%	33%	28%	7%	29%	13%	43%	21%	21%	50%	23%	14%	19%	30%	21%
DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	5%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:			.05			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
+/- points around 50%:		3	9	5	7	4	10	4	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	8	5	5
Mean:		4.61	4.20	4.64	4.89	4.79	3.36	4.97	3.43	5.48	4.35	4.41	5.72	4.90	4.19	3.44	5.02	4.17
Std dev:		1.99	1.97	1.92	2.03	1.93	1.94	1.81	2.13	1.67	1.97	2.05	1.65	1.71	1.75	2.27	1.83	2.07
Student's t:		*	.05	-	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 47

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 209

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education				Income			
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV07																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		9%	11%	10%	9%	7%	9%	14%	9%	14%	1%	7%	9%	11%	5%	9%	16%	9%	5%	5%	11%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		39%	41%	35%	40%	42%	35%	35%	44%	34%	37%	30%	41%	39%	37%	44%	38%	36%	38%	42%	37%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		51%	45%	53%	50%	49%	55%	50%	45%	56%	48%	66%	52%	51%	51%	52%	46%	53%	52%	58%	42%
1. Not at all involved		7%	9%	7%	9%	5%	8%	14%	7%	7%	8%	1%	5%	8%	9%	4%	6%	13%	6%	4%	5%
2		2%	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	6%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	0%
3		4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	6%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	7%	3%	0%
4. Somewhat involved		20%	20%	17%	20%	24%	16%	11%	22%	17%	22%	14%	17%	22%	19%	21%	19%	17%	19%	28%	20%
5		15%	15%	16%	16%	13%	16%	17%	18%	12%	13%	13%	20%	13%	13%	19%	14%	12%	13%	20%	9%
6		10%	7%	15%	10%	10%	9%	10%	8%	12%	18%	18%	10%	7%	9%	8%	6%	10%	11%	12%	11%
7. Extremely involved		41%	38%	38%	40%	39%	46%	40%	37%	44%	30%	48%	42%	43%	41%	42%	37%	40%	43%	41%	31%
DK/NR		2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	1%	0%	0%	2%
Chi-Squared:								.01		(.10)											
+/- points around 50%:		3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13
Mean:		5.29	5.08	5.31	5.28	5.31	5.45	5.11	5.17	5.42	5.03	5.91	5.44	5.31	5.22	5.44	5.32	4.98	5.32	5.49	5.54
Std dev:		1.84	1.96	1.83	1.86	1.73	1.84	2.10	1.82	1.84	1.90	1.37	1.69	1.86	1.93	1.66	1.77	2.12	1.85	1.61	1.68
Student's t:		*	-	-	-	-	-	.10	.10	.10	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 48

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV07										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		9%	6%	11%	7%	12%	6%	8%	9%	9%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		39%	39%	39%	40%	36%	40%	37%	39%	41%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		51%	54%	48%	52%	48%	51%	52%	50%	49%
1. Not at all involved		7%	6%	8%	6%	10%	6%	8%	7%	8%
2		2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%
3		4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	9%	7%	4%	5%
4. Somewhat involved		20%	21%	19%	18%	22%	26%	22%	19%	22%
5		15%	14%	15%	18%	9%	6%	7%	16%	14%
6		10%	11%	10%	10%	10%	12%	6%	10%	6%
7. Extremely involved		41%	44%	38%	42%	38%	39%	45%	40%	43%
DK/NR		2%	0%	2%	0%	4%	3%	3%	2%	1%
Chi-Squared:			.10		.05		-		-	
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4
Mean:		5.29	5.44	5.19	5.40	5.09	5.24	5.31	5.30	5.22
Std dev:		1.84	1.75	1.89	1.75	1.99	1.83	1.93	1.82	1.90
Student's t:		*	.10	.10	.05	.05	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 49

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV07																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	10%	40%	18%	6%	9%	6%	15%	16%	32%	27%	15%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
	Unweighted n:	800	10%	40%	19%	70%	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		9%	14%	6%	11%	6%	26%	6%	19%	5%	9%	10%	3%	3%	13%	23%	3%	14%
						+++		+++							+	+++		+++
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		39%	43%	40%	32%	39%	42%	37%	46%	27%	47%	38%	33%	43%	34%	40%	40%	38%
						--		--		----	++++		--		++			
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		51%	41%	53%	56%	54%	29%	56%	35%	67%	42%	51%	64%	52%	51%	36%	56%	45%
		----				++++	----	----	----	++++	----		++++		----		+++	
1. Not at all involved		7%	9%	5%	9%	5%	24%	4%	17%	2%	8%	8%	2%	3%	9%	19%	3%	11%
2		2%	6%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%	3%	4%	0%	4%	
3		4%	2%	5%	4%	4%	8%	4%	5%	2%	5%	5%	6%	3%	2%	7%	3%	6%
4. Somewhat involved		20%	18%	20%	17%	19%	23%	18%	23%	13%	25%	18%	13%	19%	19%	26%	18%	22%
5		15%	24%	15%	10%	15%	11%	15%	17%	12%	16%	15%	14%	20%	13%	7%	19%	10%
6		10%	7%	10%	13%	11%	2%	11%	6%	14%	8%	12%	12%	12%	15%	1%	11%	9%
7. Extremely involved		41%	34%	43%	44%	43%	27%	44%	28%	53%	35%	39%	52%	40%	36%	35%	45%	37%
DK/NR		2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		.01			.01		.01		.01			.01				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:		9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	5	5	
	Mean:		5.29	5.03	5.42	5.30	5.47	4.10	5.54	4.55	5.86	5.05	5.25	5.82	5.54	5.17	4.43	5.65
	Std dev:		1.84	1.90	1.74	1.96	1.69	2.26	1.67	2.10	1.55	1.84	1.87	1.52	1.52	1.93	2.25	1.51
	Student's t:			.10	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.01	.01	-	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 50

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

Smokers

		Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP1																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	399	42	39	27	159	101	31	199	200	29	40	157	117	185	109	96	46	126	73	29	51
	Unweighted n:	399	36	43	34	148	93	45	176	223	40	42	133	148	183	114	96	46	122	74	30	53
The federal government		47%	46%	39%	42%	45%	53%	52%	51%	43%	38%	47%	54%	51%	44%	55%	46%	42%	48%	59%	47%	53%
Your provincial gov't		4%	4%	2%	2%	6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	9%	8%	3%	4%	4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	5%	0%	7%
Your local/regional gov		2%	2%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%	1%	8%	2%	0%	0%	2%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		5%	3%	2%	13%	7%	3%	9%	6%	4%	10%	0%	5%	5%	8%	4%	3%	8%	7%	3%	8%	2%
Health care professionals		4%	0%	6%	0%	6%	1%	8%	4%	4%	11%	4%	3%	6%	5%	3%	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%	5%
The tobacco industry		25%	29%	33%	30%	24%	21%	19%	21%	29%	18%	30%	25%	21%	22%	26%	30%	19%	26%	19%	26%	21%
Canadians themselves		6%	9%	8%	3%	4%	8%	7%	6%	7%	7%	4%	5%	7%	8%	2%	7%	6%	4%	6%	9%	6%
DK/NR		6%	7%	2%	11%	5%	9%	2%	6%	7%	4%	2%	3%	5%	6%	2%	6%	9%	4%	6%	8%	5%
	Chi-Squared:		-					-		-		-		-		-		-				
	+/- points around 50%:	5	16	15	17	8	10	15	7	7	15	15	8	8	7	9	10	14	9	11	18	13

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 51

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESPI										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	399	158	240	243	149	45	34	321	104	294
Unweighted n:	399	162	237	254	139	45	31	322	106	293
The federal government	47%	51%	45%	52%	39%	32%	36%	50%	43%	49%
Your provincial gov't	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	7%	3%	4%	6%	4%
Your local/regional gov	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%	7%	1%	3%	2%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	4%	6%	6%	5%
Health care professionals	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%	0%	4%	4%	4%
The tobacco industry	25%	21%	27%	23%	28%	37%	24%	24%	25%	25%
Canadians themselves	6%	8%	5%	6%	6%	3%	14%	5%	4%	7%
DK/NR	6%	3%	8%	4%	11%	11%	12%	6%	10%	5%
Chi-Squared:		(.10)		(.05)		(.10)				
+/- points around 50%:	5	8	6	6	8	15	18	5	10	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 52

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 214

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
RESPI																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	399	58	204	84	354	41	318	68	79	154	146	81	157	82	72	205	173
Unweighted n:	399	58	204	90	357	39	321	67	85	153	142	81	154	83	75	202	175
The federal government	47%	55%	50%	43%	50%	27%	48%	48%	48%	42%	54%	56%	60%	31%	27%	60%	34%
Your provincial gov't	4%	2%	5%	5%	5%	1%	5%	1%	6%	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%
Your local/regional gov	2%	0%	2%	5%	2%	6%	2%	1%	5%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	5%	5%	5%	7%	6%	0%	6%	1%	5%	7%	4%	3%	5%	6%	10%	3%	8%
Health care professionals	4%	3%	4%	6%	4%	0%	4%	4%	7%	2%	5%	6%	2%	4%	7%	4%	5%
The tobacco industry	25%	25%	23%	22%	24%	32%	23%	29%	22%	29%	23%	19%	20%	38%	28%	20%	29%
Canadians themselves	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	13%	6%	7%	3%	10%	3%	3%	12%	11%	3%	9%	9%
DK/NR	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	22%	6%	7%	4%	6%	6%	6%	4%	3%	15%	4%	8%
Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		-		(.10)			(.01)				(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	5	13	7	10	5	16	5	12	11	8	8	11	8	11	11	7	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 53

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 215

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP1B	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	368	39	38	23	147	90	30	184	183	28	30	149	108	169	104	91	42	120	66	26	49
	Unweighted n:	371	33	41	29	140	84	44	164	207	38	41	127	136	168	109	91	43	116	68	27	50
The federal government		23%	33%	30%	13%	22%	20%	24%	21%	25%	13%	34%	24%	20%	24%	22%	20%	20%	26%	20%	11%	26%
Your provincial gov't		39%	29%	24%	26%	34%	61%	39%	37%	41%	45%	43%	45%	35%	41%	43%	33%	52%	35%	50%	20%	35%
Your local/regional gov		9%	9%	7%	11%	9%	8%	17%	9%	9%	10%	17%	10%	8%	8%	14%	4%	15%	9%	5%	11%	7%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		16%	11%	21%	12%	16%	16%	22%	16%	16%	10%	18%	17%	18%	11%	25%	15%	17%	16%	13%	25%	15%
Health care professionals		19%	20%	23%	27%	20%	13%	22%	18%	20%	6%	10%	22%	18%	21%	16%	19%	11%	26%	13%	18%	20%
The tobacco industry		19%	15%	15%	14%	22%	19%	19%	20%	18%	18%	29%	18%	23%	21%	17%	18%	16%	20%	21%	20%	24%
Canadians themselves		12%	3%	9%	12%	12%	19%	6%	13%	11%	17%	17%	12%	14%	15%	15%	5%	6%	16%	9%	3%	9%
DK/NR		11%	14%	7%	22%	12%	8%	9%	14%	8%	13%	10%	10%	11%	9%	9%	15%	14%	7%	9%	26%	10%
Chi-Squared:			-					-			-			.05			-					
+/- points around 50%:		5	17	15	18	8	11	15	8	7	16	15	9	8	8	9	10	15	9	12	19	14

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 54

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 216

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP1B										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	368	150	218	229	133	40	30	297	93	275
Unweighted n:	371	155	216	240	126	41	28	300	96	275
The federal government	23%	21%	24%	23%	24%	18%	25%	23%	27%	22%
Your provincial gov't	39%	41%	38%	41%	37%	32%	48%	39%	37%	40%
Your local/regional gov	9%	11%	8%	9%	9%	10%	10%	9%	7%	10%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	16%	14%	18%	16%	16%	15%	17%	16%	9%	19%
Health care professionals	19%	20%	18%	20%	17%	24%	18%	19%	17%	20%
The tobacco industry	19%	22%	17%	21%	14%	17%	10%	21%	17%	20%
Canadians themselves	12%	14%	11%	12%	12%	15%	0%	13%	7%	14%
DK/NR	11%	10%	12%	9%	14%	2%	4%	13%	10%	11%
Chi-Squared:										
+/- points around 50%:	5	8	7	6	9	15	19	6	10	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 55

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 217

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP1B																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	368	55	191	78	333	31	294	61	74	141	135	75	148	79	60	193	156
	Unweighted n:	371	54	193	84	339	29	300	61	81	141	132	77	146	80	63	192	160
The federal government		23%	21%	22%	27%	23%	17%	23%	23%	23%	26%	18%	27%	23%	22%	20%	26%	19%
Your provincial gov't		39%	37%	37%	49%	41%	21%	40%	38%	48%	39%	38%	47%	45%	31%	25%	41%	36%
Your local/regional gov		9%	8%	7%	17%	9%	6%	9%	8%	11%	8%	10%	16%	6%	8%	10%	8%	10%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		16%	12%	17%	19%	16%	19%	16%	18%	16%	14%	19%	12%	17%	19%	18%	16%	18%
Health care professionals		19%	22%	20%	12%	19%	18%	19%	13%	18%	18%	21%	19%	20%	12%	23%	16%	22%
The tobacco industry		19%	16%	21%	25%	20%	11%	21%	12%	23%	17%	19%	27%	24%	11%	9%	25%	14%
Canadians themselves		12%	6%	11%	14%	12%	10%	11%	14%	14%	11%	11%	12%	13%	13%	11%	12%	13%
DK/NR		11%	18%	10%	8%	11%	16%	10%	15%	13%	12%	8%	5%	9%	16%	20%	11%	12%
	Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	5	13	7	11	5	18	6	13	11	8	9	11	8	11	12	7	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 56

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 218

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP2																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	794	107	76	55	304	190	63	391	404	54	68	307	236	384	209	189	98	240	144	58	92
	Unweighted n:	795	88	81	70	286	183	87	343	452	74	92	256	298	385	216	184	98	242	145	57	92
The federal government		23%	25%	17%	26%	24%	22%	20%	24%	22%	12%	21%	28%	27%	21%	25%	23%	16%	25%	24%	28%	29%
Your provincial gov't		13%	10%	13%	12%	14%	11%	16%	15%	10%	20%	13%	15%	12%	10%	14%	17%	7%	11%	15%	20%	17%
Your local/regional gov		7%	9%	7%	4%	6%	6%	12%	7%	6%	9%	10%	8%	4%	7%	5%	5%	11%	8%	5%	5%	5%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		6%	7%	5%	5%	5%	8%	6%	6%	6%	10%	7%	5%	6%	7%	5%	7%	7%	4%	6%	10%	9%
Health care professionals		5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	6%	11%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	3%	2%	6%
The tobacco industry		5%	6%	4%	6%	7%	3%	3%	5%	6%	11%	9%	6%	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%	6%	3%	5%
Canadians themselves		38%	33%	46%	40%	36%	41%	34%	36%	40%	24%	34%	32%	39%	40%	38%	34%	40%	39%	38%	31%	28%
DK/NR		4%	6%	4%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	8%	4%	2%	1%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-					-			-			-				+++				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 57

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 219

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP2										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	794	323	471	502	279	99	65	635	209	585
Unweighted n:	795	325	470	520	262	99	62	634	217	578
The federal government	23%	24%	22%	25%	19%	27%	15%	23%	19%	24%
Your provincial gov't	13%	15%	11%	14%	11%	15%	19%	12%	12%	13%
Your local/regional gov	7%	5%	8%	6%	7%	1%	8%	7%	5%	7%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	6%	4%	7%
Health care professionals	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	6%	4%
The tobacco industry	5%	7%	4%	5%	5%	7%	3%	5%	5%	5%
Canadians themselves	38%	38%	38%	35%	43%	34%	38%	39%	45%	36%
DK/NR	4%	2%	5%	3%	6%	4%	7%	4%	3%	4%
Chi-Squared:		.05	++	++	++	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 58

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 220

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP2																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	794	10%	39%	18%	69%	9%	61%	15%	16%	32%	26%	15%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
Unweighted n:	795	10%	39%	19%	70%	8%	62%	14%	17%	32%	25%	16%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
The federal government	23%	24%	24%	22%	23%	17%	24%	18%	24%	20%	25%	36%	22%	19%	12%	31%	15%
Your provincial gov't	13%	18%	12%	15%	13%	11%	13%	14%	12%	15%	11%	14%	15%	12%	10%	12%	14%
Your local/regional gov	7%	7%	8%	4%	7%	2%	6%	9%	10%	5%	7%	7%	9%	3%	5%	7%	6%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	6%	9%	4%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%	9%	4%	8%	5%	7%	5%	7%	6%	6%
Health care professionals	5%	8%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%	3%	4%	6%	6%	4%	5%
The tobacco industry	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	2%	5%	6%	6%	7%	4%	4%	6%	6%	4%	5%	6%
Canadians themselves	38%	26%	37%	37%	36%	48%	37%	35%	32%	40%	38%	30%	34%	46%	47%	33%	42%
DK/NR	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	11%	3%	7%	2%	5%	3%	1%	3%	3%	8%	2%	5%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.01)	----	----	----	+	+	+	.01	-	+	+	.01	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	11	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 59

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 221

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Smokers

	Region						Gender		Age					Education			Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP2B																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	755	98	73	52	291	181	60	374	381	52	66	297	225	367	198	179	90	234	137	55	89
Unweighted n:	759	82	78	66	277	173	83	329	430	72	90	247	283	370	204	176	91	234	138	54	89
The federal government	21%	22%	15%	23%	22%	22%	17%	23%	19%	21%	24%	26%	19%	19%	23%	23%	17%	19%	29%	23%	25%
Your provincial gov't	32%	34%	27%	36%	28%	38%	30%	31%	33%	16%	39%	40%	33%	27%	41%	33%	26%	33%	35%	32%	45%
Your local/regional gov	20%	9%	23%	21%	22%	19%	21%	18%	21%	20%	26%	22%	18%	18%	25%	19%	11%	21%	22%	26%	22%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	18%	17%	11%	17%	19%	19%	27%	18%	18%	20%	18%	18%	20%	16%	22%	20%	20%	19%	20%	17%	14%
Health care professionals	25%	16%	18%	30%	26%	29%	28%	23%	27%	28%	20%	22%	27%	25%	25%	27%	30%	27%	22%	34%	17%
The tobacco industry	15%	21%	11%	12%	12%	14%	23%	14%	15%	20%	18%	17%	15%	14%	15%	15%	13%	15%	17%	21%	11%
Canadians themselves	22%	22%	19%	11%	23%	23%	30%	21%	23%	26%	27%	25%	17%	22%	21%	25%	29%	20%	22%	26%	14%
DK/NR	17%	18%	26%	18%	13%	20%	14%	17%	16%	19%	9%	11%	19%	19%	12%	14%	17%	20%	10%	10%	10%
Chi-Squared:																	.10				
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	12	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 60

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 222

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Smokers

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP2B											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	755	314	441	485	256	95	60	603	199	556
	Unweighted n:	759	316	443	505	242	96	58	604	209	550
The federal government		21%	25%	18%	23%	19%	25%	16%	21%	20%	21%
Your provincial gov't		32%	40%	26%	35%	26%	32%	31%	32%	30%	33%
Your local/regional gov		20%	21%	18%	21%	17%	28%	23%	19%	19%	20%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	13%	20%	19%	15%	19%
Health care professionals		25%	24%	26%	23%	29%	23%	15%	26%	27%	24%
The tobacco industry		15%	14%	15%	16%	12%	11%	20%	15%	16%	14%
Canadians themselves		22%	19%	25%	22%	23%	27%	24%	22%	22%	22%
DK/NR		17%	14%	19%	15%	20%	17%	18%	16%	17%	17%
	Chi-Squared:		.01		.05		-		-		
	+/- points around 50%:	4	6	5	4	6	10	13	4	7	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 61

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 223

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Smokers

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51%+	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESPB																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	755	105	379	182	667	83	590	140	161	306	256	153	307	139	148	394	310
Unweighted n:	759	103	382	189	676	78	601	139	174	308	248	159	302	141	148	393	316
The federal government	21%	24%	23%	20%	23%	6%	23%	14%	19%	23%	21%	25%	25%	20%	11%	26%	17%
Your provincial gov't	32%	35%	31%	36%	+++	---	34%	35%	24%	36%	30%	32%	46%	34%	28%	16%	41%
Your local/regional gov	20%	21%	17%	25%	+++	---	20%	16%	21%	11%	22%	20%	19%	26%	16%	11%	21%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	18%	18%	18%	21%	19%	13%	19%	18%	22%	18%	15%	15%	21%	22%	12%	18%	20%
Health care professionals	25%	19%	26%	29%	25%	21%	25%	21%	27%	20%	29%	26%	26%	24%	22%	26%	25%
The tobacco industry	15%	14%	16%	15%	16%	8%	16%	12%	16%	---	13%	18%	17%	16%	7%	18%	12%
Canadians themselves	22%	23%	22%	26%	23%	14%	23%	19%	20%	23%	24%	25%	25%	18%	20%	25%	20%
DK/NR	17%	12%	15%	14%	14%	39%	13%	26%	14%	19%	14%	8%	12%	20%	32%	9%	23%
Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	-	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
+/- points around 50%:	4	10	5	7	.01	11	.01	4	7	6	6	.01	8	6	8	.01	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 62

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 224

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Smokers

	Region										Gender		Age				Education			Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+			
RESP3																								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	404	45	46	26	161	102	24	201	203	25	35	164	124	192	117	89	46	121	76	30	42			
Unweighted n:	405	37	45	34	154	99	36	175	230	33	46	133	160	196	116	89	46	126	76	28	41			
The federal government	66%	61%	75%	66%	64%	66%	76%	66%	67%	65%	71%	69%	66%	63%	72%	67%	60%	64%	74%	72%	76%			
Your provincial gov't	13%	12%	10%	14%	14%	13%	11%	11%	15%	13%	12%	13%	12%	16%	11%	11%	11%	12%	12%	19%	6%			
Your local/regional gov	4%	7%	0%	8%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	16%	3%	2%	6%	5%	3%	3%	6%	8%	3%	0%	0%			
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Health care professionals	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
The tobacco industry	3%	7%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	0%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	6%	3%	1%	0%	7%			
Canadians themselves	9%	11%	13%	3%	10%	6%	6%	8%	10%	0%	7%	7%	9%	11%	7%	6%	15%	7%	6%	5%	7%			
DK/NR	5%	2%	0%	7%	7%	8%	2%	7%	4%	7%	6%	5%	5%	2%	5%	10%	1%	6%	4%	3%	3%			
Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
+/- points around 50%:	5	16	15	17	8	10	16	7	6	17	14	8	8	7	9	10	14	9	11	19	15			

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 63

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 225

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Smokers

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP3											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	404	160	243	256	140	53	34	318	107	297
	Unweighted n:	405	162	243	261	138	51	32	321	112	293
The federal government		66%	66%	66%	67%	66%	60%	66%	68%	59%	69%
Your provincial gov't		13%	14%	12%	15%	11%	15%	9%	13%	21%	10%
Your local/regional gov		4%	2%	5%	4%	4%	7%	6%	3%	2%	5%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Health care profesionls		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
The tobacco industry		3%	4%	2%	4%	1%	0%	5%	3%	2%	3%
Canadians themselves		9%	9%	9%	5%	15%	15%	11%	8%	12%	8%
DK/NR		5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	2%	3%	6%	3%	6%
	Chi-Squared:		-		(.01)		-			(.05)	
	+/- points around 50%:	5	8	6	6	8	14	17	5	9	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 64

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 226

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP3																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	404	59	217	85	358	43	316	79	89	159	140	87	161	66	81	200	168
Unweighted n:	405	57	211	92	363	40	321	77	96	161	136	90	158	68	81	199	172
The federal government	66%	65%	68%	67%	68%	46%	68%	62%	71%	64%	64%	73%	76%	54%	53%	75%	60%
Your provincial gov't	13%	16%	14%	9%	14%	8%	13%	14%	16%	11%	13%	12%	10%	12%	19%	10%	16%
Your local/regional gov	4%	1%	4%	5%	4%	0%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	6%	3%	6%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Health care profesionls	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
The tobacco industry	3%	5%	2%	6%	2%	12%	2%	9%	1%	6%	1%	3%	2%	3%	6%	2%	4%
Canadians themselves	9%	8%	7%	9%	8%	20%	9%	7%	4%	9%	12%	7%	7%	18%	6%	7%	10%
DK/NR	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	15%	5%	6%	3%	6%	6%	1%	3%	8%	11%	3%	4%
Chi-Squared:					(.01)		(.05)		(.10)			(.01)				(.10)	
+/- points around 50%:	5	13	7	10	5	15	5	11	10	8	8	10	8	12	11	7	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 65

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 227

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP3B																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	378	44	46	24	148	94	22	184	194	23	33	156	116	184	111	79	45	112	72	28	39
	Unweighted n:	380	36	45	32	142	91	34	161	219	31	43	126	150	187	109	81	45	116	72	26	39
	The federal government	13%	26%	4%	14%	14%	12%	9%	9%	17%	7%	20%	13%	13%	12%	15%	14%	13%	14%	6%	12%	22%
		++	-						++											--		++
	Your provincial gov't	56%	66%	53%	59%	52%	61%	46%	54%	58%	48%	66%	58%	55%	54%	66%	50%	50%	59%	61%	50%	59%
	Your local/regional gov	16%	19%	14%	14%	18%	12%	28%	16%	16%	14%	31%	18%	14%	18%	14%	16%	16%	27%	7%	16%	4%
								+				++						++		--		--
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	5%	2%	2%	13%	7%	4%	3%	3%	7%	8%	2%	4%	7%	5%	6%	4%	7%	8%	2%	6%	0%
					+																	
	Health care professionals	4%	2%	5%	16%	4%	2%	6%	3%	6%	4%	2%	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	6%	1%	6%	6%
					+++															-		
	The tobacco industry	10%	7%	8%	18%	14%	1%	19%	8%	11%	11%	7%	11%	9%	8%	8%	14%	9%	12%	4%	8%	15%
					++			+								+			-			
	Canadians themselves	15%	9%	13%	29%	15%	11%	28%	11%	18%	19%	17%	17%	14%	17%	11%	15%	19%	14%	17%	18%	6%
					++			++														
	DK/NR	17%	10%	22%	20%	19%	18%	5%	24%	11%	20%	8%	16%	19%	17%	14%	19%	16%	19%	20%	20%	8%
								-	+++													-
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)					.01			-				-		(.10)					
	+/- points around 50%:	5	16	15	17	8	10	17	8	7	18	15	9	8	7	9	11	15	9	12	19	16

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 66

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 228

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Smokers

	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
	TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Rural	Urban
RSP3B								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	378	150	228	240	132	52	295	276
Unweighted n:	380	152	228	244	131	50	299	274
The federal government	13%	15%	12%	12%	16%	15%	21%	14%
Your provincial gov't	56%	59%	54%	57%	56%	52%	58%	56%
Your local/regional gov	16%	19%	15%	16%	17%	30%	26%	14%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	5%	7%	4%	6%	4%	6%	10%	4%
Health care professionals	4%	6%	3%	5%	3%	3%	10%	4%
The tobacco industry	10%	11%	9%	9%	10%	12%	14%	9%
Canadians themselves	15%	14%	15%	18%	11%	22%	9%	14%
DK/NR	17%	14%	19%	16%	18%	16%	13%	17%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	5	8	6	6	9	14	18	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 67

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 229

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Smokers

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP3B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	378	55	205	81	339	37	296	74	85	147	131	86	155	60	70	193	159
Unweighted n:	380	54	199	88	344	34	301	73	92	149	127	89	151	62	72	192	161
The federal government	13%	10%	13%	14%	13%	18%	13%	11%	6%	16%	14%	13%	9%	21%	13%	14%	12%
Your provincial gov't	56%	47%	56%	59%	57%	47%	59%	48%	58%	57%	53%	65%	62%	52%	41%	64%	51%
Your local/regional gov	16%	8%	17%	19%	17%	14%	18%	10%	24%	15%	14%	26%	17%	8%	10%	17%	15%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	5%	3%	5%	7%	5%	7%	5%	7%	10%	4%	4%	2%	6%	4%	10%	5%	6%
Health care professionals	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	0%	5%	3%	4%	6%	2%	3%	6%	1%	4%	6%	2%
The tobacco industry	10%	4%	9%	14%	10%	8%	11%	6%	15%	8%	8%	11%	12%	1%	12%	13%	6%
Canadians themselves	15%	12%	16%	13%	15%	10%	15%	13%	17%	16%	12%	15%	18%	10%	11%	15%	15%
DK/NR	17%	24%	18%	15%	16%	25%	15%	28%	16%	19%	17%	6%	16%	20%	31%	14%	20%
Chi-Squared:		-			-		(.10)		-			(.01)				.10	
+/- points around 50%:	5	13	7	10	5	17	6	11	10	8	9	10	8	12	12	7	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 68

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 230

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP4	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	796	107	77	55	302	193	63	391	406	53	68	311	237	384	209	190	98	242	145	58	91
	Unweighted n:	797	88	82	70	285	185	87	343	454	73	92	259	299	386	216	185	98	243	146	57	91
The federal government		27%	25%	22%	30%	29%	29%	24%	32%	23%	19%	24%	30%	33%	25%	35%	24%	28%	27%	23%	36%	36%
Your provincial gov't		15%	24%	6%	12%	14%	18%	9%	17%	13%	19%	16%	17%	13%	14%	16%	16%	7%	15%	17%	17%	18%
Your local/regional gov		8%	5%	11%	3%	9%	6%	6%	6%	9%	8%	15%	8%	5%	7%	6%	10%	6%	9%	5%	9%	9%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		15%	18%	18%	13%	14%	13%	23%	12%	18%	19%	15%	15%	15%	16%	14%	15%	15%	15%	17%	17%	11%
Health care professionals		12%	7%	17%	13%	14%	7%	12%	9%	14%	16%	8%	11%	12%	11%	8%	16%	10%	11%	15%	5%	10%
The tobacco industry		5%	9%	5%	6%	4%	3%	9%	7%	3%	9%	10%	6%	3%	6%	5%	3%	7%	4%	7%	6%	6%
Canadians themselves		14%	10%	12%	20%	13%	18%	15%	13%	15%	6%	10%	10%	16%	18%	12%	10%	20%	15%	12%	11%	7%
DK/NR		4%	2%	7%	3%	3%	6%	2%	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	6%	4%	4%	0%	4%
	Chi-Squared:		(0.05)						.01		-				.05			-				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 69

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 231

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural
RESP4									Urban
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	796	324	473	504	279	99	65	637	207
Unweighted n:	797	326	471	522	262	99	62	636	215
The federal government	27%	31%	25%	31%	21%	29%	24%	27%	25%
Your provincial gov't	15%	13%	17%	15%	14%	16%	10%	15%	10%
Your local/regional gov	8%	8%	7%	6%	11%	5%	15%	7%	7%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	15%	18%	14%	14%	17%	11%	22%	15%	15%
Health care professionals	12%	12%	11%	12%	11%	13%	7%	12%	14%
The tobacco industry	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%	6%	6%
Canadians themselves	14%	11%	16%	12%	17%	18%	11%	14%	19%
DK/NR	4%	3%	5%	3%	5%	3%	6%	4%	4%
Chi-Squared:		.10		.01					
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 70

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 232

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP4																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	796	108	398	189	695	96	616	153	165	325	269	156	319	147	161	407	331
	Unweighted n:	797	107	399	196	703	88	627	149	180	325	259	162	313	149	160	405	336
	The federal government	27%	29%	30%	27%	29%	--	--	20%	29%	27%	28%	41%	33%	18%	14%	40%	14%
	Your provincial gov't	15%	22%	15%	13%	16%	7%	16%	12%	12%	15%	18%	17%	18%	12%	10%	15%	14%
	Your local/regional gov	8%	5%	6%	12%	7%	12%	8%	6%	13%	5%	8%	9%	6%	9%	10%	5%	11%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	15%	18%	14%	17%	16%	9%	16%	13%	17%	14%	16%	10%	13%	23%	18%	11%	20%
	Health care professionals	12%	10%	12%	9%	11%	13%	11%	15%	12%	11%	13%	8%	11%	13%	16%	9%	13%
	The tobacco industry	5%	3%	7%	4%	6%	2%	5%	3%	5%	7%	3%	7%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%
	Canadians themselves	14%	8%	13%	18%	12%	31%	11%	25%	9%	17%	11%	7%	11%	19%	23%	10%	18%
	DK/NR	4%	5%	2%	1%	3%	7%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	6%
	Chi-Squared:		(.05)			(.01)		.01		.05			.01				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 71

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 233

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP4B																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	762	104	70	52	291	183	62	374	388	52	65	297	229	371	200	179	91	235	139	58	87
	Unweighted n:	766	86	76	67	276	176	85	328	438	72	89	247	289	373	210	174	93	234	141	57	87
	The federal government	21%	24%	14%	14%	19%	24%	25%	20%	21%	26%	32%	23%	14%	22%	19%	21%	21%	24%	27%	20%	18%
	Your provincial gov't	35%	26%	36%	30%	36%	37%	44%	35%	35%	31%	44%	33%	41%	33%	38%	37%	40%	35%	33%	38%	39%
	Your local/regional gov	16%	13%	12%	21%	18%	12%	20%	16%	15%	26%	21%	19%	14%	14%	16%	19%	21%	16%	8%	22%	21%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	22%	27%	23%	25%	22%	18%	22%	21%	23%	25%	22%	26%	20%	17%	28%	25%	21%	21%	19%	35%	24%
	Health care professions	26%	30%	28%	39%	23%	23%	28%	22%	30%	19%	19%	28%	31%	26%	27%	27%	24%	25%	23%	34%	35%
	The tobacco industry	13%	16%	8%	19%	13%	11%	11%	13%	12%	13%	14%	11%	16%	12%	11%	16%	12%	15%	8%	18%	11%
	Canadians themselves	20%	20%	21%	23%	20%	20%	18%	17%	23%	24%	22%	19%	21%	21%	19%	20%	17%	22%	20%	18%	17%
	DK/NR	12%	12%	12%	12%	11%	15%	11%	13%	12%	11%	4%	7%	13%	15%	8%	11%	14%	13%	6%	6%	7%
	Chi-Squared:		-					-			(.05)			-	-		-	-	++			
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	12	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 72

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 234

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP4B										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	762	314	448	484	264	96	61	609	197	565
Unweighted n:	766	317	449	504	249	96	59	610	206	560
The federal government	21%	22%	20%	21%	21%	21%	22%	20%	23%	20%
Your provincial gov't	35%	40%	32%	37%	32%	34%	39%	35%	36%	35%
Your local/regional gov	16%	17%	15%	18%	13%	17%	14%	16%	13%	17%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	22%	23%	22%	23%	21%	15%	18%	23%	13%	25%
Health care professionals	26%	28%	25%	27%	26%	22%	18%	27%	27%	26%
The tobacco industry	13%	13%	13%	13%	12%	13%	7%	13%	9%	14%
Canadians themselves	20%	22%	19%	19%	22%	24%	16%	20%	20%	20%
DK/NR	12%	9%	15%	9%	16%	14%	10%	12%	12%	12%
Chi-Squared:										
+/- points around 50%:	4	6	5	4	6	10	13	4	7	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 73

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 235

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Smokers

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP4B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	762	103	389	187	672	84	596	142	159	311	261	151	307	141	154	394	310
Unweighted n:	766	102	391	193	683	78	608	140	174	311	252	158	303	143	153	394	317
The federal government	21%	15%	21%	23%	22%	8%	22%	12%	22%	19%	22%	24%	27%	15%	10%	25%	15%
Your provincial gov't	35%	38%	35%	38%	38%	17%	38%	28%	37%	33%	36%	42%	41%	33%	19%	46%	23%
Your local/regional gov	16%	15%	16%	17%	17%	5%	18%	8%	20%	16%	14%	23%	20%	10%	7%	21%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	22%	19%	24%	19%	24%	10%	24%	16%	22%	21%	25%	19%	27%	21%	17%	27%	19%
Health care profesionls	26%	28%	27%	25%	27%	17%	27%	20%	28%	24%	26%	24%	29%	26%	22%	29%	25%
The tobacco industry	13%	11%	12%	16%	13%	11%	13%	11%	17%	12%	11%	11%	15%	15%	8%	16%	10%
Canadians themselves	20%	16%	20%	20%	20%	22%	21%	18%	26%	17%	20%	20%	20%	21%	20%	18%	23%
DK/NR	12%	7%	10%	13%	9%	37%	9%	21%	9%	16%	9%	8%	5%	12%	30%	5%	16%
Chi-Squared:					.01	----	.01	----	----	----	----	.01	----	----	----	.01	----
+/- points around 50%:	4	10	5	7	4	11	4	8	7	6	6	8	6	8	8	5	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 74

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 236

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Smokers

	Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP5																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	796	107	77	55	303	192	63	390	406	54	68	308	238	386	207	191	98	242	144	58	92
Unweighted n:	797	88	82	70	286	184	87	342	455	74	92	257	300	387	215	186	98	243	145	57	92
The federal government	26%	27%	15%	20%	26%	28%	32%	27%	24%	29%	25%	32%	21%	25%	25%	29%	25%	23%	29%	34%	28%
Your provincial gov't	18%	17%	11%	26%	17%	19%	20%	19%	16%	20%	24%	17%	17%	14%	20%	23%	15%	16%	23%	13%	25%
Your local/regional gov	10%	13%	12%	4%	12%	6%	5%	9%	11%	15%	17%	8%	8%	11%	7%	10%	8%	13%	6%	10%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	7%	4%	1%	2%	2%	6%	3%	3%	5%	1%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%
Health care profesionls	3%	5%	3%	0%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	8%	2%	0%	2%	1%
The tobacco industry	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	9%	5%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	1%	0%
Canadians themselves	32%	23%	49%	38%	32%	30%	28%	29%	35%	20%	23%	35%	36%	34%	36%	27%	30%	35%	32%	36%	27%
DK/NR	7%	3%	5%	10%	6%	11%	4%	7%	6%	1%	3%	4%	9%	7%	5%	8%	6%	6%	6%	3%	4%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)								(.01)			----	(.05)			(.05)				
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 75

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 237

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP5										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	796	323	473	504	279	99	65	638	210	586
Unweighted n:	797	325	472	523	262	99	62	637	218	579
The federal government	26%	27%	25%	28%	22%	30%	24%	25%	23%	27%
Your provincial gov't	18%	19%	17%	18%	17%	11%	17%	19%	17%	18%
Your local/regional gov	10%	9%	10%	9%	12%	9%	11%	10%	7%	11%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	5%	2%	3%	4%	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%
Health care profesionls	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	0%	3%	4%	2%
The tobacco industry	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	1%	3%	2%
Canadians themselves	32%	33%	32%	32%	32%	35%	30%	32%	35%	31%
DK/NR	7%	4%	8%	6%	8%	3%	6%	7%	7%	7%
Chi-Squared:		--	++	-	-	(.10)	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 76

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 238

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP5																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	796	109	398	189	695	95	618	150	165	326	268	156	318	147	162	408	330
Unweighted n:	797	108	398	196	704	87	629	147	180	325	259	162	313	149	160	406	335
The federal government	26%	28%	28%	25%	28%	12%	30%	11%	31%	21%	28%	46%	30%	18%	5%	38%	11%
Your provincial gov't	18%	22%	18%	18%	20%	4%	19%	14%	23%	17%	17%	44%	24%	12%	11%	21%	15%
Your local/regional gov	10%	11%	8%	15%	10%	9%	11%	8%	11%	8%	12%	6%	12%	10%	10%	9%	11%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	1%	3%	3%	7%	1%	6%
Health care profesionls	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%	2%	7%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
The tobacco industry	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%
Canadians themselves	32%	28%	33%	29%	30%	47%	28%	43%	21%	40%	29%	22%	24%	41%	48%	24%	41%
DK/NR	7%	6%	5%	4%	5%	18%	5%	11%	5%	7%	7%	1%	3%	11%	15%	3%	11%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.01)	-	(.01)	-	(.05)	-	-	(.01)	-	-	-	.01	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	11	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 77

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 239

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP5B																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	733	101	70	48	280	174	59	359	374	53	65	296	211	354	195	174	90	227	131	56	86
Unweighted n:	736	84	75	60	266	168	83	315	421	73	88	247	266	358	201	169	92	227	131	56	86
The federal government	20%	24%	17%	23%	14%	28%	14%	21%	19%	19%	23%	23%	18%	21%	22%	15%	12%	26%	19%	15%	23%
Your provincial gov't	35%	31%	35%	27%	36%	40%	32%	34%	36%	31%	32%	44%	31%	35%	36%	33%	30%	35%	40%	32%	40%
Your local/regional gov	21%	22%	22%	20%	23%	15%	26%	23%	18%	25%	12%	23%	20%	15%	24%	29%	17%	21%	22%	24%	21%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	15%	11%	19%	10%	12%	19%	18%	15%	15%	14%	9%	17%	18%	13%	18%	16%	16%	15%	9%	17%	16%
Health care professionals	17%	11%	14%	18%	17%	19%	24%	15%	19%	14%	10%	17%	19%	18%	15%	18%	23%	21%	13%	13%	13%
The tobacco industry	10%	6%	13%	6%	10%	12%	17%	11%	10%	6%	8%	12%	13%	11%	11%	11%	18%	11%	9%	10%	8%
Canadians themselves	20%	25%	18%	13%	20%	17%	29%	20%	20%	27%	34%	19%	18%	20%	19%	27%	20%	15%	16%	16%	16%
DK/NR	20%	21%	20%	21%	20%	21%	17%	22%	18%	14%	11%	17%	23%	21%	19%	21%	23%	21%	18%	27%	16%
Chi-Squared:		-						-		.05				++							
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	11	13	6	8	11	6	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	8	10	7	9	13	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 78

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 240

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Urban
RSP5B									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	733	304	429	467	253	96	61	582	192
Unweighted n:	736	307	429	486	238	96	59	583	199
The federal government	20%	24%	17%	20%	19%	19%	18%	20%	19%
Your provincial gov't	35%	37%	33%	35%	35%	36%	35%	35%	36%
Your local/regional gov	21%	19%	22%	21%	21%	22%	17%	20%	17%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	15%	16%	14%	15%	13%	16%	16%	15%	11%
Health care professionals	17%	17%	17%	14%	22%	14%	14%	17%	16%
The tobacco industry	10%	12%	9%	10%	12%	8%	7%	11%	8%
Canadians themselves	20%	20%	20%	21%	19%	21%	22%	20%	17%
DK/NR	20%	16%	23%	19%	20%	24%	25%	19%	21%
Chi-Squared:		.05							
+/- points around 50%:	4	6	5	4	6	10	13	4	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 79

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 241

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Smokers

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP5B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	733	101	371	178	650	77	580	131	154	298	247	153	304	127	135	393	289
Unweighted n:	736	99	372	185	659	72	592	127	169	299	238	158	300	130	136	389	297
The federal government	20%	20%	19%	25%	21%	11%	22%	13%	21%	21%	19%	23%	26%	12%	10%	27%	14%
Your provincial gov't	35%	35%	39%	34%	37%	23%	39%	22%	38%	32%	36%	49%	41%	27%	13%	44%	25%
Your local/regional gov	21%	23%	19%	25%	22%	10%	23%	14%	31%	18%	20%	30%	22%	16%	12%	25%	15%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	15%	11%	12%	23%	15%	14%	14%	18%	18%	14%	13%	13%	17%	15%	12%	14%	16%
Health care profesionls	17%	13%	17%	18%	18%	4%	18%	14%	24%	12%	19%	20%	19%	12%	14%	20%	15%
The tobacco industry	10%	9%	9%	14%	11%	6%	10%	11%	14%	9%	11%	13%	11%	10%	7%	13%	9%
Canadians themselves	20%	16%	20%	22%	21%	13%	21%	16%	25%	16%	23%	21%	22%	16%	19%	19%	21%
DK/NR	20%	19%	19%	17%	17%	41%	16%	30%	11%	24%	19%	13%	15%	23%	37%	15%	24%
Chi-Squared:		.10			.01	++++		++++	.01	+++		.01	---	---	++++		+++
+/- points around 50%:	4	10	5	7	4	12	4	9	8	6	6	8	6	9	8	5	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 80

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 242

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Smokers

	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP6																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	428	60	36	29	174	97	32	201	226	28	35	160	124	205	123	92	65	134	76	24	38
Unweighted n:	422	49	37	36	162	93	45	174	248	39	47	132	158	200	124	92	59	139	77	23	39
The federal government	50%	45%	35%	42%	50%	62%	43%	54%	46%	33%	56%	52%	54%	43%	55%	60%	26%	52%	60%	53%	62%
Your provincial gov't	16%	19%	11%	18%	16%	13%	19%	14%	18%	30%	21%	20%	14%	18%	15%	13%	17%	16%	17%	14%	11%
Your local/regional gov	4%	6%	0%	4%	5%	2%	1%	4%	3%	8%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	7%	5%	4%	3%	0%	4%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	2%	11%	2%	4%	2%	4%	5%	2%	5%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	11%	4%
Health care profesionls	2%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%
The tobacco industry	8%	6%	14%	8%	7%	7%	14%	8%	8%	16%	6%	10%	7%	9%	6%	6%	14%	8%	8%	10%	4%
Canadians themselves	13%	10%	23%	24%	13%	9%	11%	8%	17%	8%	4%	8%	13%	15%	13%	6%	25%	12%	9%	6%	3%
DK/NR	5%	9%	7%	2%	3%	5%	7%	5%	5%	0%	4%	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	4%	0%	6%	11%
Chi-Squared:		-						(.05)		-				-			(.05)				
+/- points around 50%:	5	14	16	16	8	10	15	7	6	16	14	9	8	7	9	10	13	8	11	20	16

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 81

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 243

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
RESP6									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	428	163	264	254	163	63	49	322	315
Unweighted n:	422	164	258	267	146	60	43	322	310
The federal government	50%	52%	48%	51%	49%	45%	48%	52%	51%
Your provincial gov't	16%	16%	16%	19%	11%	13%	10%	13%	17%
Your local/regional gov	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	1%	3%	4%	3%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	8%	2%
Health care professionals	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%	4%	1%
The tobacco industry	8%	9%	7%	9%	6%	14%	22%	5%	8%
Canadians themselves	13%	10%	14%	10%	17%	17%	7%	13%	13%
DK/NR	5%	3%	6%	4%	6%	9%	5%	4%	5%
Chi-Squared:		-		(.05)		(.01)		(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	5	8	6	6	8	13	15	9	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 82

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 244

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP6																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	428	68	200	104	376	47	330	78	86	178	143	76	182	70	93	215	188
Unweighted n:	422	67	197	105	372	46	331	75	93	176	133	77	180	68	89	214	184
The federal government	50%	52%	54%	47%	53%	28%	52%	41%	47%	49%	54%	69%	55%	39%	31%	64%	36%
Your provincial gov't	16%	24%	15%	16%	17%	10%	16%	19%	22%	15%	16%	15%	19%	20%	7%	17%	15%
Your local/regional gov	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	5%	4%	1%	3%	5%	3%	4%	7%	3%	3%	0%	2%	4%	7%	2%	5%
Health care professionals	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	2%
The tobacco industry	8%	2%	7%	15%	8%	8%	7%	10%	12%	10%	3%	2%	8%	11%	10%	4%	13%
Canadians themselves	13%	7%	12%	11%	11%	22%	11%	17%	8%	13%	13%	8%	7%	20%	25%	5%	20%
DK/NR	5%	6%	3%	5%	3%	20%	4%	5%	0%	5%	5%	2%	4%	2%	10%	2%	6%
Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		-		(.05)			(.01)			(.01)		
+/- points around 50%:	5	12	7	10	5	14	5	11	10	7	8	11	7	12	10	7	7

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 83

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 245

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
RSP6B																						
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	400	54	33	27	163	93	30	187	213	28	34	152	115	191	116	85	60	127	73	21	34	
Unweighted n:	398	44	35	34	152	90	43	163	235	39	45	125	148	189	117	86	56	132	74	21	35	
The federal government	16%	20%	9%	20%	18%	10%	18%	11%	19%	30%	18%	19%	7%	16%	14%	15%	19%	17%	12%	13%	11%	
Your provincial gov't	46%	48%	37%	28%	42%	63%	43%	49%	44%	45%	48%	52%	46%	39%	52%	60%	39%	48%	48%	28%	65%	
Your local/regional gov	14%	19%	13%	16%	13%	9%	18%	16%	12%	25%	22%	12%	14%	15%	15%	12%	13%	16%	10%	9%	12%	
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	8%	7%	2%	8%	10%	11%	9%	8%	18%	13%	7%	7%	9%	8%	3%	10%	8%	4%	16%	6%	
Health care profesionls	10%	10%	11%	11%	9%	8%	23%	9%	12%	10%	2%	7%	15%	14%	8%	8%	17%	13%	8%	10%	1%	
The tobacco industry	10%	7%	2%	7%	14%	10%	11%	9%	11%	11%	6%	11%	9%	14%	8%	7%	18%	8%	10%	12%	4%	
Canadians themselves	11%	5%	19%	17%	12%	9%	13%	12%	11%	12%	15%	13%	13%	13%	11%	9%	10%	13%	7%	24%	14%	
DK/NR	19%	13%	20%	29%	18%	19%	20%	18%	20%	14%	5%	17%	22%	21%	15%	18%	17%	18%	19%	25%	12%	
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.10)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
+/- points around 50%:	5	15	17	17	8	10	15	8	6	16	15	9	8	7	9	11	13	9	11	21	17	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 84

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 244

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Smokers

	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
	TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Rural	Urban
RSP6B								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	400	154	246	240	152	56	46	304
Unweighted n:	398	156	242	254	137	54	41	306
The federal government	16%	15%	16%	16%	16%	24%	19%	14%
Your provincial gov't	46%	47%	46%	48%	46%	34%	45%	48%
Your local/regional gov	14%	13%	14%	14%	14%	6%	15%	14%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	7%	9%	9%	8%	11%	10%	7%
Health care profesionls	10%	10%	11%	10%	11%	15%	5%	10%
The tobacco industry	10%	10%	10%	7%	14%	10%	9%	11%
Canadians themselves	11%	11%	12%	13%	9%	20%	18%	10%
DK/NR	19%	19%	18%	19%	18%	18%	16%	19%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	5	8	6	6	8	13	15	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 85

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 247

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP6B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	400	63	190	97	359	36	311	72	87	164	133	74	173	67	80	209	171
Unweighted n:	398	63	188	99	357	37	314	71	94	164	124	76	173	65	78	209	170
The federal government	16%	16%	12%	24%	16%	14%	18%	9%	21%	12%	16%	16%	19%	9%	12%	17%	12%
Your provincial gov't	46%	38%	49%	52%	48%	35%	49%	36%	47%	48%	42%	62%	53%	38%	24%	54%	39%
Your local/regional gov	14%	9%	14%	19%	15%	7%	15%	9%	27%	9%	11%	21%	16%	9%	6%	16%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	4%	10%	10%	9%	8%	9%	8%	11%	10%	4%	10%	9%	4%	10%	8%	8%
Health care professionals	10%	7%	11%	14%	10%	7%	9%	14%	10%	10%	11%	10%	10%	11%	12%	10%	11%
The tobacco industry	10%	7%	9%	16%	10%	17%	11%	9%	14%	10%	7%	15%	9%	8%	12%	10%	12%
Canadians themselves	11%	10%	10%	20%	12%	12%	12%	11%	15%	10%	10%	10%	12%	12%	10%	12%	12%
DK/NR	19%	26%	17%	10%	16%	36%	16%	25%	11%	21%	20%	7%	15%	25%	32%	13%	23%
Chi-Squared:		++	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
+/- points around 50%:	5	12	7	10	5	16	6	12	10	8	9	11	7	12	11	7	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 86

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 248

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SM7																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	181	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Not at all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Occasionally	23%	23%	21%	34%	23%	22%	26%	24%	23%	38%	34%	21%	20%	17%	28%	30%	15%	21%	27%	16%	29%
Every day	77%	77%	79%	66%	77%	78%	74%	76%	77%	62%	66%	79%	80%	83%	72%	70%	85%	79%	73%	84%	71%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	.01	-	-	-	.01	-	-	.10	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
SM7									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	582
Not at all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Occasionally	23%	21%	25%	25%	22%	20%	23%	24%	24%
Every day	77%	79%	75%	75%	78%	80%	77%	76%	76%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 87

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 249

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
SM7																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Not at all	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Occasionally	23%	21%	24%	23%	24%	19%	25%	19%	24%	22%	25%	24%	27%	22%	19%	23%	23%
Every day	77%	79%	76%	77%	76%	81%	75%	81%	76%	78%	75%	76%	73%	78%	81%	77%	77%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 88

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 250

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

Smokers

		Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SMK2		800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
5 or less	Unweighted n:	23%	25%	18%	27%	23%	22%	18%	21%	24%	40%	41%	23%	16%	17%	29%	28%	18%	20%	26%	20%	29%
		+++	+++	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
6-10		25%	26%	16%	23%	27%	26%	31%	23%	28%	25%	27%	27%	20%	26%	24%	27%	24%	27%	24%	28%	18%
		--	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
11-20		34%	33%	47%	31%	33%	31%	32%	34%	33%	23%	21%	35%	36%	37%	31%	30%	34%	39%	28%	33%	29%
		+++	+++	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	++	++	++	++	--	--	--	--
more than 20		16%	15%	20%	12%	16%	18%	17%	21%	12%	7%	9%	14%	27%	18%	15%	14%	23%	12%	19%	20%	23%
		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	+++	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DK/NR		2%	2%	0%	6%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%
		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	-	.01	-	-	-	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10
	Mean:	13.5	13.6	15.3	11.9	13.3	13.5	13.4	14.4	12.6	9.3	10.1	12.7	16.4	14.3	12.6	12.6	15.7	12.9	13.0	14.2	14.6
	Std dev:	9.6	11.0	9.9	8.1	9.7	9.1	9.1	10.0	9.1	8.0	11.2	8.8	10.6	8.7	10.2	10.4	10.7	7.9	9.0	9.2	13.0
	Student's t:	*	-	.10	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.05	-	-	.05	.10	-	-	-

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
SMK2									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	582
5 or less	23%	20%	24%	23%	23%	18%	17%	24%	24%
6-10	25%	25%	26%	25%	27%	27%	25%	26%	25%
11-20	34%	35%	33%	35%	31%	42%	39%	32%	32%
more than 20	16%	18%	15%	16%	17%	12%	16%	17%	17%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	4
Mean:	13.5	13.9	13.2	13.3	13.8	13.2	14.1	13.5	13.5
Std dev:	9.61	9.29	9.83	9.50	9.80	8.28	8.05	9.97	8.87
Student's t:	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 89

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 251

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SMK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
5 or less		23%	25%	22%	23%	25%	25%	25%	13%	24%	19%	26%	26%	28%	19%	12%	27%	17%
6-10		25%	22%	24%	30%	24%	36%	26%	27%	30%	24%	23%	29%	25%	23%	25%	26%	24%
11-20		34%	38%	36%	26%	35%	26%	33%	31%	31%	34%	35%	28%	33%	37%	34%	33%	37%
more than 20		16%	15%	16%	20%	15%	28%	13%	28%	13%	21%	14%	16%	11%	20%	26%	14%	19%
DK/NR		2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%
	Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	-	.01	-	.01	-	.10	-	-	.01	-	-	-	.01	-
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5
	Mean:	13.5	13.5	13.4	13.8	13.0	17.3	12.5	17.1	12.3	14.7	13.0	12.7	11.6	15.3	16.5	12.5	14.7
	Std dev:	9.6	10.2	8.9	11.1	9.0	12.6	8.7	12.3	9.7	9.7	9.4	9.2	8.0	12.1	9.5	8.9	10.2
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.10	.01	-	-	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 90

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 252

Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SM9	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Unweighted n:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yes		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:																					
	+/- points around 50%:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SM9	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Unweighted n:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yes		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:										
	+/- points around 50%:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 91

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 253

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SM9	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Unweighted n:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yes		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:																		
+/- points around 50%:		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 92

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 254

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SMST2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Smoker		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Past smoker		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Non-smoker		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:																						
+/- points around 50%:		3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SMST2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
Smoker		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Past smoker		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Non-smoker		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:											
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 93

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 255

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SMST2																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Smoker	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Past smoker	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Non-smoker	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:																	
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 94

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 256

Smokers

		Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
AGE																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
15 years old		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
16-19 years		7%	7%	5%	7%	5%	8%	8%	7%	6%	97%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	2%	9%	5%	4%	4%	5%
20-24 years		8%	8%	7%	8%	10%	6%	8%	8%	9%	0%	100%	0%	0%	7%	12%	7%	11%	10%	5%	5%	10%
25-34 years		18%	11%	23%	13%	18%	20%	19%	17%	18%	0%	0%	45%	0%	12%	26%	19%	18%	17%	23%	19%	26%
35-44 years		21%	19%	16%	19%	24%	20%	23%	22%	20%	0%	0%	55%	0%	20%	24%	23%	14%	22%	25%	35%	22%
45-54 years		18%	20%	30%	25%	16%	15%	13%	19%	17%	0%	0%	0%	61%	17%	20%	19%	12%	17%	24%	25%	21%
55-64 years		12%	13%	9%	11%	11%	14%	10%	11%	12%	0%	0%	0%	39%	12%	10%	14%	11%	12%	12%	12%	11%
65 years or older		16%	22%	11%	15%	15%	15%	17%	15%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	5%	15%	24%	16%	8%	0%	5%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:	-							-		(.01)				(.01)			(.01)				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 95

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 257

Smokers

AGE		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:		800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
15 years old		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
16-19 years		7%	2%	9%	6%	8%	13%	8%	5%	7%	6%
20-24 years		8%	---	+++	10%	6%	6%	12%	8%	8%	9%
25-34 years		18%	21%	15%	23%	9%	19%	37%	16%	16%	18%
35-44 years		21%	36%	11%	28%	9%	22%	7%	22%	17%	23%
45-54 years		18%	23%	14%	22%	12%	19%	9%	19%	17%	18%
55-64 years		12%	7%	15%	10%	15%	11%	10%	12%	13%	11%
65 years or older		16%	6%	23%	3%	40%	11%	18%	17%	20%	14%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR		0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:			(.01)		(.01)		(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 96

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 258

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
AGE																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
15 years old		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
16-19 years		7%	1%	6%	12%	7%	6%	7%	5%	17%	5%	3%	12%	6%	5%	4%	6%	8%
20-24 years		8%	6%	9%	11%	9%	4%	9%	7%	17%	6%	7%	15%	8%	7%	3%	11%	5%
25-34 years		18%	13%	19%	22%	19%	11%	20%	11%	22%	21%	11%	17%	23%	14%	10%	18%	16%
35-44 years		21%	33%	20%	19%	23%	13%	24%	14%	17%	22%	22%	23%	24%	20%	16%	25%	18%
45-54 years		18%	25%	19%	17%	18%	18%	17%	23%	12%	20%	19%	12%	17%	23%	22%	18%	18%
55-64 years		12%	15%	12%	8%	11%	14%	11%	17%	8%	12%	14%	12%	8%	13%	18%	9%	16%
65 years or older		16%	8%	14%	11%	13%	32%	13%	20%	6%	13%	23%	9%	13%	18%	25%	12%	18%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:			(.01)			(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)			(.01)		
+/- points around 50%:		3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 97

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 259

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
HOU																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
One person, living alone	22%	23%	20%	14%	19%	29%	17%	23%	21%	7%	12%	12%	25%	24%	17%	23%	51%	26%	14%	5%	1%
Single, with child(ren)	8%	8%	11%	5%	9%	7%	8%	4%	12%	3%	9%	14%	6%	9%	10%	4%	19%	10%	8%	5%	0%
Married without children	21%	21%	19%	25%	21%	20%	21%	22%	20%	9%	15%	15%	27%	19%	18%	29%	7%	20%	25%	30%	21%
Married with children	32%	30%	37%	39%	32%	29%	33%	32%	32%	12%	13%	45%	36%	30%	36%	32%	7%	29%	41%	45%	56%
Single, live w roommate	5%	6%	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	10%	14%	5%	3%	4%	6%	4%	6%	4%	4%	7%	6%
Single, living w family	11%	13%	7%	9%	12%	9%	13%	12%	9%	61%	36%	7%	3%	12%	11%	8%	10%	9%	7%	8%	13%
Other	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-						(.01)		(.01)				(.10)			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 98

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 260

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
HOU										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
One person, living alone	22%	0%	37%	14%	37%	15%	22%	23%	21%	22%
Single, with child(ren)	8%	20%	0%	9%	7%	8%	11%	8%	7%	9%
Married without children	21%	0%	35%	20%	21%	20%	11%	22%	22%	20%
Married with children	32%	78%	0%	39%	21%	34%	35%	32%	38%	30%
Single, live w roommate	5%	0%	8%	6%	3%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%
Single, living w family	11%	0%	18%	11%	10%	16%	16%	9%	7%	12%
Other	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
DK/NR	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)		(.01)		-		-		
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 99

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 261

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
HOU																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	199	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
	One person, living alone	22%	26%	18%	17%	19%	42%	17%	34%	18%	19%	25%	18%	18%	31%	24%	18%	27%
			++			----	++++	----	++++				++		--		----	+++
	Single, with child(ren)	8%	3%	7%	15%	9%	3%	9%	6%	10%	10%	5%	7%	10%	11%	4%	8%	9%
			--		++++	+	-					--				--		
	Married without childrn	21%	26%	23%	16%	21%	21%	21%	20%	13%	24%	22%	16%	23%	16%	25%	22%	18%
					---					---	+				---			
	Married with children	32%	36%	33%	31%	33%	23%	33%	27%	30%	34%	31%	35%	31%	29%	35%	34%	31%
					+	+	-											
	Single, live w roommate	5%	2%	6%	6%	5%	4%	5%	3%	7%	3%	5%	7%	4%	5%	3%	6%	4%
	Single, living w family	11%	4%	11%	14%	12%	5%	12%	7%	21%	8%	8%	15%	13%	7%	5%	12%	9%
			--			+	-	+	-	++++	--		++	+	--			
	Other	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%
																++	-	+
	DK/NR	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				(.05)	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 100

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 262

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
KIDIA																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	327	40	39	25	125	71	28	144	184	8	15	186	100	156	99	69	26	96	71	29	54
	Unweighted n:	329	34	40	31	120	67	37	126	203	10	22	163	123	156	98	72	28	97	72	26	51
under 2		13%	25%	15%	16%	12%	6%	6%	14%	11%	19%	44%	16%	3%	12%	13%	12%	21%	9%	21%	12%	15%
			++				-						+	----					-	+		
2-6		23%	17%	29%	32%	24%	20%	19%	24%	22%	28%	40%	35%	3%	20%	27%	25%	40%	26%	21%	18%	26%
													++++	----								
7-12		36%	31%	42%	26%	38%	35%	33%	31%	39%	30%	4%	52%	16%	32%	47%	29%	49%	33%	34%	42%	38%
													++++	----		+++						
13-17		32%	48%	37%	44%	26%	24%	43%	29%	34%	41%	0%	32%	42%	33%	33%	31%	30%	33%	30%	29%	35%
			++			-	-						++	++								
18 or over		35%	36%	29%	29%	34%	39%	36%	38%	32%	33%	24%	15%	64%	38%	28%	35%	13%	29%	37%	41%	33%
													----	++++								
Do not have any children		3%	0%	2%	5%	3%	7%	3%	5%	2%	39%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	0%	4%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-					-		(.01)					-			-				
	+/- points around 50%:	5	17	15	18	9	12	16	9	7	31	21	8	9	8	10	12	19	10	12	19	14

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 101

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 263

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
KID1A											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	327	326	1	245	79	42	30	259	96	231
	Unweighted n:	329	327	2	242	83	41	29	261	101	228
under 2		13%	13%	0%	13%	12%	14%	19%	12%	15%	12%
2-6		23%	23%	0%	25%	18%	27%	45%	21%	19%	25%
7-12		36%	36%	0%	40%	22%	54%	28%	33%	25%	40%
13-17		32%	32%	0%	35%	23%	21%	21%	35%	35%	31%
18 or over		35%	35%	0%	29%	51%	36%	23%	36%	41%	32%
Do not have any children		3%	3%	100%	3%	4%	0%	0%	4%	4%	3%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)		(.01)		(.05)			-	
	+/- points around 50%:	5	5	69	6	11	15	18	6	10	

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
KID1A	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	327	44	165	88	300	25	269	51	68	147	101	66	131	60	67	171	138
	Unweighted n:	329	44	164	89	302	25	272	51	68	145	104	66	127	63	69	171	138
under 2		13%	13%	16%	11%	13%	11%	14%	8%	21%	12%	9%	27%	12%	4%	10%	15%	9%
2-6		23%	9%	26%	29%	24%	16%	24%	19%	30%	26%	15%	33%	25%	18%	14%	30%	15%
7-12		36%	20%	38%	39%	37%	20%	37%	31%	30%	43%	27%	42%	42%	29%	25%	41%	30%
13-17		32%	45%	31%	25%	32%	30%	31%	39%	23%	28%	44%	28%	31%	37%	34%	34%	32%
18 or over		35%	49%	29%	33%	33%	55%	32%	44%	28%	31%	44%	24%	30%	44%	47%	29%	42%
Do not have any children		3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	10%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%	8%	1%	5%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.10)			(.10)		-		(.01)		(.01)				(.01)		
	+/- points around 50%:	5	15	8	10	6	20	6	14	12	8	10	12	9	12	12	7	8

What is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?

Smokers

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
EDU20																						
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Some high school/less		18%	25%	16%	21%	14%	20%	25%	20%	17%	45%	13%	9%	14%	38%	0%	0%	37%	20%	8%	11%	8%
High school graduate		30%	31%	28%	27%	31%	31%	26%	31%	29%	37%	29%	31%	33%	62%	0%	0%	33%	38%	26%	29%	23%
Some college		8%	5%	17%	8%	9%	5%	5%	7%	9%	6%	15%	8%	7%	0%	30%	0%	6%	6%	14%	7%	6%
College/CEGEP graduate		17%	13%	14%	13%	18%	19%	17%	15%	18%	6%	17%	24%	18%	0%	64%	0%	11%	20%	21%	26%	16%
Private college graduat		1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	0%	6%	0%	0%	1%	4%	1%	2%
Some university		6%	6%	6%	10%	6%	4%	8%	5%	7%	6%	10%	6%	5%	0%	0%	24%	5%	4%	7%	4%	6%
Bachelor's degree		12%	12%	4%	13%	14%	10%	14%	11%	12%	0%	8%	13%	14%	0%	0%	49%	4%	6%	15%	21%	21%
Graduate degree		6%	5%	12%	6%	4%	9%	4%	9%	4%	0%	1%	6%	7%	0%	0%	26%	2%	5%	6%	2%	16%
DK/NR		2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		(.10)						-		(.01)				(.01)			(.01)				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 104

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 266

What is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?

Smokers

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
EDU20											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
	Some high school/less	18%	17%	19%	13%	29%	19%	31%	17%	28%	15%
	High school graduate	30%	31%	30%	33%	25%	41%	35%	29%	33%	29%
	Some college	8%	6%	9%	8%	7%	5%	10%	8%	10%	7%
	College/CEGEP graduate	17%	22%	13%	19%	13%	19%	11%	17%	11%	19%
	Private college graduat	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%
	Some university	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	2%	2%	7%	3%	7%
	Bachelor's degree	12%	12%	12%	12%	10%	5%	3%	14%	11%	12%
	Graduate degree	6%	4%	8%	6%	7%	5%	3%	7%	4%	7%
	DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	6%	1%	0%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		(.05)		(.01)		(.01)	+++	--	(.01)	+
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 105

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 267

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - GHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
EDU20																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	699	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Some high school/less	18%	11%	16%	22%	16%	30%	16%	25%	21%	18%	16%	14%	14%	26%	22%	14%	23%
High school graduate	30%	19%	34%	33%	31%	27%	30%	30%	37%	32%	25%	35%	31%	17%	36%	30%	32%
Some college	8%	5%	8%	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	9%	8%	5%	6%	7%	12%	7%	7%	9%
College/CEGEP graduate	17%	14%	17%	17%	18%	8%	18%	11%	17%	17%	17%	18%	21%	13%	10%	19%	13%
Private college graduate	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Some university	6%	8%	6%	4%	6%	3%	5%	7%	4%	6%	7%	8%	6%	8%	2%	7%	3%
Bachelor's degree	12%	22%	11%	11%	12%	10%	12%	11%	7%	10%	17%	11%	13%	12%	11%	13%	10%
Graduate degree	6%	18%	5%	3%	6%	10%	6%	7%	2%	5%	10%	5%	6%	8%	8%	6%	6%
DK/NR	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.01)				(.01)			(.01)					
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 106

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2000 • 263

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
ENPL																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Self-employed	10%	8%	18%	20%	7%	12%	9%	15%	6%	2%	3%	13%	14%	10%	8%	13%	4%	10%	13%	10%	15%
Employed full-time	43%	50%	36%	41%	46%	39%	42%	46%	41%	31%	45%	60%	46%	39%	54%	43%	15%	44%	53%	70%	62%
Employed part-time/seas	10%	8%	7%	10%	11%	8%	12%	7%	13%	23%	23%	9%	7%	11%	9%	9%	11%	8%	8%	9%	8%
Unemployed and looking	4%	1%	7%	1%	5%	3%	5%	5%	3%	11%	7%	4%	4%	5%	4%	1%	12%	4%	3%	1%	1%
Unemployed and not look	1%	0%	4%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Student	5%	4%	6%	2%	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	32%	14%	4%	0%	5%	5%	6%	10%	5%	3%	4%	3%
Retired	19%	24%	16%	18%	15%	22%	22%	17%	21%	0%	0%	1%	16%	22%	9%	21%	28%	22%	12%	4%	9%
Leave (maternity/disab)	3%	4%	2%	1%	6%	1%	3%	4%	3%	0%	0%	3%	7%	3%	7%	2%	13%	3%	3%	0%	1%
Homemaker	2%	1%	3%	5%	2%	3%	1%	0%	5%	0%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	0%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-						(.01)		(.01)				(.01)			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 107

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2000 • 269

Smokers

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
EMPL										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
Self-employed	10%	12%	10%	16%	0%	13%	7%	10%	14%	9%
Employed full-time	43%	53%	36%	68%	0%	37%	36%	45%	38%	45%
Employed part-time/seas	10%	10%	10%	15%	0%	15%	11%	9%	12%	9%
Unemployed and looking	4%	3%	4%	0%	11%	10%	10%	3%	3%	4%
Unemployed and not look	1%	2%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Student	5%	2%	7%	0%	14%	5%	3%	5%	3%	6%
Retired	19%	9%	26%	0%	54%	10%	22%	21%	21%	18%
Leave (maternity/disab)	3%	4%	3%	0%	10%	4%	7%	3%	1%	4%
Homemaker	2%	4%	1%	0%	7%	2%	1%	3%	5%	2%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)		(.01)		(.05)		(.05)		
+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 108

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 270

Smokers

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
EMPL																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Self-employed	10%	12%	12%	9%	10%	15%	9%	16%	7%	12%	9%	7%	8%	12%	15%	9%	12%
Employed full-time	43%	55%	43%	43%	45%	32%	45%	40%	45%	46%	41%	47%	48%	41%	34%	48%	38%
Employed part-time/seas	10%	6%	11%	11%	10%	8%	10%	10%	10%	13%	7%	10%	11%	7%	9%	9%	12%
Unemployed and looking	4%	1%	5%	4%	4%	2%	5%	1%	9%	3%	2%	2%	5%	4%	2%	3%	4%
Unemployed and not look	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Student	5%	3%	3%	10%	5%	4%	6%	2%	10%	4%	4%	9%	5%	5%	1%	6%	4%
Retired	19%	15%	18%	11%	17%	32%	16%	21%	8%	15%	28%	11%	15%	25%	28%	16%	22%
Leave (maternity/disab)	3%	3%	2%	7%	3%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	2%	8%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%
Homemaker	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			(.05)		(.05)		(.01)			(.01)					
+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 109

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 271

Smokers

		Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INCM	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
<\$20,000		12%	10%	8%	8%	12%	16%	16%	9%	15%	17%	15%	10%	10%	18%	8%	6%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
									--	++					++++		----	----	----	----	----	----
\$20,000-\$29,999		11%	12%	9%	10%	9%	13%	19%	12%	10%	12%	14%	10%	9%	16%	7%	7%	0%	37%	0%	0%	0%
								++							++++		+	----	----	----	----	----
\$30,000-\$39,999		10%	9%	8%	13%	10%	12%	8%	10%	10%	8%	12%	11%	13%	10%	14%	7%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%
																+	----	----	----	----	----	----
\$40,000-\$49,999		9%	14%	12%	2%	7%	13%	6%	10%	8%	3%	11%	10%	8%	10%	12%	5%	0%	30%	0%	0%	0%
																		----	----	----	----	----
\$50,000-\$59,999		9%	11%	7%	6%	8%	12%	4%	8%	9%	6%	6%	10%	9%	6%	15%	8%	0%	0%	47%	0%	0%
							+								++++		+	----	----	----	----	----
\$60,000-\$79,999		10%	8%	7%	10%	11%	8%	14%	10%	9%	5%	4%	12%	13%	7%	12%	13%	0%	0%	53%	0%	0%
											--				----	+	----	----	----	----	----	----
\$80,000-\$99,999		7%	11%	4%	7%	9%	4%	3%	9%	6%	4%	4%	10%	9%	6%	9%	8%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
						+														----	----	----
\$100,000 or more		11%	8%	20%	17%	14%	5%	12%	14%	9%	8%	14%	14%	12%	7%	11%	21%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
						----	----	----	++						----	++++	----	----	----	----	----	----
DK/NR		20%	18%	26%	28%	21%	18%	17%	18%	23%	37%	19%	13%	17%	20%	13%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
										+	++++		--			----	++					
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						.05		(.05)				.01			.01				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 110

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 272

Smokers

		Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Rural	Urban
INCM	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	641	210
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	639	218
<\$20,000		12%	8%	15%	6%	24%	13%	24%	11%
\$20,000-\$29,999		11%	10%	12%	10%	15%	19%	10%	12%
\$30,000-\$39,999		10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	15%	7%	11%
\$40,000-\$49,999		9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	4%	10%	7%
\$50,000-\$59,999		9%	10%	7%	10%	6%	9%	14%	8%
\$60,000-\$79,999		10%	11%	8%	11%	7%	3%	11%	8%
\$80,000-\$99,999		7%	9%	6%	10%	2%	12%	4%	7%
\$100,000 or more		11%	16%	8%	15%	5%	5%	7%	13%
DK/NR		20%	16%	24%	18%	22%	24%	19%	26%
	Chi-Squared:		.01				(.01)		.10
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 111

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 273

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INCM	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	199	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
	<\$20,000	12%	6%	10%	20%	11%	20%	11%	16%	16%	12%	10%	14%	11%	15%	11%	11%	14%
	\$20,000-\$29,999	11%	5%	11%	13%	11%	13%	10%	12%	15%	11%	9%	15%	10%	11%	11%	11%	11%
	\$30,000-\$39,999	10%	10%	8%	14%	10%	10%	11%	6%	12%	10%	9%	14%	11%	8%	7%	11%	9%
	\$40,000-\$49,999	9%	9%	11%	6%	9%	8%	9%	9%	15%	9%	6%	8%	12%	5%	10%	9%	9%
	\$50,000-\$59,999	9%	4%	10%	7%	9%	7%	9%	10%	8%	9%	9%	6%	10%	8%	8%	7%	10%
	\$60,000-\$79,999	10%	18%	10%	7%	11%	4%	11%	6%	8%	10%	11%	9%	9%	12%	9%	11%	8%
	\$80,000-\$99,999	7%	9%	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%	4%	3%	8%	9%	6%	7%	9%	7%	8%	7%
	\$100,000 or more	11%	20%	14%	5%	12%	9%	12%	12%	5%	12%	15%	11%	14%	8%	12%	14%	9%
	DK/NR	20%	19%	17%	20%	20%	23%	19%	24%	18%	20%	22%	18%	17%	23%	25%	18%	22%
	Chi-Squared:		.01							.01								
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 112

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 274

Smokers

		Region							Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
MINOR																							
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92	
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92	
Member of visibl minority		12%	16%	9%	12%	17%	6%	9%	13%	12%	24%	9%	13%	12%	15%	12%	7%	13%	14%	8%	21%	6%	
						+++					+++				++	---				-	++	---	
An Aboriginal person		8%	9%	9%	7%	11%	5%	6%	7%	9%	9%	12%	9%	5%	11%	7%	2%	16%	8%	9%	5%	5%	
						---					---				----		---						
None		80%	79%	82%	80%	75%	87%	86%	80%	80%	67%	78%	79%	82%	75%	82%	91%	74%	80%	84%	76%	90%	
						+++					---					++++						++	
DK/NR		1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	
											+					+							
	Chi-Squared:		(.10)						-		-				(.01)			(.05)					
+/- points around 50%:		3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10	

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
MINOR											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
	Member of visibl minority	12%	13%	12%	13%	12%	100%	25%	0%	10%	13%
							+++	++	----		
	An Aboriginal person	8%	9%	7%	7%	10%	16%	100%	0%	10%	7%
							++	+++	----		
	None	80%	79%	81%	81%	80%	0%	0%	100%	81%	80%
							----	----	++++		
	DK/NR	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%
	Chi-Squared:		-		-		.01			-	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 113

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 275

Smokers

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increas	Same	Decreas	Increas	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
MINOR																		
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
Unweighted n:		800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Member of visibl minority		12%	7%	10%	23%	12%	13%	13%	11%	18%	14%	8%	20%	10%	12%	12%	11%	13%
An Aboriginal person		8%	1%	8%	13%	8%	11%	8%	8%	14%	6%	7%	10%	9%	6%	7%	7%	10%
None		80%	91%	83%	65%	80%	79%	80%	79%	71%	80%	86%	72%	83%	82%	79%	83%	78%
DK/NR		1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(0.01)				-		-		(0.01)			(0.05)				-	
+/- points around 50%:		3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

Smokers

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SEX																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Male	49%	57%	45%	38%	52%	44%	52%	100%	0%	49%	46%	50%	50%	51%	45%	51%	38%	51%	48%	59%	62%
Female	51%	43%	55%	62%	48%	56%	48%	0%	100%	51%	54%	50%	50%	49%	55%	49%	62%	49%	52%	41%	38%
Chi-Squared:		.10						.01		-	-	-	-	-	+		.05				
+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SEX											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
Male		49%	44%	53%	52%	43%	52%	45%	49%	49%	49%
Female		51%	56%	47%	48%	57%	48%	55%	51%	51%	51%
	Chi-Squared:		++	--	--	++					
			.05		.05		-			-	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SEX																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	109	400	189	698	96	619	153	165	328	270	156	319	147	164	408	334
	Unweighted n:	800	108	400	196	706	88	630	149	180	327	260	162	314	149	162	406	338
Male		49%	58%	52%	43%	49%	55%	45%	64%	46%	49%	53%	55%	48%	42%	54%	53%	45%
Female		51%	42%	48%	57%	51%	45%	55%	36%	54%	51%	47%	45%	52%	58%	46%	47%	55%
	Chi-Squared:		.05	-	++	-		++	---	-			.10		+		.05	++
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
PROVI	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
British Columbia		13%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	11%	14%	13%	10%	15%	15%	9%	13%	11%	15%	14%	20%	10%
Alberta		10%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	11%	6%	8%	10%	12%	9%	12%	9%	6%	9%	7%	6%	17%
Saskatchewan		3%	0%	0%	48%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	4%	1%	4%
Manitoba		4%	0%	0%	52%	0%	0%	0%	2%	5%	4%	2%	3%	5%	4%	2%	5%	3%	2%	2%	6%	7%
Ontario		38%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	40%	36%	32%	46%	41%	34%	35%	43%	38%	37%	31%	40%	50%	45%
Quebec		24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	22%	27%	31%	18%	25%	24%	26%	23%	23%	31%	30%	26%	14%	10%
New Brunswick		2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	31%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	5%
Nova Scotia/P.E.I.		4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	46%	4%	3%	5%	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	1%	3%
Newfoundland/Labrador		2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						-		-				-			(.05)				
	+/- points around 50%:	3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

PROVINCE		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	326	474	507	279	99	65	641	210	590
	Unweighted n:	800	327	473	525	262	99	62	639	218	582
British Columbia		13%	12%	14%	14%	13%	17%	14%	13%	7%	16%
Alberta		10%	12%	8%	9%	10%	7%	11%	10%	10%	9%
Saskatchewan		3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	8%	2%
Manitoba		4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	3%
Ontario		38%	38%	38%	38%	37%	51%	50%	35%	36%	39%
Quebec		24%	22%	26%	23%	26%	13%	14%	26%	23%	25%
New Brunswick		2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%	3%
Nova Scotia/P.E.I.		4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	1%	2%	4%	6%	3%
Newfoundland/Labrador		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%	1%
Chi-Squared:			-		-		-			(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:		3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4	7	

PROVI		Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG			
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increas	Same	Decreas	Increas	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	10%	40%	18%	69%	9%	61%	15%	16%	32%	27%	15%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
	Unweighted n:	800	10%	40%	19%	70%	8%	63%	14%	18%	10%	12%	16%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%
	British Columbia	13%	16%	14%	11%	14%	12%	13%	15%	10%	12%	16%	22%	11%	13%	9%	15%	11%
	Alberta	10%	9%	11%	8%	10%	11%	10%	12%	13%	9%	8%	6%	9%	9%	14%	7%	12%
	Saskatchewan	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%	5%	3%	1%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%
	Manitoba	4%	3%	5%	2%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	1%	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%
	Ontario	38%	41%	39%	40%	39%	29%	41%	27%	40%	40%	37%	41%	35%	39%	42%	41%	36%
	Quebec	24%	21%	21%	28%	23%	35%	22%	33%	25%	25%	21%	20%	29%	21%	21%	22%	26%
	New Brunswick	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
	Nova Scotia/P.E.I	4%	1%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	3%
	Newfoundland/Labrador	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-			-		(.05)		-			(.05)				-	
	+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

		Region						Gender		Age				Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Que	Atl	Male	Fem	<20	20-24	25-44	45+	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RURAL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	107	77	55	304	194	63	394	406	54	68	311	238	387	209	191	98	243	145	58	92
	Unweighted n:	800	88	82	70	287	186	87	345	455	74	92	259	300	388	216	186	98	244	146	57	92
Rural		26%	14%	28%	48%	25%	25%	36%	26%	26%	28%	24%	22%	27%	33%	22%	19%	24%	22%	32%	25%	18%
Urban		74%	86%	72%	52%	75%	75%	64%	74%	74%	72%	76%	78%	73%	67%	78%	81%	76%	78%	68%	75%	82%
		+++	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	+	+++	---	---	---	---	---
Chi-Squared:			.01						-	-					.01			-				
+/- points around 50%:		3	10	11	12	6	7	11	5	5	11	10	6	6	5	7	7	10	6	8	13	10

RURAL		Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	No	Urban
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	32%	47%	50%	27%	9%	6%	21%
	Unweighted n:	800	32%	47%	50%	27%	9%	6%	21%
	Rural	26%	30%	24%	27%	26%	22%	34%	26%
	Urban	74%	70%	76%	73%	74%	78%	66%	74%
	Chi-Squared:		.10						.01
	+/- points around 50%:	3	5	5	4	6	10	12	4

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No	
RURAL	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	800	10%	40%	18%	69%	9%	61%	15%	32%	27%	15%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%		
	Unweighted n:	800	10%	40%	19%	70%	8%	63%	14%	18%	32%	26%	16%	31%	14%	16%	40%	33%	
Rural		26%	18%	30%	22%	25%	32%	25%	32%	24%	28%	28%	23%	26%	27%	31%	25%	28%	
Urban		74%	82%	70%	78%	75%	68%	75%	68%	76%	72%	72%	77%	74%	73%	69%	75%	72%	
			++	---				+	-										
		Chi-Squared:	.05			-		.10		-		-				-			
		+/- points around 50%:	3	9	5	7	4	10	4	8	7	5	6	8	6	8	8	5	5

DETAILED TABLES YOUTH

Table of Contents

WPRY	Page: 1
WSEXY	Page: 1
WSMY	Page: 1
How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes SER2	Page: 2
How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking SER3	Page: 5
Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years? RISK2	Page: 8
Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased? WHYI	Page: 10
Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has decreased? WHYD	Page: 13
About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally? SMPER	Page: 15
Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority? CONT	Page: 17
Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians? AWAR	Page: 19
What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians? AWAR2	Page: 21
The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the FTCS	Page: 24
In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether. INVOL	Page: 26
There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play? PARTN	Page: 28

Table of Contents

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The federal government

INV01 Page: 30

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The provincial government

INV02 Page: 33

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society

INV03 Page: 36

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

INV04 Page: 39

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The tobacco industry

INV05 Page: 42

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

INV06 Page: 45

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Canadians themselves

INV07 Page: 48

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

RESP1 Page: 51

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

RSP1B Page: 54

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

RESP2 Page: 57

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

RSP2B Page: 60

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 2

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 286

Table of Contents

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

RESP3 Page: 63

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

RSP3B Page: 66

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

RESP4 Page: 69

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

RSP4B Page: 72

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

RESP5 Page: 75

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

RSP5B Page: 78

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

RESP6 Page: 81

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

RSP6B Page: 84

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

SM7 Page: 87

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

SMK2 Page: 89

Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?

SM9 Page: 91

Smoking status

SMST2 Page: 93

Age of respondent

AGE Page: 95

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

HOU Page: 98

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 3

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 287

Table of Contents

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

KID1A

Page: 101

What is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?

EDU20

Page: 104

What is your current employment status?

EMPL

Page: 107

What is your annual HOUSEHOLD income from all sources before taxes?

INCM

Page: 110

Do you consider yourself to be

MINOR

Page: 113

Observed gender of respondent

SEX

Page: 115

Provincial indicator (NOTE: Territories are collapsed in BC)

PROVI

Page: 116

Imported rural/urban indicator

RURAL

Page: 119

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 4

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 288

FTCS General Public Survey (0946)

Weighted proportions

06/11/03

WPRY	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	TOTAL
	600	
Unweighted n:	600	
British Columbia	13.4%	
Alberta	9.7%	
Saskatchewan	3.2%	
Manitoba	3.6%	
Ontario	38.1%	
Quebec	24.2%	
New Brunswick	2.5%	
Nova Scotia/PEI	3.5%	
Newfoundland	1.8%	

WSEXY	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	TOTAL
	600	
Unweighted n:	600	
Male	49.1%	
Female	50.9%	

WSMY	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	TOTAL
	600	
Unweighted n:	600	
Smoker	20.0%	
Non-smoker	80.0%	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 1

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 289

How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes

Youth Cases

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
SER2																					
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39	
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41	
	NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	5%	4%	1%	10%	4%	8%	7%	8%	3%	12%	4%	6%	5%	0%	6%	1%	7%	7%	8%	
									++	--	+++	---					--				
	SERIOUS (3 4)	94%	94%	99%	90%	96%	92%	90%	92%	96%	87%	96%	93%	95%	100%	92%	98%	92%	93%	92%	
									--	++	---	+++					+				
	Not at all serious	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	0%	6%	1%	0%	2%	0%	
	Not very serious	4%	4%	1%	7%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	9%	3%	4%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	8%	
	Somewhat serious	21%	13%	15%	17%	18%	36%	10%	21%	20%	26%	19%	20%	32%	15%	31%	16%	18%	27%	18%	
	Very serious	73%	81%	84%	73%	78%	55%	81%	71%	76%	61%	76%	74%	64%	85%	61%	82%	73%	67%	74%	
	Don't know	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	
	No response	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
	Chi-Squared:			-					.05		.01			-		-					
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15	
	Mean:	3.67	3.79	3.82	3.59	3.73	3.44	3.72	3.61	3.72	3.47	3.72	3.67	3.57	3.85	3.49	3.81	3.67	3.58	3.66	
	Std dev:	0.624	0.490	0.421	0.777	0.537	0.748	0.703	0.695	0.542	0.772	0.572	0.632	0.652	0.361	0.801	0.476	0.607	0.692	0.631	
	Student's t:		.05	.01		.05	.01		.05	.05	.01	.01		-		.01	.05	.01	-	-	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 2

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 290

How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes

Youth Cases

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SER2											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
	Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)		5%	8%	5%	3%	6%	4%	6%	6%	6%	5%
SERIOUS (3 4)		94%	92%	94%	95%	93%	95%	91%	94%	91%	95%
Not at all serious		1%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Not very serious		4%	7%	3%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	6%	3%
Somewhat serious		21%	14%	23%	23%	19%	18%	21%	21%	20%	21%
Very serious		73%	78%	72%	72%	74%	77%	78%	73%	71%	74%
Don't know		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%
	Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	8	4	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5
	Mean:	3.67	3.70	3.66	3.68	3.66	3.71	3.74	3.66	3.65	3.67
	Std dev:	0.624	0.616	0.626	0.569	0.663	0.604	0.572	0.636	0.626	0.624
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 3

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 291

How serious is ... The harm to the health of smokers caused by smoking cigarettes

Youth Cases

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SER2																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	5%	4%	7%	5%	0%	100%	4%	35%	3%	8%	9%	3%	3%	14%	27%	4%	9%
SERIOUS (3 4)	94%	96%	93%	95%	100%	0%	96%	62%	96%	91%	91%	96%	97%	83%	70%	96%	89%
Not at all serious	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	27%	1%	13%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	9%	1%	3%
Not very serious	4%	4%	5%	2%	0%	73%	3%	22%	2%	5%	7%	3%	2%	11%	18%	3%	5%
Somewhat serious	21%	14%	21%	21%	22%	0%	20%	27%	20%	20%	20%	15%	25%	33%	16%	18%	25%
Very serious	73%	83%	72%	74%	78%	0%	76%	35%	76%	71%	72%	81%	72%	50%	53%	78%	64%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		(.01)		.05			(.01)				.05	
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
Mean:	3.67	3.79	3.65	3.67	3.78	1.73	3.72	2.87	3.73	3.60	3.62	3.77	3.69	3.34	3.18	3.73	3.53
Std dev:	0.62	0.50	0.64	0.63	0.41	0.45	0.55	1.07	0.53	0.73	0.68	0.52	0.56	0.80	1.05	0.55	0.75
Student's t:	*	.10	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	.10	-	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 4

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 292

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

Youth Cases

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SER3																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)		6%	5%	4%	8%	5%	8%	3%	8%	4%	16%	3%	5%	6%	8%	1%	1%	9%	7%	10%
SERIOUS (3 4)		94%	94%	96%	90%	95%	91%	97%	91%	96%	84%	96%	94%	92%	92%	92%	98%	91%	93%	90%
Not at all serious		2%	0%	2%	5%	1%	6%	1%	3%	2%	7%	1%	3%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	2%	3%
Not very serious		3%	5%	1%	3%	5%	2%	2%	5%	2%	8%	2%	3%	6%	8%	0%	1%	9%	5%	6%
Somewhat serious		32%	29%	27%	38%	28%	43%	19%	31%	32%	37%	30%	30%	46%	32%	34%	36%	26%	9%	23%
Very serious		62%	65%	69%	52%	67%	48%	79%	61%	64%	47%	66%	64%	46%	60%	58%	62%	65%	84%	68%
Don't know		0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-						.05		.01		-			-				
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15
	Mean:	3.54	3.62	3.63	3.40	3.61	3.34	3.74	3.50	3.59	3.24	3.62	3.56	3.41	3.51	3.43	3.62	3.56	3.75	3.55
	Std dev:	0.679	0.577	0.645	0.788	0.615	0.793	0.549	0.730	0.624	0.892	0.591	0.679	0.610	0.659	0.841	0.508	0.652	0.648	0.763
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.10	.01	.01	-	-	.01	.01	-	.10	-	-	-	-	.10	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 5

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 293

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural
SER3									Urban
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	6%	4%	6%	7%	4%	5%	11%	6%	5%
SERIOUS (3 4)	94%	96%	93%	93%	95%	94%	89%	94%	93%
Not at all serious	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	7%	2%	3%
Not very serious	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%
Somewhat serious	32%	30%	32%	30%	33%	29%	26%	33%	37%
Very serious	62%	67%	61%	62%	62%	65%	63%	61%	55%
Don't know	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8
Mean:	3.54	3.62	3.52	3.53	3.56	3.59	3.44	3.54	3.50
Std dev:	0.679	0.596	0.700	0.706	0.649	0.649	0.888	0.656	0.654
Student's t:	*	.10	.10	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 6

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 294

How serious is ... The harm to the health of non-smokers caused by breathing in second hand smoke from cigarettes that other people are smoking

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SER3																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
NOT SERIOUS (1 2)	6%	9%	8%	4%	4%	37%	0%	100%	3%	11%	7%	3%	6%	6%	30%	3%	11%
SERIOUS (3 4)	94%	91%	92%	95%	96%	63%	100%	0%	97%	89%	93%	97%	94%	93%	67%	97%	89%
Not at all serious	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	18%	0%	42%	1%	3%	5%	2%	2%	0%	16%	1%	5%
Not very serious	3%	7%	6%	1%	2%	19%	0%	58%	2%	7%	2%	1%	4%	6%	14%	2%	6%
Somewhat serious	32%	26%	29%	32%	32%	29%	34%	0%	32%	27%	42%	23%	41%	40%	26%	28%	42%
Very serious	62%	64%	63%	63%	64%	34%	66%	0%	65%	62%	51%	74%	54%	52%	40%	69%	47%
Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%
No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.01)	4	(.01)	4	.01	.01	.01	(.01)	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
Mean:	3.54	3.53	3.54	3.56	3.59	2.79	3.66	1.58	3.61	3.48	3.40	3.70	3.46	3.47	2.94	3.64	3.31
Std dev:	0.68	0.72	0.69	0.69	0.62	1.12	0.47	0.50	0.59	0.77	0.75	0.57	0.66	0.61	1.12	0.59	0.81
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.05	.01	.05	-	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 7

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 295

Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years?

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	PraIr	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RISK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Increased		50%	55%	63%	39%	49%	45%	54%	48%	51%	53%	49%	52%	41%	32%	49%	54%	53%	58%	43%
Stayed the same		31%	29%	29%	29%	30%	34%	30%	30%	31%	32%	30%	30%	34%	41%	27%	34%	28%	28%	38%
Decreased		16%	9%	8%	26%	19%	17%	15%	18%	15%	13%	17%	15%	18%	27%	22%	11%	15%	9%	19%
Don't know		3%	6%	0%	7%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%	7%	0%	3%	1%	4%	6%	0%
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:		4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

		Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No
RISK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410
	Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405
	Increased	50%	49%	50%	48%	51%	58%	62%	45%
	Stayed the same	31%	34%	30%	35%	28%	26%	10%	35%
	Decreased	16%	14%	17%	15%	17%	13%	24%	17%
	Don't know	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	4%
	No response	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	(.01)	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 8

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 296

Would you say the health risk to Canadians generally that is posed by tobacco has increased, decreased or stayed about the same over the past 5 years?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No	
RISK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172	
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156	
Increased		50%	41%	45%	57%	51%	27%	51%	25%	100%	0%	0%	60%	41%	43%	38%	50%	49%	
Stayed the same		31%	28%	35%	28%	30%	47%	29%	56%	0%	100%	0%	27%	33%	33%	43%	31%	30%	
Decreased		16%	29%	18%	12%	16%	26%	16%	19%	0%	0%	100%	11%	23%	21%	7%	16%	18%	
Don't know		3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	3%	12%	2%	4%	
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Chi-Squared:			(.05)				(.05)				(.01)								
+/- points around 50%:		4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 9

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 297

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased?

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
WHYI																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	299	44	37	16	112	65	25	143	156	64	236	263	25	9	34	50	39	20	17
	Unweighted n:	299	42	41	21	113	48	34	140	159	40	259	266	20	10	30	49	39	20	18
	Youth smoking more now	41%	44%	29%	38%	46%	35%	47%	39%	42%	43%	40%	43%	23%	31%	42%	55%	29%	29%	35%
	See it/smoking everywhere	38%	37%	49%	48%	36%	33%	41%	40%	37%	22%	43%	38%	47%	43%	29%	42%	42%	37%	53%
	Hear more about cancer	4%	2%	7%	7%	2%	5%	0%	2%	5%	2%	4%	3%	0%	13%	10%	2%	2%	0%	5%
	Cigaretts more addictive	8%	10%	15%	16%	5%	8%	9%	5%	11%	21%	5%	8%	17%	0%	5%	8%	9%	5%	6%
	Media reports	2%	0%	7%	0%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	5%
	Increased stress in life	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	5%	0%	0%	2%	0%	4%	0%
	Gov't bans/legislation	1%	2%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
	Other	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	5%	10%	3%	4%	3%	10%	0%
	DK/NR	18%	10%	9%	22%	19%	25%	18%	18%	17%	24%	16%	17%	26%	13%	24%	5%	28%	25%	17%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.01)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	6	15	15	21	9	14	17	8	8	15	6	6	22	31	18	14	16	22	23

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 10

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 298

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has increased?

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
WHYI										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	299	67	233	117	179	78	30	184	68	231
Unweighted n:	299	71	228	117	178	79	32	182	69	230
Youth smoking more now	41%	41%	41%	45%	38%	41%	32%	42%	38%	42%
See it/smoking everywhe	38%	39%	38%	39%	38%	39%	32%	40%	36%	39%
Hear more about cancer	4%	1%	4%	3%	3%	3%	0%	4%	3%	4%
Cigaretts more addictive	8%	6%	9%	9%	8%	6%	11%	9%	9%	8%
Media reports	2%	3%	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	2%
Increasd stress in life	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%
Gov't bans/legislation	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Other	2%	4%	2%	4%	1%	4%	0%	2%	1%	3%
DK/NR	18%	15%	18%	15%	20%	17%	25%	17%	19%	17%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	6	12	6	9	7	11	17	7	12	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 11

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 299

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
WHYI																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	299	20	106	158	288	9	289	9	299	0	0	169	94	19	14	206	83
Unweighted n:	299	22	104	160	287	10	289	8	299	0	0	173	90	17	16	211	79
Youth smoking more now	41%	32%	40%	43%	42%	11%	41%	45%	41%	0%	0%	46%	34%	33%	39%	40%	40%
See it/smoking everywhere	38%	64%	34%	39%	38%	53%	38%	54%	38%	0%	0%	39%	38%	24%	46%	43%	32%
Hear more about cancer	4%	0%	4%	4%	3%	10%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%	6%	6%	0%	2%	7%
Cigaretts more addictive	8%	0%	12%	7%	8%	0%	9%	0%	8%	0%	0%	6%	12%	20%	0%	7%	12%
Media reports	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	5%	0%	2%	2%
Increased stress in life	1%	6%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	9%	1%	1%
Gov't bans/legislation	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Other	2%	0%	3%	3%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	3%	1%
DK/NR	18%	13%	21%	17%	18%	26%	18%	11%	18%	0%	0%	15%	22%	24%	18%	17%	18%
Chi-Squared:																	
+/- points around 50%:	6	21	10	8	6	31	6	35	6	*	*	7	10	24	25	7	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 12

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 300

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
WHYD	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	97	8	5	10	42	25	7	52	45	16	81	78	11	8	16	10	11	3	8
	Unweighted n:	99	8	5	13	42	20	11	53	46	10	89	80	10	8	15	12	12	3	8
Higher public awareness		45%	62%	35%	35%	49%	45%	25%	30%	62%	22%	50%	50%	28%	23%	30%	41%	66%	100%	34%
Fewer people smoking		18%	26%	0%	14%	19%	14%	40%	24%	11%	10%	20%	18%	11%	34%	12%	14%	33%	0%	13%
Smoking not allowed		41%	37%	53%	46%	40%	42%	30%	42%	40%	28%	44%	37%	52%	55%	38%	32%	54%	0%	33%
Price/increase in taxes		6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	13%	0%	12%	0%	13%	5%	5%	9%	12%	8%	9%	0%	0%	13%
Other		4%	0%	0%	25%	2%	0%	9%	8%	0%	16%	2%	4%	0%	17%	0%	0%	12%	0%	29%
DK/NR		8%	0%	30%	7%	6%	10%	8%	11%	4%	19%	6%	8%	11%	0%	24%	12%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:			-						(.01)		(.05)		-			-				
+/- points around 50%:		10	35	44	27	15	22	30	13	14	31	10	11	31	35	25	28	28	57	35

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Urban
WHYD									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	97	20	78	38	59	18	12	68	75
Unweighted n:	99	21	78	39	59	16	10	72	76
Higher public awareness	45%	20%	51%	41%	48%	34%	37%	50%	42%
Fewer people smoking	18%	27%	16%	20%	17%	21%	16%	18%	19%
Smoking not allowed	41%	40%	41%	39%	41%	40%	18%	43%	43%
Price/increase in taxes	6%	11%	5%	14%	2%	0%	8%	8%	8%
Other	4%	8%	3%	8%	2%	11%	11%	1%	5%
DK/NR	8%	3%	9%	2%	12%	13%	26%	6%	10%
Chi-Squared:				(.10)					
+/- points around 50%:	10	21	11	16	13	25	31	12	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 13

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 301

Why do you think the level of health risk of tobacco has decreased?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
WHYD																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	97	14	43	33	89	8	91	6	0	0	97	31	54	9	3	65	31
	Unweighted n:	99	15	44	34	92	7	93	6	0	0	99	33	55	9	2	69	28
	Higher public awareness	45%	62%	41%	49%	46%	34%	46%	30%	0%	0%	45%	42%	45%	70%	0%	47%	40%
	Fewer people smoking	18%	39%	13%	17%	20%	0%	18%	29%	0%	0%	18%	12%	26%	0%	0%	20%	15%
	Smoking not allowed	41%	37%	39%	45%	45%	0%	43%	15%	0%	0%	41%	48%	40%	40%	0%	45%	31%
	Price/increase in taxes	6%	13%	3%	3%	5%	25%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	8%	21%	0%	2%	16%
	Other	4%	0%	5%	6%	2%	27%	3%	20%	0%	0%	4%	9%	0%	0%	47%	2%	8%
	DK/NR	8%	0%	15%	4%	7%	15%	7%	22%	0%	0%	8%	9%	6%	0%	53%	5%	14%
	Chi-Squared:					(.01)						(.01)				(.05)		
	+/- points around 50%:	10	25	15	17	10	37	10	40	*	*	10	17	13	33	69	12	19

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 14

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 302

About what percentage of all Canadians do you think smokes even occasionally?

Youth Cases

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SMPER																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
	less than 25%	8%	8%	6%	5%	11%	6%	5%	13%	4%	2%	10%	7%	8%	20%	3%	11%	11%	14%	15%
									---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	25-50%	39%	44%	42%	44%	34%	42%	39%	44%	35%	45%	38%	39%	41%	47%	38%	41%	44%	35%	53%
						-	-		++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
	over 50%	46%	42%	45%	42%	51%	42%	49%	38%	54%	43%	47%	47%	46%	29%	55%	36%	44%	52%	32%
						+	+		----	++++	+	+	+	+	-	++	++	++	++	++
	DK/NR	6%	6%	7%	9%	3%	10%	8%	5%	7%	10%	5%	7%	5%	3%	4%	11%	1%	0%	0%
						--	+				+	+				++++				
	Chi-Squared:		-						.01		.01					[.05]				
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15
	Mean:	113	106	119	135	86	145	132	97	128	156	102	117	101	74	98	155	61	54	47
	Std dev:	231	227	240	269	175	281	260	211	249	290	213	237	217	171	194	302	107	24	19
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.05	.01	.01

	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
	TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Rural	Urban
SMPER								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	410	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405
less than 25%	8%	9%	8%	7%	9%	9%	8%	9%
25-50%	39%	40%	39%	36%	42%	33%	43%	39%
over 50%	46%	45%	47%	49%	44%	52%	42%	48%
DK/NR	6%	6%	6%	8%	5%	6%	11%	5%
Chi-Squared:						(.10)		
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	5	5
Mean:	113	111	114	131	101	112	98	153
Std dev:	231	231	232	260	211	229	190	293
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 15

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 303

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SMPER	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
less than 25%		8%	100%	0%	0%	8%	6%	8%	13%	7%	7%	15%	8%	8%	9%	5%	9%	8%
25-50%		39%	0%	100%	0%	39%	48%	38%	52%	36%	44%	44%	36%	44%	34%	39%	42%	34%
over 50%		46%	0%	0%	100%	47%	39%	47%	35%	53%	42%	34%	48%	42%	54%	45%	44%	52%
DK/NR		6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	7%	0%	5%	7%	7%	9%	5%	3%	11%	5%	6%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)					-		.05			-				-	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
	Mean:	113	16	41	70	108	114	115	49	102	115	114	125	97	82	157	103	116
	Std dev:	231	5	9	9	222	237	235	23	206	239	245	251	205	163	295	215	233
	Student's t:	*	.01	.01	.01	-	-	.01	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 16

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 304

Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
CONT	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Yes		65%	69%	70%	63%	64%	60%	68%	63%	67%	56%	67%	66%	60%	58%	60%	70%	71%	64%	65%
No		31%	27%	24%	35%	32%	34%	24%	34%	27%	41%	28%	30%	30%	39%	35%	26%	23%	32%	30%
Focus on both/all issue		4%	1%	5%	0%	4%	5%	6%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	10%	3%	1%	3%	6%	3%	5%
Don't know		1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-							(.05)										
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
CONT											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
	Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
Yes		65%	69%	63%	63%	67%	73%	63%	62%	64%	65%
No		31%	25%	32%	33%	28%	23%	35%	33%	31%	31%
Focus on both/all issue		4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%
Don't know		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-		-		-			-	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 17

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 305

Some people say that smoking rates in Canada are decreasing and public focus should now be on other health issues... Others say that there are still 5 million smokers in Canada... From your own point of view, should tobacco continue to be a high government priority?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
CONT	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Yes		65%	64%	68%	62%	66%	39%	67%	37%	70%	58%	61%	77%	63%	30%	28%	73%	45%
No		31%	33%	27%	34%	29%	61%	29%	63%	25%	40%	32%	21%	28%	69%	72%	23%	49%
Focus on both/all issue		4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	0%	4%	0%	4%	2%	7%	2%	8%	0%	0%	4%	4%
Don't know		1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%
No response		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:					(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				(.01)	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 18

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 306

Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians?

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
AWAR	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Yes		71%	62%	69%	78%	77%	68%	57%	70%	71%	64%	72%	68%	84%	86%	75%	70%	75%	84%	91%
No		24%	36%	27%	18%	19%	22%	36%	26%	23%	29%	23%	26%	11%	14%	18%	26%	21%	5%	9%
DK/NR		5%	2%	4%	3%	4%	9%	7%	4%	6%	7%	5%	5%	5%	0%	8%	4%	4%	11%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.05)										(.05)			(.05)				
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
AWAR											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
	Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
Yes		71%	73%	70%	73%	70%	76%	63%	71%	59%	74%
No		24%	24%	24%	23%	25%	21%	26%	24%	32%	22%
DK/NR		5%	3%	6%	5%	5%	3%	11%	5%	8%	4%
	Chi-Squared:		-		-		-	+		++	-
	+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 19

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 307

Can you identify anything the Government of Canada does currently in order to reduce tobacco related disease and death among Canadians?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
AWAR	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Yes		71%	70%	74%	70%	72%	57%	71%	70%	68%	72%	75%	65%	80%	61%	61%	71%	71%
No		24%	29%	20%	25%	23%	38%	24%	26%	27%	24%	19%	29%	16%	31%	33%	24%	23%
DK/NR		5%	2%	6%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%	6%	4%	8%	6%	5%	6%
	Chi-Squared:												(.01)					
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 20

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 308

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

Youth Cases

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
AWAR2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	424	50	40	32	176	99	27	206	218	77	347	348	50	25	52	66	55	29	36
	Unweighted n:	427	49	47	41	178	74	38	204	223	47	380	358	42	26	48	67	56	27	37
Ban smoking in public		42%	22%	39%	28%	42%	59%	30%	35%	48%	47%	41%	40%	60%	35%	52%	47%	33%	28%	31%
Advertise risk of smoki		42%	51%	47%	48%	45%	29%	39%	42%	42%	39%	43%	40%	53%	45%	40%	44%	42%	54%	48%
Warning labels of pckgs		38%	52%	45%	30%	40%	23%	44%	38%	37%	36%	38%	38%	35%	40%	38%	37%	27%	39%	43%
Increase prices/taxes		12%	8%	4%	5%	13%	16%	17%	16%	8%	17%	11%	10%	18%	20%	16%	8%	7%	0%	20%
Restrict sales to youth		6%	8%	10%	4%	6%	5%	10%	6%	7%	8%	6%	6%	7%	10%	2%	9%	5%	11%	0%
Community awareness prgm		16%	22%	20%	19%	14%	15%	13%	17%	15%	18%	15%	15%	19%	20%	8%	18%	27%	27%	23%
Genr restrictns on sale		6%	0%	2%	11%	8%	7%	3%	6%	7%	8%	6%	5%	9%	11%	5%	6%	11%	13%	7%
Research & development		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Other		1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	5%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%
Don't know		2%	0%	2%	5%	1%	6%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	0%	3%	0%	7%	6%
No response		1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.10)																	
	+/- points around 50%:	5	14	14	15	7	11	16	7	7	14	5	5	15	19	14	12	13	19	16

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 21

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 309

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
AWAR2										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	424	99	325	178	245	101	30	291	83	341
Unweighted n:	427	105	322	178	247	103	32	290	87	340
Ban smoking in public	42%	45%	41%	37%	45%	40%	38%	44%	41%	42%
Advertise risk of smoki	42%	37%	44%	43%	41%	37%	32%	45%	42%	42%
Warning labels of pckgs	38%	38%	37%	40%	36%	38%	42%	37%	38%	37%
Increase prices/taxes	12%	9%	13%	14%	11%	7%	3%	15%	9%	13%
Restrict sales to youth	6%	8%	6%	3%	9%	10%	7%	5%	6%	6%
Community awareness prgm	16%	15%	16%	17%	15%	13%	15%	17%	13%	17%
Genr restrictns on sale	6%	6%	6%	8%	4%	10%	7%	4%	4%	7%
Research & development	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%
Don't know	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%
No response	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	5	10	5	7	6	10	17	6	11	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 22

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 310

What does the Government of Canada currently do to reduce tobacco-related disease and death among Canadians?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
AWAR2																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	424	34	175	195	404	19	398	24	203	133	74	185	187	27	23	290	122
	Unweighted n:	427	37	173	198	409	17	406	19	207	131	75	194	185	25	20	304	110
	Ban smoking in public	42%	28%	43%	44%	43%	28%	42%	47%	39%	46%	44%	41%	42%	42%	50%	43%	42%
	Advertise risk of smoki	42%	54%	43%	40%	43%	31%	43%	25%	36%	45%	55%	38%	49%	33%	20%	44%	37%
	Warning labels of pckgs	38%	40%	36%	40%	38%	27%	38%	35%	40%	38%	35%	38%	39%	48%	16%	38%	36%
	Increase prices/taxes	12%	14%	10%	12%	11%	25%	12%	12%	10%	11%	17%	12%	11%	18%	18%	10%	15%
	Restrict sales to youth	6%	0%	5%	10%	7%	0%	7%	0%	9%	2%	10%	9%	4%	6%	9%	6%	8%
	Community awareness prgm	16%	28%	21%	10%	16%	7%	16%	21%	18%	12%	21%	10%	20%	20%	15%	15%	15%
	Genr restrictns on sale	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	12%	6%	16%	5%	2%	14%	6%	4%	23%	4%	5%	9%
	Research & development	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	1%	5%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%
	Don't know	2%	0%	4%	1%	2%	7%	2%	5%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	4%	4%	2%	4%
	No response	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)			-		-		(.05)			(.05)			-		
	+/- points around 50%:	5	16	7	7	5	24	5	22	7	9	11	7	7	20	22	6	9

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 23

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 311

The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
FTCS																				
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:		600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Yes -approp. for Fed Gov		68%	73%	71%	62%	72%	58%	75%	67%	69%	45%	74%	70%	56%	58%	60%	59%	70%	63%	69%
No -left to others		29%	21%	27%	38%	25%	38%	22%	31%	26%	51%	23%	27%	40%	42%	39%	34%	28%	31%	29%
DK/NR			-	-	-	+++	+++	++	2%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%	0%	1%	6%	2%	6%	2%
Chi-Squared:			(.10)						.05		(.01)		(.10)			-				
+/- points around 50%:		4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 24

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 312

The fed gov't does have programs and legislation in place. The current FTCS is an initiative to reduce smoking-related disease and death... Is this an appropriate role for the Gov't of Canada, or would this role be best left up to others, like the

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not Serious	Serious	Not Serious	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Elimin.	Yes	No
FTCS																		
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
Unweighted n:		600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Yes -approp. for Fed Gov		68%	72%	73%	65%	70%	49%	70%	41%	69%	70%	67%	79%	69%	25%	30%	100%	0%
No -left to others		29%	28%	25%	32%	27%	46%	27%	54%	28%	28%	31%	18%	29%	68%	62%	0%	100%
DK/NR		3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	7%	8%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:			-			(.05)		(.01)		-			(.01)		+		.01	
+/- points around 50%:		4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 25

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 313

In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether.

Youth Cases

	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INVOL																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Increase involvement	47%	56%	61%	45%	48%	38%	43%	47%	47%	36%	50%	50%	28%	37%	45%	51%	49%	50%	35%
Maintain involvement	39%	35%	35%	35%	36%	47%	39%	38%	39%	38%	39%	36%	59%	46%	41%	37%	37%	38%	44%
Reduce involvement	7%	4%	0%	6%	12%	4%	8%	6%	9%	14%	6%	7%	6%	10%	9%	5%	11%	0%	11%
Eliminate involvement	6%	1%	4%	14%	4%	11%	7%	8%	5%	13%	5%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	3%	9%	10%
DK/NR	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Chi-Squared:		++																	
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
	TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Rural	Urban
INVOL								
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	460
Increase involvement	47%	51%	46%	49%	46%	54%	48%	44%
Maintain involvement	39%	36%	39%	35%	41%	37%	29%	41%
Reduce involvement	7%	4%	8%	7%	7%	5%	9%	8%
Eliminate involvement	6%	8%	6%	8%	5%	4%	14%	6%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		-						
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 26

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 314

In the area of reducing smoking, second hand smoke and regulating tobacco, in the future, would you like to see the federal government increase its level of involvement, maintain its current level of involvement, reduce its level of involvement or eliminate its involvement altogether.

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INVOL																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
	Increase involvement	47%	48%	43%	49%	48%	30%	49%	21%	57%	42%	32%	100%	0%	0%	0%	55%	30%
	Maintain involvement	39%	40%	44%	35%	40%	20%	39%	39%	31%	42%	56%	0%	100%	0%	0%	39%	39%
	Reduce involvement	7%	8%	6%	8%	6%	19%	7%	7%	6%	8%	9%	0%	0%	100%	0%	3%	17%
	Eliminate involvement	6%	4%	6%	6%	5%	31%	4%	33%	5%	9%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%	3%	13%
	DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		(.01)		(.01)			(.01)				(.01)	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 27

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 315

There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
PARTN																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
A leadership role		22%	27%	26%	25%	27%	10%	24%	25%	19%	14%	24%	24%	15%	5%	25%	29%	21%	31%	27%
An equal partner		60%	62%	60%	56%	57%	64%	64%	53%	67%	61%	60%	59%	66%	66%	56%	54%	57%	57%	54%
A very limited role		14%	7%	13%	14%	16%	18%	11%	17%	11%	20%	13%	14%	14%	29%	17%	14%	23%	12%	19%
DK/NR		3%	4%	1%	5%	1%	7%	1%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)						.01		(.05)		(.10)			-				
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Urban
PARTN									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	460
A leadership role	22%	28%	21%	25%	20%	32%	31%	18%	23%
An equal partner	60%	54%	62%	56%	63%	51%	53%	64%	62%
A very limited role	14%	15%	14%	16%	13%	14%	15%	14%	12%
DK/NR	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%
Chi-Squared:		-		-		(.05)		(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 28

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 316

There are many partners who have an interest in reducing the use of tobacco. Which of the following do you think is the most appropriate role for the federal government to play?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
PARTN																		
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
Unweighted n:		600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
A leadership role		22%	20%	21%	26%	22%	27%	23%	13%	25%	21%	21%	29%	15%	15%	20%	26%	12%
An equal partner		60%	48%	63%	58%	62%	33%	62%	42%	59%	60%	60%	61%	67%	50%	25%	61%	59%
A very limited role		14%	30%	14%	13%	13%	34%	13%	37%	12%	17%	17%	8%	15%	32%	36%	10%	25%
DK/NR		3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	8%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	19%	3%	3%
Chi-Squared:			(.10)			(.01)		(.01)		-			(.01)			.01		
+/- points around 50%:		4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 29

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 317

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The federal government

Youth Cases

	Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INVOL																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	4%	5%	0%	5%	3%	8%	3%	7%	2%	12%	2%	5%	2%	4%	8%	7%	2%	7%	15%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	37%	30%	39%	37%	41%	32%	38%	34%	40%	36%	37%	37%	35%	42%	37%	30%	41%	44%	47%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	59%	64%	61%	58%	56%	59%	59%	59%	59%	51%	61%	58%	63%	54%	55%	63%	57%	49%	38%
1. Not at all involved	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	6%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	5%	6%
2	3%	3%	0%	2%	2%	5%	0%	5%	1%	6%	2%	3%	2%	4%	5%	7%	0%	2%	10%
3	3%	2%	3%	0%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	0%	5%	1%	1%	3%	9%
4. Somewhat involved	15%	9%	15%	8%	16%	17%	18%	14%	16%	23%	13%	14%	23%	15%	20%	18%	21%	8%	8%
5	19%	19%	22%	29%	21%	14%	16%	18%	20%	11%	22%	20%	10%	27%	12%	10%	18%	34%	30%
6	25%	32%	21%	31%	27%	17%	22%	22%	27%	25%	25%	24%	19%	38%	33%	25%	23%	20%	14%
7. Extremely involved	34%	33%	39%	27%	29%	43%	36%	37%	31%	26%	36%	34%	44%	16%	22%	38%	34%	29%	24%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:								.01		.01									
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15
Mean:	5.58	5.65	5.79	5.59	5.52	5.58	5.55	5.56	5.61	5.06	5.72	5.58	5.73	5.43	5.21	5.58	5.58	5.40	4.86
Std dev:	1.42	1.43	1.20	1.36	1.33	1.63	1.51	1.54	1.30	1.80	1.28	1.44	1.39	1.19	1.58	1.53	1.38	1.55	1.84
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 30

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 318

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The federal government

Youth Cases

	Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
	TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INVOL										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	4%	2%	5%	6%	3%	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	37%	36%	37%	34%	39%	31%	42%	39%	41%	35%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	59%	63%	58%	60%	58%	65%	52%	57%	55%	60%
1. Not at all involved	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	3%	6%	1%	1%	2%
2	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	0%	4%	3%	3%
3	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%
4. Somewhat involved	15%	15%	15%	14%	15%	9%	14%	17%	21%	13%
5	19%	17%	20%	18%	21%	21%	23%	19%	18%	20%
6	25%	21%	26%	22%	26%	26%	21%	23%	20%	26%
7. Extremely involved	34%	41%	32%	38%	32%	38%	31%	33%	34%	34%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:										
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5
Mean:	5.58	5.76	5.53	5.60	5.58	5.74	5.37	5.53	5.49	5.61
Std dev:	1.42	1.33	1.45	1.52	1.35	1.43	1.63	1.43	1.46	1.41
Student's t:	*	.10	.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 31

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 319

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The federal government

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INVOLVED	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		4%	7%	4%	4%	3%	25%	3%	31%	3%	7%	4%	2%	2%	7%	35%	1%	12%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		37%	40%	38%	36%	37%	39%	36%	50%	32%	38%	48%	24%	49%	67%	21%	33%	46%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		59%	53%	58%	59%	60%	36%	61%	18%	65%	55%	49%	74%	49%	26%	40%	66%	41%
1. Not at all involved		2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	14%	1%	16%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	15%	1%	4%
2		3%	7%	2%	2%	2%	11%	2%	15%	2%	5%	2%	1%	1%	7%	21%	1%	8%
3		3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	0%	3%	0%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	11%	0%	2%	5%
4. Somewhat involved		15%	14%	15%	15%	14%	27%	14%	29%	13%	17%	18%	6%	21%	33%	14%	11%	22%
5		19%	23%	21%	18%	20%	12%	19%	21%	17%	18%	25%	16%	25%	23%	7%	20%	19%
6		25%	20%	27%	24%	26%	11%	26%	3%	24%	25%	28%	28%	26%	6%	13%	29%	14%
7. Extremely involved		34%	34%	31%	35%	34%	25%	35%	16%	41%	30%	21%	46%	23%	20%	28%	37%	27%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		(.01)		(.05)			(.01)				.01	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
	Mean:	5.58	5.48	5.56	5.59	5.65	4.45	5.68	3.95	5.78	5.39	5.31	6.05	5.39	4.69	4.31	5.85	4.95
	Std dev:	1.42	1.48	1.38	1.44	1.35	2.10	1.32	1.97	1.37	1.53	1.34	1.17	1.26	1.49	2.34	1.17	1.75
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	.05	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 32

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 320

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The provincial government

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV02	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
	NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	3%	0%	1%	2%	2%	6%	3%	3%	2%	9%	1%	3%	6%	0%	9%	0%	1%	7%	9%
	SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	38%	38%	40%	32%	41%	35%	38%	37%	39%	46%	36%	39%	35%	32%	37%	43%	42%	43%	44%
	VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	59%	62%	57%	66%	57%	58%	58%	59%	58%	45%	62%	58%	59%	68%	54%	57%	56%	51%	47%
	1. Not at all involved	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	5%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%
	2	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	0%	9%	0%	1%	0%	2%
	3	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	5%	2%	5%	2%	8%	2%	4%	4%	0%	6%	9%	3%	0%	0%
	4. Somewhat involved	16%	16%	13%	13%	15%	20%	15%	13%	19%	20%	15%	15%	26%	8%	18%	18%	23%	21%	19%
	5	19%	18%	26%	17%	22%	10%	21%	19%	19%	19%	19%	20%	5%	24%	13%	16%	17%	22%	25%
	6	26%	30%	20%	37%	28%	20%	25%	29%	23%	17%	28%	26%	19%	36%	27%	22%	27%	31%	27%
	7. Extremely involved	33%	33%	37%	29%	28%	38%	33%	29%	36%	28%	34%	32%	40%	32%	27%	35%	29%	.20%	20%
	DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-						-		(.01)		-			-				
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	12	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17
	Mean:	5.59	5.70	5.75	5.71	5.56	5.47	5.60	5.52	5.65	5.05	5.72	5.59	5.46	5.91	5.24	5.56	5.54	5.23	5.15
	Std dev:	1.36	1.21	1.21	1.25	1.30	1.61	1.40	1.41	1.32	1.73	1.22	1.34	1.67	0.96	1.60	1.37	1.27	1.55	1.61
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	-	-	.10	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 33

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 321

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The provincial government

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV02										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	3%	2%	3%	5%	1%	2%	0%	3%	0%	4%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	38%	37%	39%	37%	39%	34%	38%	40%	40%	38%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	59%	62%	58%	58%	59%	64%	60%	56%	60%	58%
1. Not at all involved	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%
2	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%
3	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	1%	4%	4%	6%	3%
4. Somewhat involved	16%	19%	15%	17%	15%	11%	10%	19%	22%	14%
5	19%	16%	20%	16%	21%	22%	23%	18%	12%	21%
6	26%	28%	25%	23%	28%	30%	26%	24%	23%	27%
7. Extremely involved	33%	34%	32%	35%	31%	34%	34%	32%	37%	31%
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	(.10)	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5
Mean:	5.59	5.66	5.57	5.52	5.64	5.80	5.77	5.49	5.63	5.58
Std dev:	1.36	1.31	1.38	1.52	1.24	1.16	1.18	1.43	1.34	1.37
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	.05	-	.01	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 34

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 322

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The provincial government

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG			
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increase	Same	Decrease	Increase	Maintain	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV02																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
	NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	3%	0%	4%	3%	2%	22%	1%	31%	2%	5%	2%	1%	1%	4%	26%	1%	6%
	SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	38%	41%	40%	35%	38%	40%	38%	38%	39%	37%	36%	28%	46%	61%	35%	35%	45%
	VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	59%	59%	56%	61%	60%	39%	60%	31%	59%	57%	61%	70%	52%	35%	39%	64%	48%
	1. Not at all involved	1%	0%	3%	0%	1%	10%	0%	17%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	0%	3%
	2	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	12%	1%	13%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%	7%	1%	3%
	3	3%	6%	2%	2%	3%	9%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	6%	12%	2%	7%
	4. Somewhat involved	16%	14%	19%	14%	16%	20%	16%	15%	16%	17%	14%	11%	20%	32%	12%	15%	17%
	5	19%	20%	19%	18%	19%	10%	19%	21%	19%	17%	20%	16%	23%	23%	12%	17%	22%
	6	26%	30%	27%	26%	27%	11%	27%	15%	20%	29%	35%	23%	33%	19%	12%	28%	22%
	7. Extremely involved	33%	29%	29%	35%	33%	28%	34%	16%	38%	28%	26%	47%	19%	16%	27%	35%	25%
	DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		(.01)		-			(.01)				(.01)	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
	Mean:	5.59	5.62	5.49	5.69	5.65	4.52	5.68	4.17	5.67	5.44	5.62	6.00	5.41	4.94	4.34	5.75	5.21
	Std dev:	1.36	1.23	1.42	1.32	1.29	2.09	1.25	2.12	1.35	1.48	1.21	1.18	1.17	1.34	2.26	1.24	1.57
	Student's t:	*	-	.10	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	-	.10	-	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 35

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 323

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society

Youth Cases

	Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV03																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	5%	1%	4%	0%	3%	2%	1%	9%	3%	5%	1%	1%	4%	0%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	28%	27%	25%	26%	29%	31%	21%	28%	28%	29%	28%	28%	35%	16%	28%	30%	30%	30%	20%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	69%	72%	73%	70%	69%	64%	77%	67%	71%	67%	70%	70%	56%	82%	67%	66%	69%	66%	80%
1. Not at all involved	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	4%	1%	3%	0%	3%	1%	1%	6%	3%	3%	0%	0%	4%	0%
2	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	4%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%
3	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	0%	0%	1%	4%	1%	0%	5%
4. Somewhat involved	11%	6%	11%	14%	9%	18%	8%	11%	12%	15%	10%	12%	11%	0%	8%	12%	11%	11%	0%
5	14%	20%	13%	9%	17%	9%	11%	15%	14%	11%	15%	13%	25%	16%	19%	14%	18%	19%	16%
6	25%	32%	22%	30%	25%	24%	23%	24%	27%	26%	25%	25%	27%	35%	24%	20%	26%	37%	42%
7. Extremely involved	44%	40%	51%	40%	44%	40%	54%	44%	44%	41%	44%	45%	28%	47%	43%	46%	42%	29%	38%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:								.01				(.01)							
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15
Mean:	5.90	6.05	6.12	5.83	5.94	5.60	6.15	5.80	5.99	5.73	5.94	5.94	5.40	6.19	5.82	5.92	5.93	5.70	6.08
Std dev:	1.31	0.98	1.10	1.37	1.23	1.59	1.21	1.46	1.13	1.49	1.25	1.26	1.61	1.13	1.44	1.28	1.17	1.33	0.99
Student's t:	*	-	.10	-	-	.05	.10	.10	.10	-	-	-	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 36

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 324

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society

Youth Cases

	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
	TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural
INV03									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	28%	31%	27%	32%	25%	31%	30%	28%	33%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	69%	68%	70%	64%	73%	64%	65%	70%	67%
1. Not at all involved	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%	0%
2	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
3	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%
4. Somewhat involved	11%	17%	10%	12%	11%	10%	11%	12%	17%
5	14%	12%	15%	17%	12%	19%	15%	13%	12%
6	25%	19%	27%	22%	28%	24%	22%	26%	25%
7. Extremely involved	44%	49%	42%	42%	45%	41%	43%	44%	41%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:				(.01)					(.10)
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8
Mean:	5.90	5.89	5.90	5.76	6.00	5.82	5.84	5.91	5.85
Std dev:	1.31	1.36	1.29	1.44	1.19	1.35	1.40	1.29	1.22
Student's t:	*	-	-	.05	.05	-	-	-	1.33

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 37

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 325

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Non-governmental or not-for-profit organizations like the Canadian Cancer Society

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV03																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	14%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	4%	13%	1%	5%
																+++		+++
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		28%	31%	24%	30%	28%	32%	28%	33%	26%	29%	31%	22%	33%	35%	37%	28%	26%
													---	++				
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		69%	64%	74%	67%	69%	66%	70%	53%	71%	67%	67%	76%	66%	57%	48%	70%	69%
													++++		-	---		
1. Not at all involved		1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	14%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	7%	1%	2%
2		1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	6%	0%	3%
3		3%	2%	1%	4%	3%	3%	3%	0%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%
4. Somewhat involved		11%	12%	10%	10%	11%	17%	10%	24%	11%	14%	8%	10%	11%	15%	21%	10%	11%
5		14%	17%	12%	16%	14%	12%	14%	9%	13%	13%	20%	11%	18%	16%	14%	16%	12%
6		25%	30%	31%	20%	26%	17%	26%	14%	23%	26%	32%	26%	29%	22%	2%	28%	21%
7. Extremely involved		44%	35%	42%	47%	43%	49%	44%	39%	48%	41%	35%	50%	37%	35%	46%	42%	48%
DK/NR		1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	4%	2%	1%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-			-		(.01)		-			(.01)				(.05)	
	+/- points around 50%:	14	4	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
	Mean:	5.90	5.68	5.97	5.90	5.90	5.85	5.95	5.14	6.01	5.80	5.80	6.11	5.81	5.55	5.22	5.93	5.85
	Std devi	1.31	1.41	1.22	1.35	1.30	1.43	1.23	2.06	1.25	1.38	1.24	1.17	1.23	1.52	1.99	1.21	1.49
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	.05	.05	.10	-	-	.01	-	-	.05	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 38

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 326

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		HS	Education			Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No		Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+		
INV04																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39		
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41		
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	3%	0%	5%	5%	3%	5%	0%	4%	2%	7%	2%	7%	2%	7%	3%	6%	2%	4%	0%	7%	
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	38%	44%	27%	25%	40%	39%	39%	42%	34%	36%	39%	37%	47%	38%	30%	34%	49%	41%	46%		
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	58%	56%	67%	68%	57%	56%	58%	54%	63%	56%	59%	60%	46%	59%	64%	63%	48%	59%	47%		
1. Not at all involved	2%	0%	0%	3%	2%	4%	0%	2%	1%	6%	1%	2%	3%	0%	6%	0%	1%	0%	3%		
2	1%	0%	5%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	3%	0%	2%	2%	0%	4%		
3	4%	4%	1%	3%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	10%	0%	7%		
4. Somewhat involved	15%	14%	13%	10%	14%	18%	14%	16%	13%	10%	16%	13%	25%	19%	12%	10%	23%	27%	11%		
5	19%	26%	13%	12%	21%	16%	20%	21%	17%	21%	19%	19%	17%	17%	14%	20%	16%	14%	28%		
6	20%	24%	27%	28%	22%	13%	13%	16%	24%	18%	21%	20%	15%	24%	20%	20%	16%	25%	24%		
7. Extremely involved	38%	32%	41%	40%	35%	44%	45%	38%	38%	38%	39%	40%	31%	35%	44%	43%	32%	35%	24%		
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Chi-Squared:								.10		(.05)											
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15		
Mean:	5.62	5.67	5.76	5.75	5.57	5.53	5.81	5.53	5.72	5.47	5.66	5.69	5.16	5.61	5.66	5.81	5.24	5.67	5.22		
Std dev:	1.45	1.18	1.42	1.53	1.41	1.65	1.32	1.52	1.37	1.70	1.37	1.41	1.66	1.37	1.67	1.33	1.57	1.22	1.56		
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10	.10	-	-	.05	.05	-	-	.05	.05	-	-		

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 39

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 327

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV04										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	38%	42%	37%	46%	33%	36%	37%	38%	41%	37%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	58%	56%	59%	50%	65%	60%	55%	59%	56%	59%
1. Not at all involved	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	1%	1%	2%
2	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%
3	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	2%	2%	6%	6%	4%
4. Somewhat involved	15%	17%	14%	17%	13%	14%	11%	14%	11%	16%
5	19%	21%	19%	24%	16%	20%	24%	18%	24%	18%
6	20%	16%	21%	18%	22%	17%	19%	21%	18%	21%
7. Extremely involved	38%	41%	38%	32%	43%	44%	35%	38%	38%	39%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	4%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	.01	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5
Mean:	5.62	5.66	5.62	5.39	5.80	5.73	5.59	5.62	5.62	5.63
Std dev:	1.45	1.39	1.46	1.50	1.37	1.44	1.53	1.43	1.40	1.46
Student's t:	-	-	-	.01	.01	-	-	-	-	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 40

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 328

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Health care professionals like doctors, nurses and dentists

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increas	Same	Decreas	Increas	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV04																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		3%	6%	2%	3%	2%	16%	2%	22%	3%	3%	5%	2%	1%	10%	18%	2%	7%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		38%	39%	39%	37%	38%	38%	38%	40%	35%	44%	38%	34%	44%	34%	36%	39%	36%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		58%	55%	59%	60%	59%	46%	60%	39%	62%	54%	56%	64%	55%	53%	45%	60%	55%
1. Not at all involved		2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	13%	1%	22%	1%	3%	3%	1%	0%	4%	14%	1%	4%
2		1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	6%	3%	1%	3%
3		4%	1%	3%	6%	4%	5%	4%	7%	3%	8%	4%	3%	5%	9%	3%	4%	4%
4. Somewhat involved		15%	20%	16%	11%	14%	24%	14%	18%	12%	16%	20%	12%	18%	13%	13%	13%	18%
5		19%	17%	20%	19%	20%	9%	19%	16%	20%	20%	14%	19%	21%	12%	20%	22%	14%
6		20%	23%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	17%	18%	22%	23%	20%	23%	21%	4%	19%	22%
7. Extremely involved		38%	33%	38%	40%	39%	26%	39%	21%	43%	31%	33%	44%	32%	32%	41%	40%	33%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.01)		(.01)		-			(.01)				.01	5
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
	Mean:	5.62	5.42	5.68	5.63	5.67	4.78	5.70	4.42	5.78	5.43	5.43	5.81	5.55	5.20	5.00	5.74	5.36
	Std dev:	1.45	1.58	1.35	1.50	1.39	2.02	1.35	2.17	1.38	1.48	1.56	1.35	1.29	1.83	2.17	1.32	1.67
	Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.05	.05	.01	.01	.01	.05	-	.01	-	-	.10	.05	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 41

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 329

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The tobacco industry

Youth Cases

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
INVOS																					
WEIGHTED TOTAL:		600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39	
Unweighted n:		600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41	
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		13%	11%	10%	12%	19%	8%	11%	14%	12%	19%	12%	14%	6%	10%	19%	11%	23%	9%	15%	
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		25%	25%	23%	29%	25%	26%	29%	27%	24%	28%	25%	26%	23%	26%	12%	26%	28%	25%	32%	
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		60%	63%	64%	54%	55%	65%	61%	57%	62%	53%	62%	58%	71%	64%	68%	63%	48%	66%	51%	
1. Not at all involved		7%	4%	4%	4%	11%	6%	7%	10%	5%	11%	7%	8%	2%	10%	12%	8%	10%	9%	8%	
2		6%	7%	6%	8%	8%	2%	3%	5%	7%	8%	5%	6%	4%	0%	7%	3%	13%	0%	7%	
3		4%	4%	4%	13%	4%	2%	6%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	10%	6%	4%	5%	0%	6%	
4. Somewhat involved		14%	16%	12%	12%	12%	17%	16%	15%	13%	20%	12%	14%	12%	16%	5%	21%	13%	17%	15%	
5		7%	5%	7%	3%	9%	7%	7%	8%	7%	3%	8%	8%	5%	0%	1%	1%	9%	8%	11%	
6		14%	11%	10%	6%	18%	15%	11%	16%	13%	14%	14%	15%	13%	13%	22%	11%	11%	26%	12%	
7. Extremely involved		46%	52%	54%	48%	37%	50%	50%	42%	50%	39%	47%	44%	58%	51%	46%	53%	37%	40%	39%	
DK/NR		1%	1%	3%	5%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	
Chi-Squared:			-						-		.10					.10					
+/- points around 50%:		4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15	
Mean:		5.36	5.55	5.65	5.26	5.05	5.65	5.42	5.23	5.49	4.95	5.47	5.31	5.85	5.40	5.31	5.47	4.81	5.53	5.08	
Std dev:		1.98	1.89	1.86	2.05	2.13	1.78	1.97	2.03	1.93	2.14	1.93	2.00	1.67	2.07	2.21	1.98	2.20	1.81	2.05	
Student's t:		+	-	-	-	.01	.05	-	-	-	.05	.05	.10	.05	-	-	-	.10	-	-	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 42

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 330

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The tobacco industry

Youth Cases

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INVOS											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
	Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		13%	17%	12%	14%	13%	15%	12%	13%	17%	12%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		25%	27%	25%	27%	24%	26%	21%	26%	30%	24%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		60%	54%	62%	58%	61%	59%	62%	60%	51%	63%
1. Not at all involved		7%	9%	7%	9%	6%	9%	4%	7%	7%	8%
2		6%	8%	5%	5%	6%	6%	8%	6%	10%	4%
3		4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	8%	5%	4%	4%	5%
4. Somewhat involved		14%	18%	12%	15%	13%	11%	9%	15%	21%	12%
5		7%	5%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	8%
6		14%	16%	14%	14%	14%	14%	10%	14%	12%	15%
7. Extremely involved		46%	38%	48%	44%	47%	45%	52%	46%	38%	48%
DK/NR		1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-		-		-			.05	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5
	Mean:	5.36	5.06	5.45	5.28	5.43	5.24	5.57	5.38	5.02	5.47
	Std dev:	1.98	2.07	1.95	2.02	1.95	2.09	1.95	1.96	2.05	1.95
	Student's t:		.05	.05	-	-	-	-	-	.05	.05

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 43

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 331

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
The tobacco industry

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increas	Same	Decreas	Increas	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV05																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)		13%	24%	11%	14%	13%	16%	13%	23%	13%	10%	20%	10%	12%	31%	25%	12%	18%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)		25%	31%	27%	24%	25%	38%	26%	23%	24%	29%	26%	23%	29%	31%	19%	25%	27%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)		60%	43%	61%	61%	61%	46%	60%	52%	62%	58%	55%	65%	59%	38%	52%	62%	55%
1. Not at all involved		7%	15%	6%	8%	8%	8%	7%	13%	8%	6%	11%	6%	6%	18%	15%	7%	10%
2		6%	8%	6%	6%	6%	8%	6%	10%	5%	4%	9%	4%	6%	13%	10%	5%	8%
3		4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	0%	4%	4%	8%	3%	6%	4%	0%	4%	5%
4. Somewhat involved		14%	20%	14%	12%	13%	32%	14%	18%	13%	17%	12%	12%	17%	15%	10%	13%	15%
5		7%	6%	9%	6%	7%	3%	7%	5%	7%	8%	6%	8%	6%	11%	8%	8%	7%
6		14%	11%	12%	16%	15%	7%	15%	7%	15%	15%	11%	13%	15%	18%	11%	13%	16%
7. Extremely involved		46%	32%	49%	46%	46%	39%	46%	44%	47%	43%	44%	52%	44%	19%	41%	49%	38%
DK/NR		1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	0%	4%	2%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		.10			-		-		-			(.01)				.10	
+/-	points around 50%:	14	4	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
	Mean:	5.36	4.58	5.48	5.34	5.38	4.91	5.38	4.96	5.42	5.41	5.01	5.63	5.32	4.20	4.90	5.49	5.04
	Std dev:	1.98	2.25	1.90	2.02	1.98	2.05	1.96	2.31	1.98	1.86	2.21	1.88	1.92	2.21	2.36	1.93	2.10
	Student's t:	*	.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10	.01	-	.01	-	.05	.05

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 44

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 332

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

Youth Cases

	Region								Gender		Smoker		Education			Income			
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV06																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	5%	1%	1%	8%	5%	8%	3%	6%	5%	10%	4%	4%	11%	7%	11%	2%	3%	5%	7%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	43%	40%	42%	42%	42%	47%	42%	40%	46%	46%	42%	43%	35%	44%	34%	45%	52%	46%	50%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	51%	59%	56%	50%	53%	42%	56%	53%	50%	44%	53%	51%	51%	49%	53%	52%	45%	49%	42%
1. Not at all involved	3%	0%	1%	2%	4%	4%	0%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	0%	3%	5%	5%
2	3%	1%	0%	6%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	7%	2%	2%	7%	3%	8%	2%	0%	0%	2%
3	4%	7%	1%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	8%	4%	4%	4%	3%	1%	5%	9%	2%	14%
4. Somewhat involved	16%	10%	17%	9%	15%	21%	19%	14%	18%	20%	15%	15%	19%	19%	20%	16%	16%	24%	25%
5	22%	22%	24%	29%	23%	20%	19%	21%	23%	18%	23%	24%	12%	22%	13%	24%	26%	20%	12%
6	22%	27%	19%	26%	26%	14%	24%	25%	19%	21%	22%	21%	31%	20%	21%	16%	16%	38%	18%
7. Extremely involved	29%	32%	38%	24%	27%	28%	31%	28%	30%	24%	30%	30%	20%	30%	32%	36%	29%	11%	24%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-						-	.05		-		-						
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15
Mean:	5.38	5.64	5.69	5.28	5.37	5.09	5.52	5.37	5.38	5.01	5.47	5.42	5.08	5.31	5.27	5.57	5.28	5.14	4.88
Std dev:	1.50	1.29	1.30	1.53	1.50	1.68	1.36	1.53	1.47	1.67	1.44	1.47	1.69	1.58	1.73	1.36	1.51	1.38	1.73
Student's t:	*	.10	.05	-	-	.05	-	-	-	.05	.05	-	-	-	-	.05	-	-	.10

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 45

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 333

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural Urban
INV06									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140 460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140 460
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	5%	2%	6%	6%	5%	3%	5%	5%	6%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	43%	44%	42%	45%	41%	38%	33%	46%	47%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	51%	53%	51%	48%	53%	59%	62%	48%	47%
1. Not at all involved	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%
2	3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	0%	3%	3%	5%
3	4%	3%	5%	6%	3%	3%	4%	5%	7%
4. Somewhat involved	16%	20%	15%	17%	15%	11%	8%	19%	18%
5	22%	21%	23%	22%	23%	24%	21%	22%	22%
6	22%	22%	22%	20%	23%	22%	20%	22%	19%
7. Extremely involved	29%	31%	28%	28%	30%	37%	42%	26%	28%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8
Mean:	5.38	5.52	5.33	5.26	5.47	5.66	5.72	5.27	5.24
Std dev:	1.50	1.35	1.54	1.57	1.44	1.42	1.49	1.50	1.53
Student's t:	*	-	-	.10	.10	.05	-	.01	-

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 46

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 334

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Your local or regional government, such as the health board

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
INVOLVED																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
NOT INVOLVED (1-2)		5%	11%	4%	4%	4%	14%	4%	25%	4%	6%	5%	3%	4%	12%	21%	2%	12%
																		++
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3-5)		43%	46%	40%	46%	44%	31%	43%	47%	42%	49%	34%	34%	51%	61%	38%	43%	42%
											++	---	---	+++	++			
VERY INVOLVED (6-7)		51%	38%	55%	49%	51%	55%	53%	28%	53%	44%	60%	63%	44%	27%	38%	54%	45%
			-	+							--	+	+++	---	---	+	-	
1. Not at all involved		3%	8%	2%	3%	2%	8%	2%	13%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	6%	7%	2%	5%
2		3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	12%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	6%	14%	1%	7%
3		4%	6%	6%	3%	4%	9%	4%	17%	5%	6%	1%	2%	6%	10%	6%	4%	7%
4. Somewhat involved		16%	13%	16%	16%	17%	5%	16%	17%	16%	19%	11%	13%	20%	24%	10%	14%	19%
5		22%	27%	18%	26%	23%	17%	23%	13%	21%	24%	21%	20%	25%	27%	21%	24%	16%
6		22%	16%	26%	20%	23%	13%	23%	5%	19%	20%	35%	23%	28%	4%	4%	24%	20%
7. Extremely involved		29%	22%	29%	30%	28%	42%	29%	23%	34%	23%	25%	40%	16%	22%	34%	31%	25%
DK/NR		1%	5%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		-			(.05)		(.01)		(.10)			(.01)					.01
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
	Mean:	5.38	4.95	5.42	5.40	5.39	5.24	5.46	4.11	5.47	5.14	5.56	5.76	5.16	4.62	4.79	5.54	4.98
	Std dev:	1.50	1.77	1.48	1.46	1.46	2.02	1.42	2.08	1.51	1.55	1.34	1.37	1.36	1.74	2.08	1.35	1.76
	Student's t:	*	.10	-	-	-	-	.01	.01	-	.01	-	.01	.01	.01	.10	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 47

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 335

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Canadians themselves

Youth Cases

	Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
INV07																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	5%	2%	3%	11%	5%	7%	10%	7%	4%	13%	3%	6%	3%	6%	15%	2%	4%	5%	6%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	37%	45%	33%	48%	42%	25%	30%	39%	35%	35%	38%	37%	33%	48%	34%	32%	44%	41%	59%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	57%	53%	63%	41%	53%	68%	57%	54%	60%	51%	59%	57%	63%	46%	52%	67%	52%	54%	34%
1. Not at all involved	3%	0%	3%	11%	1%	4%	5%	4%	2%	8%	2%	3%	3%	3%	8%	0%	1%	2%	4%
2	3%	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	6%	2%	3%	0%	3%	7%	2%	2%	3%	2%
3	4%	4%	3%	0%	5%	4%	7%	5%	4%	1%	5%	4%	5%	6%	8%	0%	7%	0%	11%
4. Somewhat involved	16%	17%	17%	24%	18%	9%	14%	16%	16%	20%	15%	16%	10%	27%	12%	20%	17%	17%	27%
5	17%	25%	13%	24%	19%	12%	10%	19%	16%	13%	18%	17%	18%	15%	14%	12%	21%	25%	21%
6	19%	16%	22%	19%	25%	13%	17%	19%	20%	19%	20%	21%	11%	15%	23%	28%	16%	25%	16%
7. Extremely involved	38%	36%	42%	22%	28%	56%	40%	35%	40%	32%	39%	37%	52%	31%	29%	38%	36%	29%	19%
DK/NR	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						-		.01					(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15
Mean:	5.52	5.58	5.72	4.95	5.39	5.83	5.37	5.40	5.64	5.13	5.62	5.51	5.81	5.19	5.00	5.80	5.46	5.49	4.80
Std dev:	1.56	1.34	1.48	1.78	1.45	1.67	1.85	1.64	1.48	1.87	1.46	1.56	1.56	1.64	1.94	1.25	1.51	1.41	1.58
Student's t:	*	-	-	.05	.10	.05	-	.10	.10	.05	.05	-	-	-	.10	.01	-	-	.05

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 48

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 336

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Canadians themselves

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INV07										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	5%	3%	6%	8%	4%	4%	2%	6%	7%	5%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	37%	41%	36%	44%	32%	40%	41%	36%	48%	34%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	57%	56%	58%	48%	64%	55%	58%	45%	45%	61%
1. Not at all involved	3%	3%	3%	5%	1%	4%	0%	3%	4%	2%
2	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	0%	2%	4%	3%	3%
3	4%	2%	5%	2%	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	4%
4. Somewhat involved	16%	22%	14%	19%	14%	19%	18%	15%	21%	14%
5	17%	17%	17%	22%	13%	18%	18%	16%	23%	15%
6	19%	22%	19%	17%	21%	20%	18%	19%	15%	21%
7. Extremely involved	38%	33%	39%	32%	42%	36%	37%	39%	30%	40%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-		.01		-		.01		
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5
Mean:	5.52	5.51	5.52	5.29	5.69	5.50	5.59	5.51	5.19	5.62
Std dev:	1.56	1.45	1.60	1.63	1.49	1.54	1.40	1.60	1.63	1.53
Student's t:	*	-	-	.01	.01	-	-	-	.01	.01

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 49

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 337

How involved ... should be in reducing health risks of smoking and SHS
Canadians themselves

Youth Cases

	Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
	TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INV07																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	408	172
Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
NOT INVOLVED (1 2)	5%	2%	5%	7%	5%	11%	4%	22%	5%	6%	7%	3%	3%	18%	26%	3%	12%
SOMEWHAT INVOLVED (3 5)	37%	51%	39%	33%	36%	53%	37%	45%	32%	43%	40%	31%	46%	39%	27%	40%	32%
VERY INVOLVED (6 7)	57%	47%	56%	59%	58%	35%	59%	33%	63%	52%	53%	66%	51%	40%	47%	57%	56%
1. Not at all involved	3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	14%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	6%	17%	2%	6%
2	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	6%	2%	8%	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	11%	9%	1%	6%
3	4%	8%	4%	4%	4%	10%	4%	4%	2%	4%	9%	3%	6%	4%	0%	5%	2%
4. Somewhat involved	16%	22%	17%	14%	15%	24%	15%	22%	15%	21%	11%	13%	18%	19%	20%	16%	16%
5	17%	22%	18%	15%	17%	19%	17%	20%	15%	18%	21%	15%	22%	16%	8%	19%	13%
6	19%	27%	19%	15%	20%	10%	20%	12%	19%	22%	16%	22%	16%	20%	18%	18%	21%
7. Extremely involved	38%	19%	37%	40%	38%	25%	39%	21%	44%	29%	37%	44%	35%	20%	29%	39%	34%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.10)			(.05)		(.01)		-			(.01)				.01	
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
Mean:	5.52	5.22	5.50	5.52	5.56	4.77	5.58	4.43	5.71	5.33	5.38	5.81	5.45	4.73	4.60	5.60	5.28
Std dev:	1.56	1.32	1.55	1.65	1.54	1.79	1.51	2.02	1.52	1.52	1.69	1.39	1.46	1.87	2.25	1.46	1.82
Student's t:	*	-	-	-	.05	.05	.01	.01	.01	.05	-	.01	-	.01	.05	.05	.05

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 50

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 338

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

Youth Cases

	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP1																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	300	35	34	19	108	75	29	152	148	64	236	256	26	16	35	56	20	20	25
Unweighted n:	301	35	39	26	105	55	41	149	152	40	261	258	23	18	32	54	23	19	25
The federal government	43%	42%	48%	43%	42%	44%	38%	49%	37%	37%	45%	43%	38%	47%	40%	50%	63%	54%	
Your provincial gov't	11%	11%	5%	11%	8%	19%	9%	11%	12%	10%	12%	10%	25%	11%	10%	19%	20%	6%	11%
Your local/regional gov	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	6%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	11%	2%	4%	7%	11%	8%	8%	7%	10%	7%	6%	21%	5%	12%	11%	0%	10%	3%
Health care professionals	8%	5%	16%	4%	12%	2%	8%	7%	10%	11%	8%	8%	3%	16%	11%	8%	13%	9%	13%
The tobacco industry	20%	25%	22%	11%	20%	17%	21%	17%	22%	18%	20%	21%	8%	10%	15%	19%	13%	4%	9%
Canadians themselves	5%	3%	4%	14%	5%	3%	6%	3%	6%	7%	4%	5%	0%	7%	3%	2%	0%	8%	5%
DK/NR	4%	3%	2%	10%	2%	4%	6%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	0%	3%	0%	2%	3%	0%	5%
Chi-Squared:		-						-				(.10)							
+/- points around 50%:	6	17	16	19	10	13	15	8	8	15	6	6	20	23	17	13	20	22	20

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 51

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 339

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP1										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	300	72	229	129	168	69	28	201	66	234
Unweighted n:	301	75	226	128	170	71	28	199	66	235
The federal government	43%	36%	45%	51%	37%	40%	30%	45%	41%	44%
Your provincial gov't	11%	13%	11%	14%	9%	6%	9%	14%	10%	12%
Your local/regional gov	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	5%	3%	1%	0%	2%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	10%	7%	5%	10%	2%	8%	9%	7%	8%
Health care professionals	8%	7%	9%	8%	9%	11%	7%	8%	8%	8%
The tobacco industry	20%	29%	17%	17%	22%	26%	17%	18%	18%	20%
Canadians themselves	5%	4%	5%	3%	6%	5%	19%	3%	10%	3%
DK/NR	4%	1%	4%	1%	5%	5%	7%	2%	5%	3%
Chi-Squared:				(.05)		(.05)				
+/- points around 50%:	6	11	7	9	8	12	19	7	12	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 52

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 340

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers. This could be through research to find ways of reducing nicotine content in cigarettes, for example.

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increase	Same	Decrease	Increase	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP1	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	300	24	129	133	285	16	283	16	145	99	46	140	113	19	26	194	94
	Unweighted n:	301	25	123	138	287	14	287	13	148	97	47	147	114	16	21	204	85
	The federal government	43%	45%	47%	38%	44%	26%	42%	49%	35%	50%	49%	49%	41%	18%	40	46%	39%
	Your provincial gov't	11%	17%	13%	10%	11%	12%	12%	0%	15%	12%	1%	11%	11%	13%	12%	12%	8%
	Your local/regional gov	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	6%	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	0%	6%	11%	8%	6%	8%	6%	11%	4%	5%	5%	8%	31%	0%	5%	13%
	Health care professionals	8%	7%	7%	11%	9%	0%	9%	0%	11%	6%	5%	10%	7%	10%	4%	7%	10%
	The tobacco industry	20%	16%	19%	20%	19%	34%	20%	9%	20%	21%	18%	16%	25%	22%	15%	22%	18%
	Canadians themselves	5%	9%	4%	4%	4%	13%	4%	22%	4%	5%	6%	4%	1%	6%	25%	2%	10%
	DK/NR	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	8%	3%	8%	3%	2%	10%	3%	5%	0%	5%	4%	1%
Chi-Squared:			-			-		(.05)		(.05)		+++	(.01)				(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:		6	20	9	8	6	26	6	27	8	10	14	8	9	25	21	7	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 53

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 341

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

Youth Cases

	Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP1B																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	289	34	33	17	106	72	27	146	144	61	228	245	26	16	35	55	19	19	24
Unweighted n:	289	34	38	23	103	53	38	141	148	39	251	247	23	17	32	53	22	18	24
The federal government	24%	31%	22%	29%	22%	21%	26%	17%	30%	13%	26%	23%	32%	17%	7%	30%	17%	11%	23%
Your provincial gov't	36%	28%	46%	32%	42%	32%	21%	37%	34%	42%	34%	35%	22%	60%	49%	32%	36%	39%	42%
Your local/regional gov	13%	14%	12%	17%	11%	16%	13%	13%	13%	11%	14%	13%	14%	11%	15%	20%	4%	0%	7%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	15%	14%	17%	29%	13%	12%	18%	16%	14%	11%	16%	14%	16%	25%	20%	14%	16%	14%	5%
Health care profesionls	14%	14%	15%	21%	13%	14%	13%	12%	16%	6%	16%	15%	7%	5%	14%	19%	3%	11%	11%
The tobacco industry	23%	22%	29%	30%	24%	17%	26%	24%	23%	18%	24%	24%	18%	27%	22%	24%	31%	40%	19%
Canadians themselves	17%	6%	14%	29%	15%	23%	14%	16%	17%	18%	16%	17%	17%	15%	19%	15%	8%	11%	7%
DK/NR	11%	9%	3%	4%	12%	11%	20%	16%	5%	12%	10%	10%	20%	0%	8%	7%	19%	5%	22%
Chi-Squared:								+	+++	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
+/- points around 50%:	6	17	16	20	10	13	16	8	8	16	6	6	20	24	17	13	21	23	20

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 54

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 342

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

Youth Cases

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP1B											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	289	71	218	127	160	66	26	196	63	226
	Unweighted n:	289	74	215	125	161	67	26	193	63	226
	The federal government	24%	32%	21%	21%	26%	25%	29%	24%	24%	24%
	Your provincial gov't	36%	32%	37%	42%	30%	45%	35%	32%	36%	35%
	Your local/regional gov	13%	17%	12%	15%	12%	11%	6%	15%	12%	14%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	15%	18%	14%	12%	18%	22%	21%	12%	6%	17%
	Health care profesionls	14%	17%	13%	8%	18%	14%	14%	14%	17%	13%
	The tobacco industry	23%	21%	24%	23%	24%	24%	19%	24%	19%	24%
	Canadians themselves	17%	18%	16%	9%	23%	16%	11%	18%	16%	17%
	DK/NR	11%	11%	10%	13%	8%	6%	11%	12%	10%	11%
	Chi-Squared:		-		.01		-			-	
	+/- points around 50%:	6	11	7	9	8	12	19	7	12	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 55

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 343

Who else do you think should have responsibility for regulating the manufacturing of tobacco products to reduce harm to smokers.

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP1B																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	289	24	125	128	275	14	274	14	141	98	41	136	108	19	24	187	92
	Unweighted n:	289	24	120	132	277	12	277	11	142	95	43	142	109	16	19	196	83
The federal government		24%	27%	20%	26%	24%	22%	24%	13%	28%	23%	14%	26%	25%	10%	12%	29%	14%
Your provincial gov't		36%	37%	38%	33%	36%	18%	35%	44%	37%	36%	34%	37%	35%	30%	38%	36%	37%
Your local/regional gov		13%	12%	12%	15%	13%	18%	13%	15%	11%	22%	5%	13%	14%	17%	12%	13%	15%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		15%	9%	17%	14%	15%	7%	15%	22%	13%	17%	13%	17%	15%	12%	7%	15%	16%
Health care professionals		14%	12%	15%	14%	14%	19%	14%	22%	16%	15%	11%	13%	17%	17%	5%	15%	14%
The tobacco industry		23%	15%	22%	25%	24%	0%	23%	25%	20%	25%	27%	22%	26%	9%	25%	24%	20%
Canadians themselves		17%	8%	21%	15%	16%	29%	16%	22%	18%	18%	11%	14%	21%	24%	13%	17%	18%
DK/NR		11%	17%	11%	9%	10%	20%	9%	39%	9%	11%	14%	9%	8%	16%	27%	10%	10%
	Chi-Squared:		-			-		(.05)		-			-			-		
	+/- points around 50%:	6	20	9	9	6	28	6	30	8	10	15	8	9	25	22	7	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 56

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 344

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP2																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
	The federal government	16%	10%	16%	21%	16%	16%	25%	19%	13%	12%	17%	16%	17%	22%	11%	18%	15%	17%	7%
	Your provincial gov't	18%	22%	14%	15%	18%	19%	16%	18%	18%	19%	18%	18%	21%	20%	13%	24%	17%	19%	17%
	Your local/regional gov	13%	14%	13%	9%	15%	13%	5%	13%	13%	9%	14%	13%	11%	14%	15%	9%	17%	12%	10%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	6%	4%	8%	6%	7%	7%	5%	8%	5%	10%	6%	6%	5%	6%	14%	4%	4%	6%	8%
	Health care professionals	9%	2%	7%	5%	11%	11%	9%	7%	11%	12%	8%	9%	12%	10%	7%	10%	10%	11%	15%
	The tobacco industry	9%	17%	12%	13%	9%	5%	9%	9%	10%	10%	9%	10%	2%	11%	8%	10%	8%	9%	13%
	Canadians themselves	26%	29%	25%	30%	23%	25%	27%	25%	26%	24%	26%	26%	28%	14%	29%	23%	25%	24%	31%
	DK/NR	2%	2%	4%	0%	1%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-						-		-		-			-				
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 57

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 345

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Youth Cases

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP2											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
	Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
The federal government		16%	12%	17%	18%	15%	16%	20%	16%	16%	16%
Your provincial gov't		18%	18%	18%	14%	21%	20%	21%	17%	20%	17%
Your local/regional gov		13%	14%	13%	--	++	14%	12%	13%	14%	13%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		6%	7%	6%	7%	6%	9%	8%	5%	6%	7%
Health care professionals		9%	9%	9%	10%	8%	3%	5%	11%	9%	9%
The tobacco industry		9%	8%	10%	10%	9%	13%	15%	9%	11%	9%
Canadians themselves		26%	31%	24%	27%	25%	22%	16%	28%	23%	26%
DK/NR		2%	0%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%
	Chi-Squared:		-		-		-		-		
	+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 58

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 346

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP2																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
	The federal government	16%	19%	15%	17%	17%	5%	17%	0%	17%	18%	11%	19%	14%	16%	8%	16%	15%
	Your provincial gov't	18%	25%	22%	14%	19%	6%	18%	20%	16%	19%	26%	17%	22%	8%	12%	20%	15%
	Your local/regional gov	13%	17%	18%	10%	13%	20%	13%	19%	13%	11%	17%	14%	11%	13%	14%	15%	10%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	6%	8%	5%	6%	7%	3%	6%	14%	7%	4%	10%	6%	9%	6%	0%	7%	5%
	Health care professionals	9%	10%	9%	9%	9%	5%	9%	13%	9%	10%	8%	9%	9%	9%	12%	7%	12%
	The tobacco industry	9%	7%	8%	11%	9%	13%	10%	4%	9%	12%	7%	9%	11%	9%	8%	8%	12%
	Canadians themselves	26%	12%	22%	29%	24%	47%	26%	28%	27%	25%	21%	26%	22%	31%	44%	24%	27%
	DK/NR	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	0%	2%	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	3%	8%	2%	2%	4%
	Chi-Squared:		(.05)			(.05)		(.10)		++			--		8%	2%	2%	4%
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 59

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 347

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP2B																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	583	79	56	40	224	140	45	287	296	117	466	495	57	28	68	91	71	32	39
Unweighted n:	583	76	63	52	226	103	63	283	300	72	511	503	48	29	61	88	74	31	41
The federal government	24%	33%	29%	33%	23%	18%	22%	24%	24%	20%	25%	25%	15%	32%	14%	24%	30%	23%	25%
		++								---	---								
Your provincial gov't	29%	27%	30%	38%	29%	27%	29%	31%	27%	16%	32%	28%	32%	42%	25%	26%	35%	29%	34%
Your local/regional gov	22%	16%	29%	33%	26%	14%	19%	22%	22%	19%	23%	21%	18%	35%	17%	26%	19%	15%	25%
				++	+	---													
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	19%	23%	24%	21%	19%	12%	20%	19%	18%	18%	19%	18%	20%	26%	22%	22%	23%	15%	17%
Health care professionals	23%	15%	24%	39%	26%	19%	20%	20%	26%	28%	22%	24%	16%	27%	34%	16%	26%	22%	25%
				+++											++				
The tobacco industry	15%	21%	11%	15%	16%	10%	20%	15%	15%	19%	14%	15%	8%	32%	14%	16%	17%	17%	9%
Canadians themselves	25%	27%	22%	28%	26%	22%	32%	27%	24%	24%	26%	25%	28%	34%	17%	28%	32%	16%	28%
DK/NR	11%	9%	6%	7%	7%	18%	19%	10%	11%	20%	8%	11%	15%	0%	7%	14%	11%	3%	15%
					--	+++	++			+++	---								
Chi-Squared:		(.05)						-		.01		(.10)			-				
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	14	7	10	12	6	6	12	4	4	14	18	13	10	11	18	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 60

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 348

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP2B										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	583	136	447	237	342	130	46	400	139	443
Unweighted n:	583	142	441	231	346	132	48	396	139	444
The federal government	24%	24%	24%	27%	23%	30%	28%	23%	23%	25%
Your provincial gov't	29%	31%	28%	29%	29%	27%	30%	29%	28%	30%
Your local/regional gov	22%	23%	21%	23%	21%	24%	19%	22%	19%	23%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	19%	20%	18%	20%	18%	16%	12%	21%	14%	20%
Health care professionals	23%	20%	24%	24%	23%	21%	12%	25%	24%	23%
The tobacco industry	15%	13%	16%	16%	15%	17%	15%	15%	12%	16%
Canadians themselves	25%	20%	27%	27%	24%	24%	31%	25%	28%	24%
DK/NR	11%	13%	10%	12%	9%	6%	7%	11%	15%	9%
								+	+	-
Chi-Squared:		-		-		-		+	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	9	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 61

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 349

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce the number of youth who take up smoking

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP2B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	583	48	232	266	548	32	547	33	286	181	96	279	225	40	36	400	165
Unweighted n:	583	50	228	271	552	28	554	26	287	179	98	288	223	37	32	416	150
The federal government	24%	20%	28%	24%	25%	18%	24%	26%	23%	29%	19%	27%	23%	20%	14%	27%	19%
Your provincial gov't	29%	30%	33%	27%	28%	43%	30%	17%	29%	32%	26%	32%	27%	31%	23%	33%	20%
Your local/regional gov	22%	34%	23%	19%	22%	18%	22%	18%	23%	20%	23%	24%	21%	23%	7%	23%	21%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	19%	21%	21%	18%	19%	12%	19%	16%	19%	22%	14%	20%	21%	10%	8%	19%	20%
Health care professionals	23%	19%	22%	25%	23%	29%	23%	26%	22%	25%	23%	21%	24%	39%	19%	22%	27%
The tobacco industry	15%	19%	16%	15%	16%	2%	15%	24%	18%	12%	14%	18%	13%	13%	8%	17%	12%
Canadians themselves	25%	26%	24%	27%	26%	16%	25%	23%	24%	28%	24%	23%	30%	19%	22%	26%	24%
DK/NR	11%	11%	10%	9%	10%	25%	10%	20%	10%	10%	14%	7%	13%	6%	27%	8%	15%
Chi-Squared:		-			(.05)	-	-	-	-	-	(.01)	-	-	-	-	.05	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	19	4	19	6	7	10	6	7	16	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 62

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 350

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP3																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	298	37	27	23	111	78	22	145	153	56	243	246	35	15	35	50	32	15	21
	Unweighted n:	301	37	31	31	111	57	34	144	157	33	268	253	30	16	29	50	32	15	22
	The federal government	56%	46%	69%	53%	56%	59%	55%	54%	59%	66%	54%	53%	72%	66%	56%	53%	47%	62%	67%
	Your provincial gov't	14%	18%	9%	12%	14%	15%	18%	12%	16%	12%	15%	15%	11%	14%	6%	15%	10%	21%	15%
	Your local/regional gov	8%	7%	6%	18%	11%	3%	5%	9%	7%	14%	7%	8%	8%	8%	9%	7%	17%	5%	9%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	0%	0%	6%	0%	3%	3%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Health care professionals	1%	3%	3%	3%	0%	1%	3%	0%	3%	0%	2%	1%	3%	6%	0%	2%	0%	6%	0%
	The tobacco industry	6%	5%	9%	6%	6%	8%	0%	7%	5%	0%	8%	7%	3%	6%	17%	4%	11%	0%	4%
	Canadians themselves	9%	16%	3%	0%	12%	5%	15%	10%	8%	0%	11%	10%	3%	0%	8%	12%	12%	6%	4%
	DK/NR	3%	5%	0%	3%	2%	7%	0%	5%	2%	7%	2%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-				+		-	-	(.01)	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	6	16	18	18	9	13	17	8	8	17	6	6	18	25	18	14	17	25	21

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 63

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 351

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP3										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	298	60	238	126	170	60	23	213	70	229
Unweighted n:	301	66	235	125	174	63	24	210	71	230
The federal government	56%	58%	56%	57%	55%	56%	22%	59%	47%	59%
Your provincial gov't	14%	9%	16%	13%	16%	16%	31%	13%	17%	14%
Your local/regional gov	8%	8%	8%	11%	6%	15%	11%	7%	10%	8%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	12%	1%	4%	1%
Health care professionals	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%	1%	2%
The tobacco industry	6%	3%	7%	5%	7%	2%	4%	7%	12%	4%
Canadians themselves	9%	15%	7%	7%	10%	9%	15%	9%	5%	10%
DK/NR	3%	5%	3%	5%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	(.01)	-	-	(.10)	-
+/- points around 50%:	6	12	6	9	7	12	20	7	12	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 64

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 352

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP3																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	298	24	104	151	285	12	287	10	152	89	50	136	120	23	18	203	85
Unweighted n:	301	25	105	152	290	10	292	7	154	89	51	142	121	21	16	217	75
The federal government	56%	63%	67%	49%	57%	33%	56%	68%	56%	64%	42%	56%	60%	48%	37%	58%	52%
Your provincial gov't	14%	17%	13%	15%	14%	15%	14%	20%	14%	11%	24%	13%	14%	21%	18%	15%	14%
Your local/regional gov	8%	4%	4%	12%	8%	0%	8%	0%	8%	6%	13%	8%	9%	11%	4%	5%	15%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	10%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%	8%	4%	1%	3%
Health care professionals	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	8%	0%	2%	1%
The tobacco industry	6%	8%	6%	6%	6%	17%	6%	13%	8%	2%	8%	6%	7%	0%	9%	6%	7%
Canadians themselves	9%	5%	7%	11%	9%	7%	9%	0%	10%	7%	7%	12%	5%	4%	16%	11%	3%
DK/NR	3%	0%	1%	2%	3%	17%	3%	0%	2%	5%	4%	3%	3%	0%	12%	3%	5%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.05)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.10)	-	-	-	(.05)	-
+/- points around 50%:	6	20	10	8	6	31	6	37	8	10	14	8	9	21	25	7	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 65

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 353

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Youth Cases

	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
	TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP3B																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	288	35	27	23	108	73	22	138	150	52	236	235	35	15	35	47	31	14	21
Unweighted n:	292	35	31	30	108	54	34	138	154	31	261	244	30	16	29	48	31	14	22
The federal government	19%	35%	15%	22%	19%	10%	18%	18%	19%	6%	21%	19%	15%	18%	6%	20%	22%	34%	18%
Your provincial gov't	47%	51%	55%	31%	44%	52%	45%	49%	45%	47%	44%	44%	61%	50%	50%	57%	49%	45%	47%
Your local/regional gov	16%	19%	27%	15%	16%	11%	11%	16%	15%	13%	16%	15%	14%	32%	12%	22%	15%	7%	22%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	3%	6%	6%	8%	10%	9%	9%	6%	6%	8%	7%	8%	16%	7%	16%	3%	7%	9%
Health care profesionis	6%	3%	9%	9%	7%	3%	11%	4%	8%	3%	7%	6%	5%	8%	0%	19%	3%	0%	0%
The tobacco industry	13%	16%	9%	9%	16%	8%	21%	12%	15%	11%	14%	14%	11%	14%	13%	17%	3%	15%	13%
Canadians themselves	18%	10%	18%	39%	18%	13%	24%	15%	20%	18%	18%	19%	12%	21%	16%	18%	17%	13%	15%
DK/NR	13%	5%	15%	15%	11%	18%	12%	13%	12%	20%	11%	13%	12%	11%	9%	6%	18%	14%	8%
Chi-Squared:																			
+/- points around 50%:	6	17	18	18	9	13	17	8	8	18	6	6	18	25	18	14	18	26	21

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 66

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 354

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Youth Cases

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP3B											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	288	57	230	119	167	58	23	204	67	221
	Unweighted n:	292	64	228	120	170	61	24	203	69	223
	The federal government	19%	25%	17%	17%	20%	15%	39%	17%	21%	18%
	Your provincial gov't	47%	42%	48%	47%	46%	44%	38%	48%	50%	46%
	Your local/regional gov	16%	16%	16%	17%	15%	13%	8%	17%	10%	17%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	10%	7%	6%	9%	9%	0%	8%	5%	8%
	Health care professionals	6%	4%	7%	6%	6%	8%	3%	6%	7%	6%
	The tobacco industry	13%	16%	13%	12%	14%	16%	18%	13%	12%	14%
	Canadians themselves	18%	21%	17%	19%	17%	23%	14%	17%	20%	17%
	DK/NR	13%	14%	13%	16%	11%	16%	8%	12%	13%	13%
	Chi-Squared:		-		-		-			-	
	+/- points around 50%:	6	12	6	9	8	13	20	7	12	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 67

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 355

Who else do you think should have responsibility to reduce smuggling of cigarettes

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP3B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	288	23	104	146	276	10	276	10	149	85	47	133	114	23	15	197	81
Unweighted n:	292	24	105	147	282	9	283	7	150	86	49	139	116	21	15	210	73
The federal government	19%	20%	19%	18%	18%	36%	19%	20%	18%	12%	34%	21%	15%	16%	26%	19%	17%
Your provincial gov't	47%	31%	57%	41%	48%	15%	47%	47%	44%	55%	41%	47%	50%	45%	21%	46%	48%
Your local/regional gov	16%	22%	17%	11%	16%	0%	16%	0%	14%	18%	19%	17%	14%	9%	26%	18%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	8%	15%	8%	6%	8%	0%	8%	0%	6%	8%	10%	7%	7%	14%	8%	8%	8%
Health care professionals	6%	4%	5%	7%	6%	0%	6%	0%	5%	9%	5%	8%	4%	7%	8%	7%	3%
The tobacco industry	13%	25%	11%	13%	14%	0%	14%	10%	18%	12%	3%	15%	13%	11%	8%	15%	11%
Canadians themselves	18%	4%	18%	21%	17%	37%	18%	21%	17%	17%	22%	15%	20%	20%	25%	18%	19%
DK/NR	13%	17%	10%	13%	13%	12%	13%	13%	11%	14%	14%	8%	18%	14%	15%	11%	15%
Chi-Squared:		-			-		-		-			-	++			-	
+/- points around 50%:	6	20	10	8	6	33	6	37	8	11	14	8	9	21	25	7	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 68

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 356

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP4																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	597	80	57	41	229	143	47	292	305	118	479	505	60	29	69	92	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	598	78	65	53	231	106	65	290	308	73	525	514	50	30	62	90	76	33	41
The federal government	22%	24%	24%	22%	19%	25%	20%	28%	16%	18%	23%	22%	20%	18%	19%	26%	16%	14%	17%
Your provincial gov't	21%	19%	18%	19%	20%	28%	20%	20%	22%	21%	21%	21%	25%	20%	24%	16%	22%	20%	23%
Your local/regional gov	13%	17%	14%	9%	18%	5%	9%	9%	17%	8%	14%	13%	13%	11%	8%	19%	13%	13%	13%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	17%	10%	15%	27%	20%	12%	18%	13%	20%	19%	16%	16%	19%	20%	18%	15%	23%	21%	17%
Health care professionals	11%	8%	13%	8%	11%	11%	12%	10%	11%	16%	9%	10%	17%	9%	16%	11%	7%	12%	14%
The tobacco industry	6%	13%	7%	7%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	8%	6%	7%	0%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%	2%
Canadians themselves	9%	8%	9%	8%	8%	8%	13%	10%	7%	6%	9%	9%	4%	15%	5%	6%	9%	11%	7%
DK/NR	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	2%	5%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	6%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)			---	++++		.01		(.05)									+++
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	10	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 69

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 357

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
RESP4									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	597	135	462	243	350	133	47	408	138
Unweighted n:	598	141	457	239	354	136	49	404	139
The federal government	22%	21%	22%	19%	24%	25%	14%	21%	16%
Your provincial gov't	21%	22%	21%	22%	21%	18%	23%	22%	24%
Your local/regional gov	13%	9%	14%	13%	13%	18%	12%	14%	13%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	17%	14%	17%	18%	16%	15%	13%	18%	18%
Health care profesionis	11%	15%	9%	9%	12%	10%	3%	11%	10%
The tobacco industry	6%	6%	6%	9%	5%	8%	18%	5%	9%
Canadians themselves	9%	13%	7%	8%	9%	9%	9%	8%	11%
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 70

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 358

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP4																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	597	49	235	277	560	32	560	35	298	182	97	282	232	44	35	408	170
Unweighted n:	598	51	231	281	565	29	567	28	298	181	99	291	230	40	33	425	155
The federal government	22%	23%	24%	20%	22%	17%	22%	12%	24%	24%	11%	24%	21%	20%	8%	23%	20%
Your provincial gov't	21%	18%	25%	19%	22%	18%	21%	23%	21%	20%	26%	22%	23%	11%	19%	22%	18%
Your local/regional gov	13%	20%	11%	15%	14%	3%	13%	18%	11%	12%	19%	13%	13%	18%	12%	13%	13%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	17%	15%	15%	18%	16%	24%	16%	19%	16%	16%	18%	15%	19%	12%	18%	16%	19%
Health care professionals	11%	12%	9%	12%	10%	19%	10%	20%	11%	11%	10%	11%	8%	19%	16%	10%	11%
The tobacco industry	6%	3%	5%	7%	6%	3%	6%	4%	7%	3%	7%	7%	6%	5%	7%	5%	8%
Canadians themselves	9%	7%	8%	9%	8%	16%	9%	4%	8%	11%	6%	8%	7%	11%	20%	8%	9%
DK/NR	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	0%	2%	3%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 71

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 359

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		-----						-----		-----		-----			-----					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP4B																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	588	79	58	40	229	137	45	287	301	117	472	498	59	29	69	94	73	33	39
	Unweighted n:	590	77	66	52	231	101	63	285	305	72	518	507	49	30	62	91	75	32	40
The federal government		23%	25%	23%	27%	23%	22%	23%	26%	21%	25%	23%	23%	25%	24%	21%	30%	29%	8%	21%
Your provincial gov't		31%	32%	37%	40%	31%	24%	31%	29%	33%	30%	31%	31%	22%	42%	26%	29%	27%	30%	28%
Your local/regional gov		24%	17%	32%	26%	26%	20%	23%	26%	21%	25%	23%	24%	13%	31%	24%	10%	27%	16%	36%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		26%	29%	31%	21%	26%	23%	25%	25%	27%	25%	26%	25%	32%	33%	20%	27%	28%	11%	34%
Health care professionals		22%	23%	22%	22%	20%	26%	19%	19%	25%	20%	23%	22%	22%	24%	15%	22%	27%	29%	30%
The tobacco industry		11%	14%	12%	20%	10%	8%	9%	11%	11%	12%	11%	11%	11%	16%	4%	11%	11%	9%	16%
Canadians themselves		22%	17%	25%	26%	24%	18%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	15%	26%	20%	26%	20%	23%	31%
DK/NR		7%	5%	4%	7%	7%	6%	12%	7%	7%	11%	6%	6%	8%	9%	9%	10%	3%	3%	6%
	Chi-Squared:		-								-			-		-				
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	14	6	10	12	6	6	12	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 72

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 360

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	Rural	Urban
RSP4B									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	588	134	455	238	347	132	47	137	451
Unweighted n:	590	140	450	234	351	135	49	138	452
The federal government	23%	27%	22%	26%	22%	23%	25%	24%	21%
Your provincial gov't	31%	30%	31%	29%	32%	39%	21%	29%	30%
Your local/regional gov	24%	20%	25%	27%	22%	24%	28%	23%	25%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	26%	28%	25%	26%	25%	22%	20%	27%	26%
Health care professionals	22%	19%	23%	19%	24%	21%	12%	24%	22%
The tobacco industry	11%	8%	12%	10%	12%	10%	11%	12%	11%
Canadians themselves	22%	23%	21%	24%	20%	23%	26%	20%	22%
DK/NR	7%	6%	7%	9%	5%	9%	8%	10%	6%
Chi-Squared:									
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 73

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 361

Who else do you think should have responsibility for programs and activities to reduce the number of smokers, like programs to help smokers quit.

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP4B																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	588	48	232	274	554	32	552	34	292	182	96	281	227	42	37	403	167
	Unweighted n:	590	50	228	278	559	28	560	27	293	180	97	290	226	38	33	421	151
	The federal government	23%	26%	26%	23%	24%	22%	23%	25%	24%	23%	23%	25%	24%	11%	24%	25%	20%
	Your provincial gov't	31%	32%	30%	32%	31%	37%	31%	28%	27%	38%	30%	30%	33%	31%	22%	33%	26%
	Your local/regional gov	24%	30%	24%	21%	24%	25%	24%	25%	25%	22%	24%	26%	21%	29%	21%	24%	23%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	26%	22%	28%	24%	26%	24%	26%	17%	22%	31%	28%	22%	32%	32%	10%	27%	23%
	Health care professionals	22%	18%	22%	22%	22%	17%	23%	14%	23%	22%	22%	23%	25%	8%	16%	23%	19%
	The tobacco industry	11%	10%	9%	13%	12%	4%	11%	14%	10%	15%	6%	13%	9%	9%	9%	11%	10%
	Canadians themselves	22%	19%	20%	23%	22%	20%	22%	12%	24%	19%	20%	24%	21%	18%	14%	23%	19%
	DK/NR	7%	8%	6%	6%	7%	11%	6%	16%	7%	6%	4%	5%	13%	25%	5%	10%	10%
	Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.01)	-	-	-	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	19	4	19	6	7	10	6	7	16	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 74

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 362

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker	Education			Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem		HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
RESP5																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
The federal government	30%	28%	24%	11%	32%	33%	39%	31%	29%	29%	30%	29%	33%	37%	24%	32%	34%	38%	24%
Your provincial gov't	27%	26%	31%	43%	24%	26%	27%	25%	30%	21%	29%	27%	29%	38%	34%	23%	27%	16%	46%
Your local/regional gov	13%	14%	17%	15%	14%	12%	7%	13%	14%	16%	13%	14%	7%	11%	10%	17%	12%	20%	9%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	2%	0%	2%	2%	4%	9%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	5%	3%	0%	8%
Health care professionals	2%	1%	6%	2%	2%	4%	0%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	0%	5%	1%	1%	3%	2%
The tobacco industry	4%	7%	1%	2%	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	9%	3%	5%	5%	0%	12%	5%	3%	9%	0%
Canadians themselves	18%	21%	19%	24%	19%	14%	8%	20%	16%	19%	17%	18%	20%	8%	11%	18%	20%	15%	9%
DK/NR	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	3%	0%	1%	0%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.10)	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 75

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 363

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP5										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
The federal government	30%	33%	29%	32%	29%	32%	15%	31%	32%	29%
Your provincial gov't	27%	23%	28%	27%	28%	22%	22%	30%	23%	28%
Your local/regional gov	13%	15%	13%	13%	13%	12%	10%	13%	16%	12%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%
Health care professionals	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	5%	2%	4%	2%
The tobacco industry	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	8%	11%	3%	5%	4%
Canadians themselves	18%	21%	17%	14%	20%	22%	26%	16%	16%	18%
DK/NR	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	6%	1%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	(.01)	++	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 76

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 364

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP5																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
The federal government	30%	31%	34%	29%	30%	38%	31%	22%	29%	37%	19%	34%	27%	26%	20%	33%	24%
Your provincial gov't	27%	34%	29%	25%	28%	24%	27%	30%	24%	28%	35%	28%	31%	14%	18%	27%	27%
Your local/regional gov	13%	12%	10%	14%	14%	0%	14%	8%	14%	12%	12%	14%	12%	17%	13%	13%	13%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	10%	3%	8%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	9%	6%	1%	7%
Health care professionals	2%	0%	3%	2%	3%	0%	2%	0%	3%	0%	2%	1%	4%	2%	0%	2%	2%
The tobacco industry	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	0%	5%	0%	5%	1%	8%	5%	3%	6%	6%	4%	4%
Canadians themselves	18%	10%	15%	21%	17%	27%	17%	29%	19%	17%	16%	15%	18%	21%	33%	17%	20%
DK/NR	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	(.05)	-	-	-	(.05)	-	-	(.05)	-	-	-	(.05)	-
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 77

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 365

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RSP5B																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	586	79	57	39	223	142	45	289	298	119	468	497	60	28	68	94	73	33	39
Unweighted n:	586	77	65	51	225	104	64	285	301	73	513	505	50	29	61	91	75	32	40
The federal government	23%	29%	20%	45%	22%	18%	22%	21%	26%	18%	25%	23%	25%	28%	27%	18%	26%	17%	23%
Your provincial gov't	33%	32%	36%	25%	35%	32%	37%	36%	31%	30%	34%	33%	33%	37%	25%	34%	35%	38%	24%
Your local/regional gov	26%	26%	37%	32%	32%	14%	18%	26%	26%	23%	27%	27%	24%	27%	22%	31%	24%	18%	30%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	13%	15%	15%	23%	11%	13%	9%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	12%	21%	7%	15%	17%	12%	11%
Health care professionals	12%	6%	13%	14%	12%	12%	15%	10%	14%	14%	11%	12%	12%	7%	5%	16%	14%	14%	17%
The tobacco industry	9%	6%	11%	14%	10%	5%	9%	8%	9%	5%	9%	9%	5%	7%	7%	11%	8%	8%	9%
Canadians themselves	24%	24%	27%	21%	27%	19%	30%	23%	26%	26%	24%	25%	21%	31%	25%	26%	26%	13%	44%
DK/NR	9%	7%	6%	12%	8%	14%	10%	12%	7%	14%	8%	9%	16%	3%	12%	8%	15%	5%	8%
Chi-Squared:	(.05)																		
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	14	7	10	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	13	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 78

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 366

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Urban
RSP5B									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	586	134	453	237	347	131	45	404	188
Unweighted n:	586	140	446	232	351	134	47	399	188
The federal government	23%	21%	24%	22%	24%	25%	29%	23%	24%
Your provincial gov't	33%	39%	32%	34%	33%	35%	22%	34%	30%
Your local/regional gov	26%	25%	27%	26%	27%	28%	32%	25%	26%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	13%	14%	13%	13%	13%	19%	9%	12%	13%
Health care professionals	12%	11%	12%	10%	13%	11%	5%	12%	13%
The tobacco industry	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	11%	9%	8%	9%
Canadians themselves	24%	28%	23%	29%	21%	25%	12%	26%	23%
DK/NR	9%	7%	10%	8%	10%	14%	15%	8%	10%
Chi-Squared:									
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 79

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 367

Who else should have responsibility to reduce Canadians' exposure to second hand smoke in public places/workplaces

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RSP5B																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	586	47	231	272	552	32	551	33	291	183	94	280	227	41	35	401	168
	Unweighted n:	586	49	227	276	555	28	557	26	291	181	96	289	225	38	31	417	152
The federal government		23%	24%	24%	23%	24%	11%	24%	21%	23%	24%	27%	24%	24%	23%	16%	25%	20%
Your provincial gov't		33%	39%	36%	31%	33%	37%	34%	26%	32%	41%	23%	36%	33%	34%	18%	38%	24%
Your local/regional gov		26%	27%	31%	24%	26%	34%	27%	26%	26%	27%	30%	25%	27%	32%	28%	29%	21%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		13%	10%	16%	12%	14%	5%	13%	14%	13%	14%	12%	11%	17%	8%	3%	14%	11%
Health care professionals		12%	4%	16%	9%	12%	15%	12%	0%	14%	12%	6%	14%	9%	12%	12%	12%	10%
The tobacco industry		9%	8%	9%	8%	9%	2%	9%	4%	10%	8%	5%	11%	6%	8%	7%	9%	8%
Canadians themselves		24%	19%	26%	24%	25%	21%	25%	9%	25%	23%	23%	23%	27%	18%	26%	24%	27%
DK/NR		9%	7%	8%	9%	9%	15%	9%	15%	9%	7%	13%	8%	9%	9%	22%	8%	12%
	Chi-Squared:		-			-		-		-		-		-			.05	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	7	6	4	19	4	19	6	7	10	6	7	16	18	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 80

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 368

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Col	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
RESP6	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	308	40	32	23	115	76	22	154	153	65	243	262	32	12	44	47	32	20	23
	Unweighted n:	307	39	37	29	117	53	32	152	155	39	268	265	27	13	38	46	34	19	24
	The federal government	41%	31%	29%	35%	48%	41%	47%	42%	40%	34%	43%	41%	40%	33%	31%	41%	44%	54%	47%
	Your provincial gov't	26%	29%	38%	28%	20%	28%	25%	25%	26%	31%	24%	24%	35%	36%	30%	26%	22%	10%	36%
	Your local/regional gov	7%	9%	10%	0%	8%	6%	5%	6%	8%	8%	7%	7%	4%	14%	0%	9%	11%	5%	0%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	2%	8%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4%
	Health care professionals	2%	2%	0%	6%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	7%	0%	5%	2%	0%	0%	4%
	The tobacco industry	12%	16%	23%	18%	11%	8%	6%	13%	12%	16%	12%	14%	6%	8%	10%	14%	11%	24%	9%
	Canadians themselves	7%	7%	0%	9%	8%	9%	3%	6%	7%	7%	7%	7%	4%	9%	9%	5%	8%	8%	0%
	DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	0%	3%	2%	4%	0%	7%	3%	4%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+/- points around 50%:	6	16	16	18	9	13	17	8	8	16	6	6	19	27	16	14	17	22	20

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 81

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 369

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RESP6										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	308	62	246	128	178	69	27	209	73	235
Unweighted n:	307	69	238	121	184	70	29	204	72	235
The federal government	41%	43%	41%	32%	48%	54%	26%	40%	31%	44%
Your provincial gov't	26%	24%	26%	31%	23%	13%	34%	28%	29%	25%
Your local/regional gov	7%	9%	7%	7%	6%	3%	8%	7%	8%	7%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	4%	6%	2%	4%	2%
Health care professionals	2%	0%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%
The tobacco industry	12%	17%	11%	17%	9%	12%	17%	13%	16%	11%
Canadians themselves	7%	3%	8%	6%	8%	10%	3%	6%	7%	7%
DK/NR	2%	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%
Chi-Squared:		-		(.05)	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	6	12	6	9	7	12	18	7	12	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 82

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 370

Who do you think should have the MAIN responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
RESP6																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	308	25	114	146	290	15	288	17	158	101	34	134	126	22	23	209	88
Unweighted n:	307	25	112	148	291	13	293	12	158	97	37	140	122	21	21	219	77
The federal government	41%	57%	46%	34%	42%	27%	41%	40%	37%	44%	50%	42%	44%	33%	33%	45%	32%
Your provincial gov't	26%	25%	24%	28%	26%	15%	26%	22%	23%	31%	26%	30%	25%	23%	8%	27%	23%
Your local/regional gov	7%	4%	10%	6%	7%	0%	7%	10%	9%	5%	0%	6%	7%	12%	7%	8%	4%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	2%	0%	4%	1%	2%	13%	3%	0%	3%	1%	5%	2%	3%	0%	4%	2%	2%
Health care professionals	2%	0%	0%	5%	2%	8%	2%	0%	2%	1%	6%	1%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%
The tobacco industry	12%	7%	13%	13%	13%	9%	13%	7%	17%	9%	8%	13%	11%	9%	17%	9%	20%
Canadians themselves	7%	4%	2%	11%	5%	28%	6%	21%	7%	9%	3%	5%	4%	18%	24%	6%	9%
DK/NR	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%	4%	1%	5%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)			(.01)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+/- points around 50%:	6	20	9	8	6	27	6	28	8	10	16	8	9	21	21	7	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 83

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 371

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income						
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
RSP6B																					
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	301	39	32	22	114	73	22	150	151	65	236	256	31	12	41	45	31	20	23	
	Unweighted n:	300	38	37	28	115	51	31	148	152	39	261	259	26	13	35	44	33	19	24	
The federal government		29%	41%	41%	38%	23%	25%	23%	29%	28%	28%	29%	27%	41%	28%	28%	27%	36%	10%	29%	
Your provincial gov't		38%	34%	29%	37%	42%	40%	37%	43%	34%	45%	36%	38%	39%	47%	28%	38%	48%	54%	52%	
Your local/regional gov		20%	19%	27%	40%	18%	14%	20%	27%	12%	22%	19%	20%	13%	26%	5%	30%	22%	13%	20%	
Non-gov/non-profit orgs		11%	0%	13%	25%	16%	7%	7%	12%	11%	17%	10%	11%	3%	24%	7%	10%	16%	13%	17%	
Health care professionals		10%	5%	13%	10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	10%	11%	3%	7%	14%	14%	5%	14%	8%	
The tobacco industry		12%	5%	16%	31%	14%	4%	18%	11%	13%	9%	13%	12%	13%	13%	6%	9%	10%	11%	17%	
Canadians themselves		12%	22%	8%	19%	10%	11%	3%	14%	10%	10%	12%	12%	11%	7%	7%	15%	20%	10%	13%	
DK/NR		12%	7%	8%	10%	12%	16%	17%	8%	16%	16%	11%	13%	4%	8%	16%	13%	5%	9%	7%	
	Chi-Squared:		(.10)						.05		-		-			-					
	+/- points around 50%:		6	16	16	19	9	14	18	8	8	16	6	6	19	27	17	15	17	22	20

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 84

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 372

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Youth Cases

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
RSP6B											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	301	60	241	122	177	67	26	206	70	231
	Unweighted n:	300	67	233	115	183	68	28	201	69	231
	The federal government	29%	25%	29%	29%	28%	19%	46%	31%	30%	28%
	Your provincial gov't	38%	39%	38%	39%	38%	50%	19%	37%	33%	40%
	Your local/regional gov	20%	24%	19%	23%	18%	23%	11%	19%	19%	20%
	Non-gov/non-profit orgs	11%	9%	12%	10%	12%	14%	13%	10%	8%	12%
	Health care professionals	10%	9%	11%	7%	13%	15%	12%	8%	7%	11%
	The tobacco industry	12%	16%	11%	11%	14%	18%	15%	10%	10%	13%
	Canadians themselves	12%	11%	12%	10%	13%	18%	32%	9%	10%	12%
	DK/NR	12%	14%	12%	14%	11%	12%	15%	11%	18%	10%
	Chi-Squared:		-		-	(.01)			-		-
	+/- points around 50%:	6	12	6	9	7	12	19	7	12	6

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 85

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 373

Who else do you think should have responsibility to regulate the sale of tobacco products.

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintain	Reduce	Eliminate	Yes	No
RSP6B																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	301	24	113	143	284	15	282	17	155	100	33	131	123	22	22	208	84
Unweighted n:	300	24	111	145	285	13	286	12	155	96	36	137	119	21	20	217	73
The federal government	29%	21%	27%	33%	29%	18%	29%	16%	25%	34%	30%	31%	29%	32%	4%	29%	28%
Your provincial gov't	38%	50%	40%	37%	38%	46%	38%	47%	33%	45%	41%	36%	42%	37%	34%	40%	36%
Your local/regional gov	20%	19%	25%	18%	21%	0%	20%	23%	21%	21%	16%	21%	20%	17%	11%	22%	17%
Non-gov/non-profit orgs	11%	16%	15%	9%	11%	24%	10%	28%	12%	10%	14%	10%	11%	20%	17%	10%	14%
Health care professionals	10%	11%	11%	8%	10%	13%	10%	12%	12%	8%	8%	14%	6%	4%	19%	12%	6%
The tobacco industry	12%	12%	14%	12%	13%	6%	13%	0%	13%	10%	16%	10%	17%	7%	3%	16%	4%
Canadians themselves	12%	16%	14%	11%	12%	15%	12%	7%	13%	8%	18%	14%	10%	10%	6%	13%	8%
DK/NR	12%	21%	9%	10%	12%	10%	13%	5%	15%	8%	11%	11%	11%	21%	18%	10%	18%
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.05	+
+/- points around 50%:	6	20	9	8	6	27	6	28	8	10	16	8	9	21	22	7	11

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 86

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 374

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

Youth Cases

		Region					Gender		Smoker		Education			Income						
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SM7																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	79	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Not at all		79%	82%	88%	82%	81%	69%	76%	81%	77%	0%	98%	79%	73%	78%	69%	71%	82%	81%	71%
Occasionally		7%	8%	7%	9%	5%	8%	13%	8%	7%	37%	0%	7%	8%	19%	8%	9%	10%	0%	10%
Every day		13%	8%	5%	9%	11%	22%	11%	10%	15%	63%	0%	13%	19%	3%	23%	19%	7%	16%	15%
DK/NR		1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	5%
	Chi-Squared:		(.01)			+++			-		(.01)		(.10)			-				+
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	No	Rural	Urban
SM7									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	460
Not at all	79%	84%	77%	71%	84%	78%	79%	79%	80%
Occasionally	7%	1%	9%	9%	6%	10%	15%	6%	6%
Every day	13%	11%	13%	18%	9%	10%	6%	14%	13%
DK/NR	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)		(.01)		(.10)		-	
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 87

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 375

At the present time do you smoke cigarettes (manufactured or roll your own) every day, occasionally, or not at all?

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SM7																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Not at all	79%	92%	76%	80%	80%	56%	81%	43%	78%	77%	82%	83%	79%	63%	60%	85%	64%
Occasionally	7%	0%	10%	5%	8%	0%	6%	25%	9%	7%	4%	7%	8%	10%	4%	6%	9%
Every day	13%	4%	13%	13%	11%	44%	12%	29%	12%	14%	12%	8%	12%	27%	37%	7%	27%
DK/NR	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)			(.01)		(.01)					(.01)				(.01)	
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 88

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 376

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
SMK2																				
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	120	13	7	7	36	45	11	53	67	120	0	97	16	6	22	26	13	6	10	
Unweighted n:	74	8	5	6	23	22	10	32	42	74	0	60	9	5	13	16	8	3	7	
5 or less	38%	63%	80%	51%	34%	27%	32%	39%	38%	38%	0%	38%	31%	70%	31%	38%	59%	0%	44%	
6-10	26%	12%	20%	32%	26%	37%	0%	22%	29%	26%	0%	25%	44%	0%	22%	6%	41%	100%	0%	
11-20	23%	25%	0%	0%	26%	27%	27%	20%	23%	0%	24%	25%	14%	37%	46%	0%	0%	27%		
more than 20	7%	0%	0%	17%	9%	4%	20%	8%	7%	7%	0%	9%	0%	0%	9%	10%	0%	0%	13%	
DK/NR	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	21%	4%	6%	5%	0%	5%	0%	16%	0%	0%	0%	16%		
Chi-Squared:		(.10)																		
+/- points around 50%:	11	35	44	40	20	21	31	17	15	11	*	13	33	44	(.01)	27	25	35	57	
Mean:	9.5	6.3	3.2	7.7	9.7	10.7	15.1	9.8	9.2	9.5	*	9.8	9.0	4.0	13.0	11.2	4.5	8.6	10.6	
Std dev:	8.1	7.0	2.1	9.2	7.1	8.5	10.1	7.8	8.4	9.1	*	8.3	7.1	5.3	10.4	8.1	4.1	2.1	9.6	
Student's t:	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	.01	*	*	

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Urban
SMK2									
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	120	18	102	66	54	26	10	82	33
Unweighted n:	74	10	64	41	33	16	7	50	21
5 or less	38%	21%	42%	37%	40%	35%	59%	38%	42%
6-10	26%	33%	25%	26%	26%	28%	0%	27%	25%
11-20	23%	30%	22%	25%	21%	23%	16%	25%	20%
more than 20	7%	17%	6%	8%	7%	6%	13%	7%	4%
DK/NR	5%	0%	6%	4%	6%	8%	13%	3%	9%
Chi-Squared:									
+/- points around 50%:	11	31	12	15	17	25	37	14	21
Mean:	9.5	12.0	9.0	9.2	9.8	8.5	8.3	9.9	7.3
Std dev:	8.1	7.3	8.2	6.9	9.5	7.2	10.0	8.3	6.3
Student's t:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 89

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 377

On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SMK2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	120	2	54	51	105	14	101	19	64	38	16	43	46	16	15	54	61
	Unweighted n:	74	1	34	32	64	9	63	11	40	23	10	26	28	11	9	33	38
	5 or less	38%	0%	43%	32%	43%	0%	39%	35%	34%	44%	49%	38%	46%	46%	9%	39%	36%
	6-10	26%	0%	18%	33%	23%	51%	26%	28%	32%	14%	23%	25%	27%	27%	24%	27%	25%
	11-20	23%	100%	27%	18%	23%	25%	24%	20%	23%	31%	10%	23%	18%	19%	45%	19%	30%
	more than 20	7%	0%	2%	14%	5%	23%	5%	18%	2%	12%	18%	4%	6%	8%	22%	5%	10%
	DK/NR	5%	0%	9%	2%	6%	0%	6%	0%	9%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	0%	11%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		(.05)			(.01)				(.10)								
	+/- points around 50%:	11	98	17	17	12	33	12	30	15	20	31	19	19	30	33	17	16
	Mean:	9.5	12.0	9.0	10.4	8.4	16.9	8.9	12.5	8.8	10.8	9.2	8.6	7.9	8.5	17.3	8.4	10.8
	Std dev:	8.1	0.0	7.5	9.3	7.0	11.1	6.8	12.4	6.4	10.1	8.7	6.4	7.2	6.9	11.0	6.7	9.0
	Student's t:					.10	.10									.05		

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 90

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 378

Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SM9	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	480	67	51	33	192	101	35	242	238	0	480	411	44	22	49	68	61	29	30
Yes	Unweighted n:	526	70	61	47	208	85	55	259	267	0	526	456	41	25	50	75	68	30	34
		5%	3%	5%	4%	3%	9%	5%	4%	5%	0%	5%	4%	13%	4%	4%	9%	3%	8%	3%
No		95%	97%	95%	96%	97%	91%	95%	96%	95%	0%	95%	96%	87%	96%	96%	91%	97%	92%	97%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-							-			(.05)				-				
+/- points around 50%:		4	12	13	14	7	11	13	6	6	4	5	15	20	14	11	12	18	17	

		Household		Working		Minority		Rural/Urban			
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SM9	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	480	118	362	179	297	107	38	328	107	373
Yes	Unweighted n:	526	132	394	199	322	120	43	355	119	407
		5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	4%	13%	4%	7%	4%
No		95%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	87%	96%	93%	96%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-					(.10)		-		
+/- points around 50%:		4	9	5	7	5	9	15	5	9	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 91

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 379

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL				Serious		Not		Increase			Increase				Yes	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +		Not		Not		Same	Decrease		Decrease	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin		No
SM9	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	480	47	181	226	459	18	461	16	236	146	81	240	187	27	22	356	110
	Unweighted n:	526	50	197	250	503	20	506	17	259	159	89	266	202	29	25	393	118
Yes		5%	2%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	0%	6%	4%	5%	4%	7%	0%	3%	5%	6%
No		95%	98%	95%	94%	95%	96%	95%	100%	94%	96%	95%	96%	93%	100%	97%	95%	94%
DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:																	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	7	6	4	22	4	24	6	8	10	6	7	18	20	5	9

Youth Cases

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SMST2	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Smoker		20%	17%	12%	18%	16%	31%	24%	18%	22%	100%	0%	19%	27%	22%	31%	28%	17%	16%	25%
Past smoker		4%	2%	4%	4%	3%	7%	4%	4%	4%	0%	5%	3%	9%	3%	3%	7%	2%	7%	2%
Non-smoker		76%	81%	83%	78%	81%	63%	72%	78%	74%	0%	95%	78%	63%	74%	67%	66%	81%	77%	73%
	Chi-Squared:																			
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

Smoking status

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SMST2																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Smoker		20%	4%	23%	18%	19%	44%	18%	54%	21%	21%	16%	15%	20%	37%	40%	13%	36%
Past smoker		4%	2%	4%	5%	4%	2%	4%	0%	5%	3%	4%	4%	5%	0%	2%	4%	4%
Non-smoker		76%	94%	73%	77%	77%	54%	78%	46%	74%	76%	79%	81%	75%	63%	58%	83%	60%
	Chi-Squared:		+++	-									+++		--	--	+++	----
	(.05)					(.01)		(.01)		-			(.01)				.01	
+/- points around 50%:		4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 94

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 352

Age of respondent

Youth Cases

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20k	20-49	50-79	80-99	100k+
AGE	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
15 years old		7%	10%	6%	4%	7%	10%	7%	7%	8%	3%	9%	9%	0%	0%	5%	6%	3%	6%	4%
16-19 years		93%	90%	94%	96%	93%	90%	93%	93%	92%	97%	91%	91%	100%	100%	95%	94%	97%	94%	96%
20-24 years		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
25-34 years		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
35-44 years		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
45-54 years		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
55-64 years		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
65 years or older		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-																	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 95

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 353

Age of respondent

Youth Cases

AGE	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
15 years old	7%	5%	8%	2%	11%	7%	16%	7%	11%	6%
16-19 years	93%	95%	92%	98%	89%	93%	84%	93%	89%	94%
20-24 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
25-34 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
35-44 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
45-54 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
55-64 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
65 years or older	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-		.01		(.10)			.10	
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 96

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 384

Age of respondent

Youth Cases

AGE	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
15 years old	7%	6%	5%	9%	8%	0%	7%	3%	7%	6%	9%	7%	6%	9%	15%	7%	9%
16-19 years	93%	94%	95%	91%	92%	100%	93%	97%	93%	94%	91%	93%	94%	91%	85%	93%	91%
20-24 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
25-34 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
35-44 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
45-54 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
55-64 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
65 years or older	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-			(.10)		-		-			-				-	
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 97

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 385

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
HOU																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
One person, living alone	3%	0%	1%	2%	0%	8%	2%	2%	3%	8%	1%	2%	3%	3%	10%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Single, with child(ren)	3%	1%	3%	5%	5%	1%	6%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	7%	4%	2%	0%	2%
Married without childrn	3%	4%	4%	3%	1%	4%	0%	3%	2%	8%	1%	2%	7%	3%	5%	6%	0%	3%	3%
Married with children	19%	16%	22%	12%	23%	16%	17%	19%	19%	12%	21%	21%	13%	9%	6%	17%	20%	30%	26%
Single, live w roommate	4%	7%	7%	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	4%	9%	2%	3%	6%	16%	12%	3%	9%	0%	2%
Single, living w family	67%	72%	64%	73%	66%	66%	71%	66%	69%	61%	69%	68%	70%	65%	58%	68%	68%	68%	66%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.05)						-		(.01)		(.05)			(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 98

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 386

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
HOU										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
One person, living alone	3%	0%	3%	5%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%
Single, with child(ren)	3%	15%	0%	3%	4%	4%	10%	2%	3%	3%
Married without childrn	3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	3%	13%	2%	2%	3%
Married with children	19%	85%	0%	23%	17%	25%	9%	18%	19%	19%
Single, live w roommate	4%	0%	5%	5%	3%	5%	3%	4%	5%	3%
Single, living w family	67%	0%	87%	61%	73%	60%	61%	71%	67%	68%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)		(.01)		(.01)				
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 99

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 387

Which of the following types best describes your current household?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
HOU																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	569	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
One person, living alone		3%	0%	1%	5%	2%	4%	2%	16%	3%	3%	0%	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	4%
Single, with child(ren)		3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	9%	3%	4%
Married without children		3%	0%	5%	2%	3%	4%	2%	8%	3%	1%	5%	2%	3%	0%	7%	3%	2%
Married with children		19%	22%	20%	18%	19%	29%	20%	12%	18%	22%	17%	22%	18%	10%	22%	21%	14%
Single, live w roommate		4%	2%	5%	4%	3%	9%	3%	9%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	2%	14%	2%	7%
Single, living w family		67%	70%	66%	67%	68%	51%	69%	51%	67%	66%	70%	68%	69%	78%	40%	67%	68%
Other		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR		1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	4%	3%	1%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-			-		(.01)		-			(.01)				(.05)	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 100

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 388

Do you have any children in the following age groups?

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
KIDIA																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	136	14	14	7	65	25	11	69	66	18	118	123	9	4	9	19	17	10	11
under 2	Unweighted n:	142	14	17	10	67	18	16	71	71	10	132	129	9	4	9	19	19	10	12
		3%	12%	6%	0%	1%	0%	12%	2%	5%	17%	1%	4%	0%	0%	28%	4%	0%	0%	0%
2-6		7%	12%	6%	0%	4%	13%	12%	5%	9%	28%	4%	7%	14%	0%	37%	10%	13%	0%	0%
7-12		13%	12%	6%	30%	15%	10%	12%	11%	16%	26%	11%	14%	8%	0%	35%	15%	21%	0%	0%
13-17		38%	39%	42%	30%	41%	24%	47%	44%	31%	35%	38%	39%	22%	26%	44%	32%	60%	30%	48%
18 or over		27%	13%	36%	30%	35%	5%	31%	33%	20%	28%	26%	26%	29%	52%	29%	32%	43%	9%	45%
Do not have any children		45%	61%	35%	50%	41%	58%	33%	39%	53%	44%	46%	46%	43%	48%	17%	36%	7%	70%	47%
DK/NR		2%	0%	6%	10%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	11%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-						-		(.01)		-		(.05)					
	+/- points around 50%:	8	26	24	31	12	23	25	12	12	31	9	9	33	49	33	22	22	31	28

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 101

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 389

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
KIDIA										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	136	136	0	64	72	39	9	85	31	104
Unweighted n:	142	142	0	65	77	42	11	86	31	111
under 2	3%	3%	0%	6%	1%	0%	0%	6%	7%	2%
2-6	7%	7%	0%	12%	2%	5%	19%	7%	11%	6%
7-12	13%	13%	0%	17%	10%	18%	18%	11%	14%	13%
13-17	38%	38%	0%	41%	34%	38%	8%	40%	41%	36%
18 or over	27%	27%	0%	35%	19%	33%	25%	24%	19%	29%
Do not have any children	45%	45%	0%	36%	53%	40%	56%	46%	40%	47%
DK/NR	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	5%	0%	1%	2%	2%
Chi-Squared:				(.05)						
+/- points around 50%:	8	8	+	12	11	15	30	11	18	9

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
KIDIA																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	136	12	54	61	125	10	131	5	67	46	20	69	49	6	11	100	31
Unweighted n:	142	13	56	66	133	9	137	5	71	46	21	73	52	6	11	107	30
under 2	3%	0%	4%	4%	4%	0%	4%	0%	6%	0%	5%	2%	3%	22%	0%	3%	7%
2-6	7%	0%	2%	10%	8%	0%	7%	0%	9%	4%	5%	8%	4%	22%	8%	3%	11%
7-12	13%	6%	14%	16%	14%	0%	14%	0%	19%	6%	8%	11%	14%	22%	21%	10%	23%
13-17	38%	28%	42%	39%	38%	28%	37%	52%	40%	29%	48%	33%	47%	37%	29%	38%	36%
18 or over	27%	27%	24%	31%	28%	9%	26%	33%	26%	27%	22%	28%	21%	40%	37%	24%	38%
Do not have any children	45%	66%	41%	42%	44%	64%	46%	30%	40%	57%	41%	45%	46%	38%	48%	50%	36%
DK/NR	2%	0%	5%	0%	1%	8%	1%	19%	3%	0%	4%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%
Chi-Squared:																	
+/- points around 50%:	8	27	13	12	8	33	8	44	12	14	21	11	14	40	30	9	18

What is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
EDU20																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Some high school/less		54%	59%	62%	65%	58%	37%	62%	53%	55%	45%	56%	64%	0%	0%	46%	45%	51%	36%	56%
High school graduate		30%	32%	31%	20%	31%	32%	25%	32%	29%	36%	29%	36%	0%	0%	33%	37%	30%	48%	29%
Some college		6%	2%	0%	5%	5%	12%	3%	4%	7%	7%	5%	0%	56%	0%	6%	9%	5%	6%	2%
College/CEGEP graduate		4%	2%	0%	0%	0%	16%	1%	4%	5%	6%	4%	0%	44%	0%	5%	8%	8%	7%	0%
Private college graduat		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Some university		5%	4%	6%	9%	4%	2%	9%	5%	4%	5%	4%	0%	0%	97%	10%	2%	6%	3%	12%
Bachelor's degree		0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Graduate degree		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR		1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Chi-Squared:	(.01)						-		-		(.01)			-					
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 104

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 392

What is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
EDU20										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
Some high school/less	54%	60%	52%	42%	63%	60%	70%	50%	57%	53%
High school graduate	30%	31%	30%	39%	25%	27%	28%	33%	33%	30%
Some college	6%	4%	6%	6%	6%	3%	0%	7%	7%	5%
College/CEGEP graduate	4%	3%	5%	6%	3%	4%	0%	5%	2%	5%
Private college graduat	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Some university	5%	3%	5%	6%	4%	5%	2%	5%	0%	6%
Bachelor's degree	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Graduate degree	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-		(.01)		(.05)			(.05)	
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 105

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 393

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
EDU20																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Some high school/less		54%	58%	50%	58%	54%	56%	54%	54%	57%	50%	55%	56%	50%	67%	54%	55%	52%
High school graduate		30%	19%	33%	29%	30%	35%	31%	25%	31%	33%	25%	34%	28%	14%	32%	32%	26%
Some college		6%	2%	6%	6%	6%	9%	6%	5%	4%	5%	9%	5%	7%	8%	0%	5%	6%
College/CEGEP graduate		4%	7%	5%	4%	5%	0%	4%	6%	4%	6%	2%	1%	9%	0%	9%	3%	8%
Private college graduat		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Some university		5%	12%	6%	3%	5%	0%	5%	7%	3%	6%	7%	4%	5%	7%	5%	4%	6%
Bachelor's degree		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Graduate degree		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR		1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.01)	-	-	+++	-	(.10)	-
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 106

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 394

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
EMPL																				
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39	
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41	
Self-employed	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	6%	3%	2%	4%	6%	0%	
Employed full-time	15%	18%	24%	17%	12%	13%	16%	17%	13%	31%	11%	14%	12%	35%	13%	21%	21%	26%	10%	
Employed part-time/seas	24%	25%	26%	30%	27%	19%	20%	22%	26%	23%	25%	24%	33%	16%	36%	18%	24%	21%	47%	
Unemployed and looking	8%	8%	5%	2%	12%	4%	9%	10%	6%	10%	7%	9%	2%	0%	5%	9%	9%	15%	2%	
Unemployed and not look	3%	2%	0%	5%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0%	0%	8%	2%	2%	0%	0%	
Student	47%	47%	44%	45%	42%	59%	47%	45%	50%	33%	51%	48%	50%	44%	35%	47%	39%	32%	41%	
Retired	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Leave (maternity/disab)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	
Homemaker	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
DK/NR	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Chi-Squared:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(.01)	-	(.05)	-	-	(.05)	-	-	-	-	
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 107

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 395

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
EMPL										
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
Self-employed	2%	3%	1%	4%	0%	5%	2%	1%	0%	2%
Employed full-time	15%	10%	16%	36%	0%	14%	12%	15%	14%	15%
Employed part-time/seas	24%	34%	22%	60%	0%	21%	18%	26%	29%	23%
Unemployed and looking	8%	8%	8%	0%	14%	14%	22%	5%	5%	9%
Unemployed and not look	3%	3%	3%	0%	5%	4%	6%	2%	3%	3%
Student	47%	41%	49%	0%	81%	42%	41%	50%	47%	47%
Retired	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Leave (maternity/disab)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Homemaker	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Chi-Squared:		(.10)		(.01)		(.01)		(.10)		
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 108

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 396

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Canadians Smoke			Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
EMPL																	
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	46	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Self-employed	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	8%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%
Employed full-time	15%	5%	15%	14%	15%	19%	14%	29%	17%	13%	14%	17%	8%	20%	35%	13%	18%
Employed part-time/seas	24%	30%	22%	26%	25%	16%	25%	14%	21%	31%	24%	24%	28%	20%	14%	22%	31%
Unemployed and looking	8%	6%	8%	9%	8%	12%	8%	10%	9%	6%	7%	7%	10%	8%	2%	8%	8%
Unemployed and not look	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	9%	3%	6%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	8%	3%	3%
Student	47%	53%	51%	43%	47%	45%	48%	30%	48%	44%	50%	48%	49%	46%	39%	51%	38%
Retired	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Leave (maternity/disab)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Homemaker	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DK/NR	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%
Chi-Squared:		-		-		(.01)		-		-		(.01)		+++		(.10)	
+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 109

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 397

What is your annual HOUSEHOLD income from all sources before taxes?

Youth Cases

		Region							Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+	
INCM																					
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39	
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41	
<\$20,000		12%	7%	9%	6%	13%	18%	5%	11%	13%	18%	10%	11%	12%	25%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
\$20,000-\$29,999		7%	8%	9%	5%	9%	4%	3%	5%	8%	11%	6%	7%	5%	0%	0%	43%	0%	0%	0%	
\$30,000-\$39,999		5%	6%	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%	5%	4%	8%	4%	4%	12%	6%	0%	30%	0%	0%	0%	
\$40,000-\$49,999		4%	6%	5%	0%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	3%	4%	4%	9%	0%	0%	26%	0%	0%	0%	
\$50,000-\$59,999		5%	8%	6%	5%	4%	5%	3%	6%	4%	5%	4%	4%	9%	8%	0%	0%	38%	0%	0%	
\$60,000-\$79,999		8%	10%	7%	7%	11%	2%	8%	9%	6%	5%	8%	8%	6%	9%	0%	0%	62%	0%	0%	
\$80,000-\$99,999		6%	5%	7%	4%	6%	8%	0%	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	7%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	
\$100,000 or more		7%	2%	8%	10%	10%	2%	8%	7%	6%	8%	6%	7%	2%	16%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
DK/NR		48%	49%	43%	58%	42%	52%	62%	48%	48%	37%	51%	50%	37%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Chi-Squared:		(.10)						-	(.05)		(.01)				(.01)					
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15	

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 110

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 398

What is your annual HOUSEHOLD income from all sources before taxes?

Youth Cases

		Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban		
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
INCM											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
	Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
<\$20,000		12%	7%	13%	15%	10%	8%	14%	13%	9%	13%
\$20,000-\$29,999		7%	4%	8%	5%	8%	11%	5%	6%	6%	7%
\$30,000-\$39,999		5%	6%	4%	6%	4%	6%	2%	5%	6%	4%
\$40,000-\$49,999		4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%
\$50,000-\$59,999		5%	6%	4%	6%	4%	8%	9%	4%	4%	5%
\$60,000-\$79,999		8%	7%	8%	9%	7%	8%	4%	8%	12%	6%
\$80,000-\$99,999		6%	7%	5%	7%	5%	5%	8%	5%	3%	7%
\$100,000 or more		7%	8%	6%	9%	5%	5%	6%	7%	2%	8%
DK/NR		48%	51%	47%	38%	54%	43%	49%	48%	53%	46%
Chi-Squared:			-		.01		-			.10	
+/- points around 50%:		4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 111

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 399

What is your annual HOUSEHOLD income from all sources before taxes?

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement			Appropriate-FG		
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
INCM																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
<\$20,000		12%	4%	11%	14%	12%	14%	12%	15%	11%	10%	16%	11%	12%	14%	10%	10%	16%
\$20,000-\$29,999		7%	5%	8%	6%	7%	0%	7%	3%	8%	7%	4%	7%	6%	6%	9%	6%	9%
\$30,000-\$39,999		5%	12%	5%	3%	5%	3%	5%	0%	4%	6%	5%	6%	4%	2%	3%	5%	5%
\$40,000-\$49,999		4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	0%	4%	0%	5%	4%	2%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%	5%
\$50,000-\$59,999		5%	8%	4%	5%	4%	8%	4%	8%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	4%	7%
\$60,000-\$79,999		8%	9%	9%	7%	8%	8%	8%	10%	8%	7%	7%	8%	7%	16%	3%	9%	5%
\$80,000-\$99,999		6%	9%	5%	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	5%	3%	6%	6%	0%	9%	5%	6%
\$100,000 or more		7%	12%	9%	5%	6%	10%	6%	11%	6%	8%	8%	5%	7%	10%	10%	7%	7%
DK/NR		48%	36%	44%	51%	48%	50%	48%	46%	46%	48%	51%	48%	48%	46%	49%	52%	40%
	Chi-Squared:		-	-	++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.10	-
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 112

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 400

Do you consider yourself to be

Youth Cases

	TOTAL	Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income				
		BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
MINOR																			
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Member of visbl minority	22%	24%	22%	20%	32%	9%	14%	25%	20%	22%	22%	23%	16%	28%	14%	33%	29%	19%	17%
An Aboriginal person	8%	14%	11%	14%	5%	4%	14%	9%	7%	8%	8%	9%	0%	3%	9%	5%	8%	11%	7%
None	68%	62%	64%	61%	61%	85%	72%	66%	70%	68%	68%	67%	84%	69%	79%	62%	64%	64%	78%
DK/NR	3%	1%	3%	5%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	6%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)						-	-			(.05)			-				
+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 113

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 401

Youth Cases

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
MINOR																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Member of visibl minority		22%	23%	19%	25%	22%	18%	22%	18%	26%	19%	18%	25%	21%	15%	14%	24%	18%
An Aboriginal person		8%	9%	5%	11%	8%	9%	8%	16%	10%	3%	12%	8%	6%	10%	18%	8%	10%
None		68%	66%	75%	63%	68%	73%	69%	66%	62%	78%	70%	64%	73%	71%	67%	67%	73%
DK/NR		3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	0%	2%	3%	4%	0%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%
	Chi-Squared:		(.10)			-		-		(.01)			-				-	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 114

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 402

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
SEX	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
Male		49%	53%	39%	57%	49%	49%	47%	100%	0%	44%	50%	50%	41%	54%	45%	43%	60%	48%	53%
Female		51%	47%	61%	43%	51%	51%	53%	0%	100%	56%	50%	50%	59%	46%	55%	57%	40%	52%	47%
				+					----	----									----	----
	Chi-Squared:		-						.01					-		-				
	+/- points around 50%:	4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

		Household			Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		TOTAL	Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
SEX											
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
	Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
Male		49%	51%	48%	50%	48%	55%	53%	48%	45%	50%
Female		51%	49%	52%	50%	52%	45%	47%	52%	55%	50%
Chi-Squared:			-		-		-		-		
+/- points around 50%:		4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

		Canadians Smoke				Harm - Smokers		Harm - SHS		Risk to Canadians			Fed Government Involvement				Appropriate-FG	
		TOTAL	< 25%	25-50%	51% +	Serious	Not	Serious	Not	Increases	Same	Decreases	Increases	Maintn	Reduce	Elimin	Yes	No
SEX																		
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	49	235	278	563	32	563	35	299	184	97	283	232	44	37	409	172
	Unweighted n:	600	51	231	282	567	29	569	28	299	182	99	292	230	40	34	426	156
Male		49%	77%	55%	40%	48%	69%	48%	68%	48%	48%	54%	49%	49%	38%	63%	49%	53%
			++++	++	----			+								+		
Female		51%	23%	45%	60%	52%	31%	52%	32%	52%	52%	46%	51%	51%	62%	37%	51%	47%
			----	--	++++											-		
	Chi-Squared:		.01			.05		.05		-			-				-	
	+/- points around 50%:	4	14	6	6	4	18	4	19	6	7	10	6	6	15	17	5	8
EROS Research Associates Inc.														PAGE:	115			

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 115

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 403

Provincial indicator (NOTE: Territories are collapsed in BC)

Youth Cases

		Region						Gender		Smoker		Education			Income					
		TOTAL	BC	Alb	Prair	Ont	Queb	Atl	Male	Fem	Yes	No	HS	Coll	Univ	<\$20K	20-49	50-79	80-99	100K+
PROVI																				
	WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	80	58	41	229	146	47	294	306	120	480	508	60	29	70	94	74	34	39
	Unweighted n:	600	78	66	53	231	107	65	291	309	74	526	516	50	30	63	91	76	33	41
British Columbia		13%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	12%	11%	14%	14%	6%	12%	8%	17%	20%	11%	5%
Alberta		10%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	12%	6%	11%	11%	0%	14%	7%	12%	10%	12%	12%
Saskatchewan		3%	0%	0%	47%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	12%	1%	3%	5%	4%	5%
Manitoba		4%	0%	0%	53%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	5%
Ontario		38%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	38%	38%	30%	40%	40%	19%	35%	42%	38%	44%	40%	55%
Quebec		24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	24%	24%	37%	21%	20%	68%	12%	36%	22%	12%	32%	8%
New Brunswick		2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	32%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	5%	1%	3%	1%	0%	8%
Nova Scotia/P.E.I.		4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	45%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	2%	5%	2%	2%	3%	0%	2%
Newfoundland/Labrador		2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	4%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%
Chi-Squared:		(.01)							-		(.05)		(.01)			-				
+/- points around 50%:		4	11	12	13	6	9	12	6	6	11	4	4	14	18	12	10	11	17	15

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 116

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 404

Provincial indicator (NOTE: Territories are collapsed in BC)

Youth Cases

PROVI	TOTAL	Household		Working		Minority			Rural/Urban	
		Parent	No	Yes	No	Minor	Abor	No	Rural	Urban
WEIGHTED TOTAL:	600	136	464	245	351	133	48	410	140	460
Unweighted n:	600	142	458	240	355	136	50	405	140	460
British Columbia	13%	10%	14%	14%	13%	15%	23%	12%	13%	13%
Alberta	10%	10%	9%	12%	8%	9%	13%	9%	7%	11%
Saskatchewan	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	7%	3%	5%	3%
Manitoba	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	3%
Ontario	38%	48%	35%	38%	38%	55%	26%	34%	34%	39%
Quebec	24%	18%	26%	21%	27%	10%	12%	30%	24%	24%
New Brunswick	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	0%	3%
Nova Scotia/P.E.I.	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	1%	10%	4%	8%	2%
Newfoundland/Labrador	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%
Chi-Squared:						(.01)		(.01)		
+/- points around 50%:	4	8	5	6	5	8	14	5	8	5

EKOS Research Associates Inc.

PAGE: 117

EKOS RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, 2006 • 405