

2610401

**Benchmark Survey on Awareness
and Knowledge Levels of Assisted
Human Reproduction**

A National Survey of Canadians

April 2001

**A POLLARA Report to:
Health Canada**

101 Yorkville Avenue, Suite 301
Toronto, Ontario M5R 1C1
Tel: (416) 921-0090
Fax: (416) 921-3903

✧ VANCOUVER ✧ TORONTO
✧ OTTAWA ✧ BATHURST, N.B.
✧ MONTREAL



Table of Contents

I.	Executive Summary	1
A.	Communications Implications	2
B.	Statistical Summary	2
II.	Introduction	4
III.	Methodology	5
IV.	Detailed Findings	6
A.	Knowledge of Reproductive Technology	6
B.	Attitudes Towards Reproductive Technology	9
C.	Stem Cell Research	13
D.	Attitudes Towards Regulation	16
E.	Functions of a New Regulatory Body for Reproductive Technology	20

Technical Appendices:

- A. Interview Schedule
- B. 1999 Questionnaire
- C. Crosstabulations

I. Executive Summary

Overall, Canadians appear to have some degree of knowledge about reproductive technologies in general. They assess their personal knowledge level as modest and only a minority is aware of the current legislative environment regarding the use of reproductive technologies in Canada. Based on their somewhat limited understanding, most Canadians would support federal legislation limiting the use of reproductive technologies and a clear majority supports the creation of a national regulatory body. The data from this survey suggest support for a regulatory framework of some form has grown since 1999.

The specific reproductive technologies, or practices, that Canadians feel are most deserving of legislative prohibition are generally those that deal with genetic alteration. Cloning human embryos, changing the genetic make-up of sperm or eggs, choosing a baby's sex without medical reason and retrieving sperm or eggs from the deceased are all practices Canadians think should be banned. In contrast, payment for donation of reproductive matter like sperm or eggs and surrogate mothers are both seen as permissible activities if regulated. Conducting research on human embryos, unlike their cloning, is also an activity Canadians think should be regulated rather than legally banned.

Half of Canadians are aware of stem cell research. However, significantly more Canadians think umbilical cords (obtained with consent) and donated bone marrow or blood are acceptable sources of stem cells compared to human embryos, though all are supported by a least a majority.

Canadians perceive the top three priorities of a national regulatory body, if created, for reproductive technologies as:

1. Ensuring human reproductive materials like eggs, sperm and embryos are treated with respect.
2. Keeping abreast of emerging issues and new technologies and keeps Canadians informed about these.
3. Inspects clinics and research laboratories performing these technologies and distributes licenses if certain standards are met.

A. Communications Implications

As mentioned, there is clear desire for a federal legislative presence in the area of reproductive technologies. Support for legislation that establishes a national regulatory body is high across the country and consistent across a number of subgroups of the population. Indeed, there is little apparent opposition to regulation. In areas where support for regulation is relatively low (although still above half), support is higher for legislation that prohibits certain technologies. In general, those Canadians who are more likely than others to support either a regulatory body or legislative prohibitions are older, female, adults with children, and residents of Quebec.

B. Statistical Summary

i. Knowledge

- Most Canadians (65%) have heard of “reproductive technologies”;
- Canadians’ self-assessed level of knowledge about reproductive technologies is 4.8 out of 10, on average.
- When asked what they think of when they hear the term “reproductive technologies”, the following are mentioned most often:
 - “*having/making babies*” (18%);
 - “*nothing/unsure*” (18%);
 - “*In-vitro fertilization/test tube babies*” (14%);
 - “*Biogenetic engineering/research*” (14%);
 - “*Dolly the sheep/human cloning*” (14%); and
 - “*medical intervention with reproduction*” (12%).
- A plurality (38%) thinks reproductive technologies are currently subject to government standards and regulations, while three-in-ten (31%) think no legislation is in place to govern the use of these technologies in Canada.

ii. Attitudes Towards Reproductive Technologies

- Three-quarters (76%) of Canadians think cloning human embryos and changing the genetic make-up of sperm and eggs should be banned.
- Two-thirds (67%) think choosing the sex of a baby without medical reason should be banned.
- A majority thinks retrieving egg and sperm from the deceased (58%) and changing the genetic make-up of egg and sperm for future generations (56%) should be banned.
- About half of Canadians think other practices of reproductive technologies, such as paying for sperm (47%) or egg (46%) donations and surrogate mothers (51%) should be regulated.
- A majority (56%) thinks research on human embryos should be regulated. Half (52%) thinks taking stem cells from donated human embryos is acceptable—34% thinks it's totally acceptable. However, one-in-five (22%) think it's totally *unacceptable*.

iii. Attitudes Towards Government Regulation

- Eight-in-ten (82%) Canadians support the creation of a national regulatory body to oversee and regulate the use of RTs. Half (48%) *strongly* support this initiative.

II. Introduction

POLLARA is pleased to present Health Canada the results of public opinion research on Canadians' knowledge and opinions of assisted human reproduction. Assisted human reproduction refers to medical technologies and research practices that may manipulate the normal conception process. In addition to exploring Canadians' awareness, knowledge and opinions about assisted human reproduction, this research also sought Canadians' views towards regulation. Health Canada commissioned POLLARA to conduct public opinion research with similar objectives in 1999. Where possible, the results from this year's research will be tracked against results from the 1999 survey. #1

Readers will find copies of the 2001 and 1999 questionnaire, complete with responses, appended to this report. #2

III. Methodology

To facilitate tracking, the sample frame for this survey largely mirrors the one developed in 1999. Consistent with the 1999 study, a total of 1700 surveys were conducted with a disproportionate sample of adult Canadians this year. The questionnaire took an average of 20 minutes to complete.

Table A
Regional Distribution, Weighting and Margins of Error

<u>Region</u>	<u>Proportion of Population</u> %	<u>Unweighted/ Disproportionate</u> n	<u>Weighted Proportionate</u> n	<u>Margin of error</u> (19 times out of 20)
Atlantic Canada	8.1	300	98	± 5.6%
Québec	24.8	300	296	±5.6%
Ontario	37.3	460	445	± 4.6%
Prairies	16.7	300	199	± 5.6%
BC/Territories	13.2	308	162	± 5.5%
TOTALS	100.0	1,700	1,200	± 2.9%

All data were collected between April 16 and April 22, 2001 inclusive.

IV. Detailed Findings

A. Knowledge of Reproductive Technology

i. Prompted Awareness of "Reproductive Technologies"

When prompted, about two-thirds (63%) of Canadians say they have heard of the term "reproductive technologies". Prompted awareness is relatively higher among residents of Quebec (75%) and lower among Atlantic Canadians (55%). Also, awareness of the term is positively related to both income and education. There is no statistical difference between genders.

Figure 1
Ever Heard the Term "Reproduction Technologies"




Table 1
Aware of Term "Reproductive Technologies"
by Demographic Group

		<u>% "Yes"</u>
<i>Region</i>	Atlantic Canada	55%
	Quebec	75%
	Ontario	61%
	Prairies	65%
	BC/Territories	66%
<i>Household Income</i>	Less than, equal to \$34,999	58%
	Between \$35,000, \$64,999	64%
	Greater than, equal to \$65,000	77%
<i>Education</i>	Highschool or less	54%
	College/Some Univ.	64%
	University Degree	83%


ii. Associations with the Term "Reproductive Technologies"

Respondents were asked what they thought of when they heard the term "Reproductive Technologies". From their answers, it appears people generally understand that the term refers to technologically and medically assisted pregnancies, although mentions of specific technologies or practices are somewhat rare. Some of the more precise responses, mentioned less often, include genetic engineering or biogenetic research related to human reproduction, new technological ways of having babies and In-vitro fertilization.

Not surprisingly, those who heard of "reproductive technologies" tend to provide more specific responses, such as "In-vitro fertilization", "biogenetic engineering/research", "human cloning" and "medical intervention having to do with reproduction".

Figure 2


What do you think of when you hear RTs?



iii. Perception of Personal Knowledge About Reproductive Technologies

People appear to recognize their somewhat limited understanding of reproductive technologies, judging from their self-rated knowledge level. Using a 10-point scale where "1" means *Not at all Knowledgeable* and "10" means *Very Knowledgeable*, Canadians rate their knowledge below the mid-point of the scale (4.8 out of 10), on average. This result is down somewhat from the 1999 study in which Canadians rated their knowledge a 5.8 out of 10 on average¹.

Figure 3
Self-Assessed Level of Knowledge About RTs




Similar to the results seen above for prompted awareness of "reproductive technologies", Quebecers, those with higher household incomes and higher education tend to rate their personal level of knowledge about these technologies above average.

¹ This decline may represent a genuine drop in Canadians' knowledge, however it's likely that this decline reflects changes made to this year's questionnaire. In particular, question 2 was added this year and may have given respondents opportunity to reflect on their knowledge before rating their personal knowledge level, plus the introduction to the self-rating question was changed somewhat. Please see the appendix to this report for the 1999 and 2001 questionnaire text.

iv. Awareness of Current Legislation on Reproductive Technologies

Awareness of the existing legislative environment surrounding reproductive technologies is low. One third (31%) of Canadians are aware that there are no government regulations, nor legislation that currently direct the use of these technologies in Canada. A majority (54%) thinks there is either legislation in place that bans the use of some technologies or that government standards regulate their use.

Figure 4
Knowledge of Current Legislative Environment



B. Attitudes Towards Reproductive Technology

Canadians view the various techniques of reproductive technology differently. Generally, those techniques that involve (or evoke) genetic manipulation elicit the strongest reaction, such as cloning human embryos. Three-quarters (76%) of Canadians say this practice should be banned. Genetic manipulation that affects the characteristics of a child, like height or sex, is also widely rejected.

Canadians' attitudes are considerably more flexible regarding payment for reproductive matter, like eggs or sperm, and even for surrogate mothers. About three-in-ten Canadians think these practices should be banned, whereas half think these activities should be regulated. One-in-five thinks no legislation is required regarding their practice.

It is worth noting Canadians' response to cloning human embryos in comparison to conducting research on human embryos. "Cloning human embryos" is clearly rejected, with 76% saying this practice should be banned. However, "allowing research on human embryos" appears far less concerning. Just one-quarter (26%) of Canadians say this practice should be banned.

Table 2
Views on Prohibition and Regulation of Specific RGTs

	Banned		Regulated		Neither	
	<u>2001</u> %	<u>1999</u> %	<u>2001</u> %	<u>1999</u> %	<u>2001</u> %	<u>1999</u> %
Cloning human embryos	76	74	17	15	4	8
Changing the genetic make-up of egg/sperm so that the physical characteristics of a child are altered, eg. height	76	66	16	19	7	14
Procedures that allow parents, for non-medical reasons, to choose the sex of their baby	67	60	17	17	15	22
Retrieval of sperm or eggs from deceased men or women to help people have a baby	58	53	32	32	8	12
Changing the genetic make-up of egg /sperm so that certain traits are permanently altered for future generations	56	52	34	34	8	12
Paying female donors for eggs	34	33	46	40	16	24
Paying male donors for sperm	33	29	47	40	18	29
Allowing payment to a surrogate mother who carries a child for someone else	29	26	51	48	18	23
Allowing research on human embryos	26	24	59	52	12	20

Overall, the results to this question are largely similar to those from 1999. However, for six of the nine practices examined, Canadians are either more likely to think the practice should be banned than in 1999, or they are more likely to think the practice should be regulated than in 1999. In either case, it appears there has been a general shift in attitudes toward more legislative oversight of RTs.


In particular, Canadians have become more likely to think permitting genetic changes to eggs or sperm that alter the physical characteristics of a child should be banned (up 10%). They're also more likely to reject allowing parents to choose the sex of their baby without medical reasons (up 7%). Also different from 1999, more Canadians think paying donors for eggs or sperm and conducting research on human embryos should be regulated.

Generally speaking, Canadians over the age of 55 and those with kids are more likely than others to support the banning of the above practices, whereas those with higher household incomes and higher education tend to be less likely to support banning. However, there are a few noteworthy differences.

Specific RT practice	Support for banning is...
Cloning human embryos	High across all demographic groups.
Changing genetic make-up of sperm/egg for physical characteristics	Lower among those with higher income and education; higher among women.
Retrieval of sperm/egg from the deceased	Higher among older Canadians, women and those with kids; lower among those who have heard of reproductive technologies and those who know someone with fertility problems.
Research on human embryos	Higher among older Canadians, residents of the Prairies, those with kids; lower among those with higher income and education.
Sex selection without medical reason	Higher among younger Canadians, women, residents of Quebec, Prairies and BC and those who have heard of reproductive technologies.
Paying donors for eggs	Higher among older Canadians, women, those with kids; lower among those with higher income and education.

i. The Importance of Regulation

Those respondents who said any of the above practices should be regulated were asked to indicate on a 10-point scale how important they felt regulation was. A summary of mean scores illustrates little variation in the perceived importance of regulating these practices. In general, those who see the practice as one requiring regulation, rate the importance of its regulation between 7.5 and 8.9 on a 10 point scale on average.



Each practice's average importance score has not changed significantly since 1999. The only difference worth noting is a drop (10%) in the percent of respondents who think regulating research on human embryos is "very important". In 1999, 59% of respondents rated the importance of regulating this activity a 9 or 10 out of ten compared to 49% today. This decline is reflected in the slight drop in the overall mean out of ten (8.6 to 8.3). While perceived importance of regulating research on human embryos is down, the overall percent of Canadians who think this practice should be regulated at all is up, as noted earlier.

C. Stem Cell Research

i. Awareness of Stem Cell Research

Half of Canadians report hearing about stem cell research. Awareness of stem cell research is positively associated with age, household income and education. Two-thirds (67%) of those with a university degree have heard of stem cell research compared to 50% overall. Also, Canadians who have heard of “reproductive technologies” are twice as likely as others to be aware of stem cell research (59% versus 30%). And residents of BC (58%) are the most likely to have heard of this type of research while Atlantic Canadians are the least likely (44%). There is no statistical difference in awareness among those with children and those without.

Figure 6
Heard of Stem Cell Research





Table 3
Heard of Stem Cell Research

	<u>Yes</u> %	<u>No</u> %	<u>Unsure</u> %
Age			
18-34	40	56	4
35-44	53	45	3
45-54	51	45	4
55+	55	42	3
Annual household income			
Less than \$34,000	43	52	4
\$35,000 to \$64,000	46	51	3
More than \$64,000	62	35	3
Highest level of schooling			
High school or less	38	59	3
College/ Some university	48	49	3
University degree	67	29	4
Heard of reproductive tech.			
Yes	59	37	4
No	30	67	3
Anyone had infertility problem			
Yes	56	41	3
No	43	53	4

ii. Acceptance of Stem Cell Sources

Respondents were told about three different sources of stem cells: donated bone marrow or blood, umbilical cords and human embryos. On average, Canadians consider it acceptable to take stem cells from donated bone marrow or from umbilical cords (with the mother's consent). In fact, on a scale from 1 to 10, the average acceptance rating for bone marrow is 8.29 and for umbilical cords is 8.6 (where 10 is totally acceptable). However, Canadians are considerably less accepting of using stem cells from human embryos. The average acceptance score for this source is 6.4—significantly less than the other two scores, although still an “acceptable” rating. It's worth noting that nearly one-in-five (18%) Canadians rate this source a 1, or “totally unacceptable”.

Figure 7
Acceptability of Stem Cells Sources



There are a few differences by sub-groups that are worth noting. In particular, Quebecers are less likely than others to think it's acceptable to take stem cells from donated umbilical cords, whereas those who know someone with a fertility problem are more likely to think this source is acceptable. Taking stem cells from donated bone marrow is also viewed with less acceptance in Quebec. Using human embryos as a source of stem cells is viewed with *more* acceptance in Ontario than elsewhere, but less among older Canadians.

D. Attitudes Towards Regulation

Canadians clearly think it is important that the use of reproductive technologies be circumscribed by legislation. Specifically, Canadians rate the importance of banning reproductive technologies that are generally viewed as unacceptable a 7.7 out of 10, where "10" is extremely important. Similarly, Canadians rate the importance of putting in place a new regulatory body to oversee and regulate reproductive technologies a 7.5 on the same scale.

Figure 8

Importance of Banning RTs Considered "Unacceptable"





Figure 9

Importance of Creating a New Regulatory Body to Oversee RTs




Compared to the 1999 results, the mean level of importance Canadians place on either course of government action is higher this year. Canadians are more likely today to think it is important to both ban reproductive technologies that are generally considered unacceptable and to create a regulatory body, reflecting earlier results that show an increase in Canadians' demand for some form of legislation governing this area.

There are a few demographic differences worth noting. Women are more likely than men to think banning reproductive technologies seen as unacceptable is important (75% versus 65%, respectively). Also, those who indicate they've heard of "reproductive technologies" are more likely than others to think some reproductive technologies should be banned and, in particular, that reproductive technologies be regulated (73% versus 64%).

i. Options Facing the Federal Government

Respondents were read three approaches the federal government could adopt regarding reproductive technologies. Given the choice between legislation that prohibits the use of reproductive technologies considered unacceptable, legislation that creates an arms-length body that sets and enforces regulations and the status quo, Canadians clearly prefer regulation.

Figure 10
Options Facing the Federal Government



Differences by region should be noted. In particular, Quebecers are more likely than their counterparts elsewhere in the country to think the federal government should pass legislation that establishes a regulatory body. Residents out west also prefer regulation to other legislative options, however they are also more likely than others to think some technologies should be banned. Again, those who indicate they've heard of "reproductive technologies" are more likely than others to think the government should create a regulatory body (67% versus 60%).

Table 4
Prefer Federal Government Create Regulatory Body
by Key Demographics

	<u>Create Regulatory Body</u> %
Age	
18-34	65
35-44	69
45-54	68
55+	59
Region	
Atlantic	69
Quebec	76
Ontario	64
Prairies	56
BC/Territories	56

iii. Support for a National RT Regulatory Body

Consistent with the above results, Canadians register clear support for the creation of a national body to oversee and regulate reproductive technologies (82% total support). Although support for a national regulatory body for RTs is strong across the country, Quebecers and women are two sub-groups of the population among whom support is particularly strong.

Figure 11
Level of Support for National Regulatory Body




Table 5
Support for National Regulatory Body by Key Demographics

	<u>Support</u> %	<u>Oppose</u> %
Gender		
Female	85	12
Male	78	18
Heard of reproductive tech.		
Yes	84	14
No	77	16
Region		
Atlantic	84	12
Quebec	92	7
Ontario	79	16
Prairies	76	19
BC/Territories	73	22

E. Functions of a New Regulatory Body for Reproductive Technology

Respondents were read several different functions that could be fulfilled by a national regulatory body for reproductive technology. The results show that at least a majority of Canadians feel each function is an important attribute of a new regulatory body.

Of the eleven attributes considered, six are judged “very important” by at least two-thirds of Canadians. They are:

- Ensuring human reproductive materials, such as sperm, eggs and embryos, are treated with respect (76%);
- Keeps abreast of emerging issues and new technologies and keeps Canadians informed of these (76%);
- Has a consistent approach across the provinces for regulating reproductive technologies (69%);
- Set national standards for the safe practice and applications of these regulated technologies in clinics and research laboratories (67%);
- Protects the welfare of all persons, especially women using these technologies and children who are born as a result of them (66%).

These results are generally stable across different sub-groups of the Canadian population, with the exception that Quebecers are more likely than others to rate important *establishing a registry so that results, such as successful pregnancies, are publicly available*. Also, women are more likely than men to rate important *inspects clinics and research laboratories performing these technologies and distributes licenses if certain standards are met*.

Table 6
Level of Importance: Functions of a New Regulatory Body

	Not At All Important										Extremely Important
	<u>1</u> %	<u>2</u> %	<u>3</u> %	<u>4</u> %	<u>5</u> %	<u>6</u> %	<u>7</u> %	<u>8</u> %	<u>9</u> %	<u>10</u> %	
Ensures that human reproductive materials are treated with respect	2	<1	1	1	3	2	4	9	9	68	
Keeps abreast of emerging issues and new technologies	2	<1	<1	1	4	2	5	13	9	62	
Protects the welfare of all persons	3	1	1	1	5	2	5	12	9	57	
Safeguards the health of Canadians	3	1	1	<1	5	2	5	17	10	51	
Sets ethical standards for the use of reproductive and genetic technologies	6	2	2	1	6	2	5	13	8	5	
Protects vulnerable members of our society	8	3	1	2	8	3	6	12	8	44	
Inspects clinics and research laboratories before licensing	3	<1	1	1	4	2	4	11	10	62	
Has consistent approach across provinces	4	1	1	1	5	3	5	12	10	59	
Set national standards for safe practice of technologies	4	<1	1	1	4	3	6	14	10	56	
Consults with groups & public before making decisions	3	<1	1	1	6	3	6	18	8	53	
Establishes registries so results are publicly available	5	1	1	2	7	4	6	16	7	47	

A. Interview Schedule

Interview Schedule - Final, weighted data (n_u=1700; n_w=1200)

Good evening, my name is () of POLLARA, a national public opinion research organization. We are conducting a survey of attitudes and opinions of Canadians 18 years of age and over. Today/tonight we are talking about a number of important health issues. Would you mind if I asked you some questions? All your responses will be kept strictly confidential.

(IF YES) Thank you

(IF NO) When is a better time for me to call back? (SCHEDULE CALL APPOINTMENT)

(IF REFUSED) Thank and terminate.

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
A. First of all, do you mind telling me in what year you were born? (RECORD RESPONSE, IF PERSON IS UNDER 18, ASK TO SPEAK TO SOMEONE WHO IS 18 OR OLDER)			
18 To 24	(10%)	(12%)	<- 2>
25 To 34	(16%)	(20%)	<- 4>
35 To 44	(24%)	(25%)	<- 1>
45 To 54	(23%)	(20%)	<+ 3>
55 To 64	(14%)	(11%)	<+ 3>
65 And Over	(12%)	(12%)	<n/c>
Mean (age):	45.34	43.12	<+2.22>
B. Introduction - Knowledge			
1. To begin, have you ever heard of the term "reproductive technologies"? (NEW)			
Yes	(65%)		
No	(33%)		
Don't know/Unsure	(2%)		
2ab What do you think of when you hear the term "reproductive technologies"? RECORD FIRST, SECOND MENTIONS.			
Making/Having Babies/Something To Do With	(18%)		
Dolly The Sheep/Human Cloning	(14%)		
In-Vitro Fertilization/Test-Tube Babies	(14%)		
Biogenetic Engineering/Research	(14%)		
Medical Intervention Having To Do With Reproduction	(12%)		
Artificial Insemination	(7%)		
New Tech/Different Ways To Have Baby	(7%)		
Playing God/Interfering With Nature	(4%)		
Fertility	(1%)		
Reproductive System/Sexual Organs	(1%)		
Nothing/Not Much	(1%)		
It Is Good/Beneficial/Useful	(1%)		
Children Born Outside The Womb	<1%>		
Do Not Understand What It Is	<1%>		
Ethics/Lack Of Ethics	<1%>		
Positive Scientific Advancement	<1%>		



	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
2. (Cont'd) What do you think of when you hear the term "reproductive technologies"? RECORD FIRST, SECOND MENTIONS.			

Raising A Family Technologically	(<1%)
Royal Commission Report On Reproductive Technology	(<1%)
Transplants (NONSPECIFIC)	(<1%)
Other	(12%)
Don't Know/Refused	(18%)

3a { As you may know, reproductive technologies include a wide range of medical techniques. Some of these technologies attempt to produce a pregnancy, such as in-vitro fertilization, donor insemination and surrogate moms. Other technologies include cloning, sex selection and research using human embryos. (NEW TEXT)

3b In your opinion, how knowledgeable are you about these reproductive technologies? Please use a scale from 1 to 10, where 10 means very knowledgeable and 1 means not at all knowledgeable.

Not at all Knowledgeable	(10%)	(6%)	<+ 4>
2	(8%)	(5%)	<+ 3>
3	(13%)	(9%)	<+ 4>
4	(10%)	(9%)	<+ 1>
5	(23%)	(21%)	<+ 2>
6	(12%)	(14%)	<- 2>
7	(12%)	(14%)	<- 2>
8	(8%)	(13%)	<- 5>
9	(2%)	(3%)	<- 1>
Very Knowledgeable	(2%)	(4%)	<- 2>
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	4.80	5.48	<-0.68>

4. To the best of your knowledge, which of the following best describes the use of reproductive technologies in Canada? RANDOMIZE... The most controversial techniques are banned by existing legislation; The use of reproductive technologies is subject to government standards; There is currently no legislation or government regulations that direct the use of these technologies. (NEW)

Most controversial techniques banned by existing legislation	(16%)
Use of reproductive techniques subject to government standards	(38%)
No legislation/government regulation direct use of technology	(38%)
None of the above	(2%)
Don't know/unsure	(13%)

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
C. Attitudes Towards Government Regulation			
5. Using a 10 point scale, where 1 represents not at all important and 10 represents extremely important, how important is it to you that the federal government ban certain reproductive technologies if they are considered generally unacceptable by Canadians?			
Not at all Important	(6%)	(8%)	<- 2>
2	(2%)	(3%)	<- 1>
3	(2%)	(3%)	<- 1>
4	(3%)	(4%)	<- 1>
5	(10%)	(15%)	<- 5>
6	(4%)	(5%)	<- 1>
7	(7%)	(9%)	<- 2>
8	(16%)	(14%)	<+ 2>
9	(9%)	(7%)	<+ 2>
Extremely Important	(38%)	(27%)	<+11>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(3%)	(5%)	<- 2>
Mean Score For Scale:	7.70	6.83	<+0.87>
6. Using a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important is it to you that the Government of Canada put in place a new regulatory body to oversee and regulate reproductive technologies?			
Not at all Important	(6%)	(8%)	<- 2>
2	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
3	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
4	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
5	(9%)	(12%)	<- 3>
6	(5%)	(6%)	<- 1>
7	(9%)	(10%)	<- 1>
8	(17%)	(16%)	<+ 1>
9	(9%)	(8%)	<+ 1>
Extremely Important	(35%)	(29%)	<+ 6>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)	(3%)	<- 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	7.49	7.12	<+0.37>
7. As you may know, many reproductive technologies are currently available in Canada. At the present time, there is no legislation in place that governs how these technologies are used. There are however, standards that have been put in place voluntarily by some professional organizations, like the College of Physicians. Based on what you know, which of the following do you feel the federal government should do? RANDOMIZE ... Enact legislation prohibiting the use of technologies Canadians feel are unacceptable; Create a regulatory body to set standards and enforce regulations that ensure reproductive technologies are used in a manner that protects Canadians health and reflects their values; Leave the current situation as it is. (NEW)			
Enact legislation prohibit use tech. unacceptable	(19%)		
Create regulation. body set standards/enforce regulations	(65%)		
Leave the current situation as it is	(13%)		
None of the above	(1%)		
Don't know/unsure	(2%)		

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
8. Canada has no legislation in place governing the use of reproductive technologies. Based on what you know now, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose legislation that creates a national regulatory body to oversee and regulate the use of reproductive technologies? (NEW)			
Strongly support	(48%)		
Somewhat support	(34%)		
Somewhat oppose	(8%)		
Strongly oppose	(7%)		
Don't know/unsure	(4%)		

D. Views on Prohibition and Regulation

Now I'd like to read you 10 different activities related to reproductive technologies. After I read each one, I'd like you to tell me, in your opinion, whether each activity should be banned, regulated or neither banned nor regulated? (NEW TEXT)

9ab	9A. Allowing research on human embryos			
	Banned	(26%)	(24%)	<+ 2>
	Regulated	(59%)	(52%)	<+ 7>
	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(12%)	(20%)	<- 8>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
	9B. Importance to regulate this?			
	Respondents (n _w) = 710/1200			
	Not at all Important	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
	2	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
	3	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
	4	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
	5	(8%)	(7%)	<+ 1>
	6	(5%)	(5%)	<n/c>
	7	(11%)	(9%)	<+ 2>
	8	(23%)	(20%)	<+ 3>
	9	(9%)	(13%)	<- 4>
	Extremely Important	(40%)	(45%)	<- 5>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
	Mean Score For Scale:	8.30	8.59	<-0.29>
10ab	10A. Paying female donors for their eggs			
	Banned	(34%)	(33%)	<+ 1>
	Regulated	(46%)	(40%)	<+ 6>
	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(16%)	(24%)	<- 8>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
10B. Importance to regulate this? Respondents (n _w) = 554/1200			
Not at all Important	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
2	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
3	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
4	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>
5	(11%)	(8%)	<+ 3>
6	(7%)	(5%)	<+ 2>
7	(10%)	(12%)	<- 2>
8	(24%)	(24%)	<n/c>
9	(9%)	(10%)	<- 1>
Extremely Important	(35%)	(37%)	<- 2>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.05	8.24	<-0.19>
11A. Allowing payment to a surrogate mother who carries a child for someone else			
Banned	(29%)	(26%)	<+ 3>
Regulated	(51%)	(48%)	<+ 3>
Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(18%)	(23%)	<- 5>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
11B. Importance to regulate this? Respondents (n _w) = 606/1200			
Not at all Important	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
2	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(8%)	(7%)	<+ 1>
6	(6%)	(6%)	<n/c>
7	(12%)	(11%)	<+ 1>
8	(22%)	(23%)	<- 1>
9	(11%)	(11%)	<n/c>
Extremely Important	(38%)	(40%)	<- 2>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.29	8.37	<-0.08>
12A. Procedures that allow parents, for non-medical reasons, to choose the sex of their baby			
Banned	(67%)	(60%)	<+ 7>
Regulated	(17%)	(17%)	<n/c>
Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(15%)	(22%)	<- 7>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
12B. Importance to regulate this? Respondents (n _w) = 200/1200			
Not at all Important	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
2	(2%)	(<1%)	<+ 2>
3	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>
4	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
5	(15%)	(13%)	<+ 2>
6	(9%)	(10%)	<- 1>
7	(15%)	(14%)	<+ 1>
8	(13%)	(22%)	<- 9>
9	(12%)	(7%)	<+ 5>
Extremely Important	(27%)	(31%)	<- 4>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)	(<1%)	<+ 2>
Mean Score For Scale:	7.47	7.76	<-0.28>
14A. Cloning human embryos			
Banned	(76%)	(74%)	<+ 2>
Regulated	(17%)	(15%)	<+ 2>
Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(4%)	(8%)	<- 4>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
14B. Importance to regulate this? Respondents (n _w) = 203/1200			
Not at all Important	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
4	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
5	(4%)	(5%)	<- 1>
6	(3%)	(4%)	<- 1>
7	(6%)	(8%)	<- 2>
8	(12%)	(16%)	<- 4>
9	(12%)	(13%)	<- 1>
Extremely Important	(59%)	(54%)	<+ 5>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.90	8.85	<+0.05>
15A. Paying male donors for their sperm			
Banned	(33%)	(29%)	<+ 4>
Regulated	(47%)	(40%)	<+ 7>
Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(18%)	(29%)	<-11>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
15B. Importance to regulate this? Respondents (n _w) = 564/1200			
Not at all Important	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
2	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(2%)	<- 1>
4	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>
5	(12%)	(8%)	<+ 4>
6	(7%)	(6%)	<+ 1>
7	(12%)	(13%)	<- 1>
8	(21%)	(22%)	<- 1>
9	(8%)	(10%)	<- 2>
Extremely Important	(36%)	(37%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	7.95	8.13	<-0.18>
16ab 16A. Changing the genetic make-up of egg and sperm so that certain inherited traits are permanently altered for future generations			
Banned	(56%)	(52%)	<+ 4>
Regulated	(34%)	(34%)	<n/c>
Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(8%)	(12%)	<- 4>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
16B. Importance to regulate this? Respondents (n _w) = 404/1200			
Not at all Important	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
2	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
4	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
5	(6%)	(6%)	<n/c>
6	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
7	(7%)	(9%)	<- 2>
8	(17%)	(18%)	<- 1>
9	(14%)	(14%)	<n/c>
Extremely Important	(52%)	(48%)	<+ 4>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.76	8.72	<+0.04>
17ab 17A. Changing the genetic make-up of egg and sperm so that certain inherited traits are altered to change the physical characteristics of a child, such as height			
Banned	(76%)	(66%)	<+10>
Regulated	(16%)	(19%)	<- 3>
Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(7%)	(14%)	<- 7>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
17B. Importance to regulate this? Respondents (n _w) = 189/1200			
Not at all Important	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
2	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
3	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(8%)	(8%)	<n/c>
6	(8%)	(3%)	<+ 5>
7	(6%)	(10%)	<- 4>
8	(19%)	(21%)	<- 2>
9	(18%)	(9%)	<+ 9>
Extremely Important	(38%)	(47%)	<- 9>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.32	8.56	<-0.24>
18A. Retrieval of sperm or eggs from dead men or women to help people have a baby			
Banned	(58%)	(53%)	<+ 5>
Regulated	(32%)	(32%)	<n/c>
Neither Banned Nor Regulated	(8%)	(12%)	<- 4>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
18B. Importance to regulate this? Respondents (n _w) = 380/1200			
Not at all Important	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
2	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(8%)	(10%)	<- 2>
6	(5%)	(6%)	<- 1>
7	(10%)	(8%)	<+ 2>
8	(17%)	(18%)	<- 1>
9	(10%)	(12%)	<- 2>
Extremely Important	(46%)	(44%)	<+ 2>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.40	8.33	<+0.06>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
--	------	------	-------------------------

The federal government is considering developing legislation for a new regulatory body to oversee and regulate reproductive technologies. Again, using a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important is it to you, that this regulatory body...

19. Safeguards the health of Canadians by regulating certain practices and banning others.

Not at all Important	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
2	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
4	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
5	(5%)	(7%)	<- 2>
6	(2%)	(3%)	<- 1>
7	(5%)	(7%)	<- 2>
8	(17%)	(15%)	<+ 2>
9	(10%)	(9%)	<+ 1>
Extremely Important	(51%)	(52%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.55	8.51	<+0.04>

20. Ensures that human reproductive materials, such as sperm, eggs and embryos, are treated with respect.

Not at all Important	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
2	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
3	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
4	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
5	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
6	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
7	(4%)	(4%)	<n/c>
8	(9%)	(8%)	<+ 1>
9	(9%)	(9%)	<n/c>
Extremely Important	(68%)	(69%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	9.05	9.06	<-0.02>

21. Protects the welfare of all persons, especially women using these technologies and children who are born as a result of them.

Not at all Important	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
2	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(5%)	(6%)	<- 1>
6	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
7	(5%)	(5%)	<n/c>
8	(12%)	(12%)	<n/c>
9	(9%)	(9%)	<n/c>
Extremely Important	(57%)	(57%)	<n/c>
Don't Know/Refused	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.64	8.60	<+0.03>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
22. Protects vulnerable members of our society such as surrogate mothers or donors who may be motivated to provide their services or eggs because they need money.			
Not at all Important	(8%)	(9%)	<- 1>
2	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(2%)	<- 1>
4	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
5	(8%)	(10%)	<- 2>
6	(3%)	(4%)	<- 1>
7	(6%)	(6%)	<n/c>
8	(12%)	(13%)	<- 1>
9	(8%)	(6%)	<+ 2>
Extremely Important	(44%)	(43%)	<+ 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	7.72	7.48	<+0.24>
23. Sets ethical standards for the use of reproductive and genetic technologies in society such as the commercialization of sperm and eggs.			
Not at all Important	(6%)	(7%)	<- 1>
2	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
3	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(6%)	(7%)	<- 1>
6	(2%)	(4%)	<- 2>
7	(5%)	(5%)	<n/c>
8	(13%)	(11%)	<+ 2>
9	(8%)	(9%)	<- 1>
Extremely Important	(51%)	(49%)	<+ 2>
Don't Know/Refused	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.16	8.03	<+0.13>
24. Keeps abreast of emerging issues and new technologies and keeps Canadians informed of these.			
Not at all Important	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
2	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
3	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(4%)	(5%)	<- 1>
6	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
7	(5%)	(5%)	<n/c>
8	(13%)	(11%)	<+ 2>
9	(9%)	(9%)	<n/c>
Extremely Important	(62%)	(63%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.96	8.87	<+0.09>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
E Stem Cell Research			

25. Recently, the media has reported stories about stem cell research and the possibilities this research offers in the treatment of human disease and illness. Have you heard about stems cells and their use in research? (NEW)

Yes (50%)
 No (47%)
 Not sure (3%)

26a Stems cells come from a number of different sources including human bone marrow, blood, umbilical cords and human embryos, or fertilized eggs. Currently, stem cells from human embryos are regarded as having the most potential for research. On a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is totally unacceptable and a 10 is totally acceptable, how acceptable do you find each of the following? (NEW)

26. b Taking stem cells from donated bone marrow or blood for research purposes.

Totally unacceptable (4%)
 2 (1%)
 3 (1%)
 4 (1%)
 5 (9%)
 6 (4%)
 7 (6%)
 8 (14%)
 9 (5%)
 Totally acceptable (54%)
 Don't Know/Refused (1%)
 Mean Score For Scale: 8.29

27. Taking stem cells from umbilical cords for research. In this case, the umbilical cords are obtained with the consent of the mother and are used explicitly for research.

Totally unacceptable (3%)
 2 (1%)
 3 (<1%)
 4 (1%)
 5 (7%)
 6 (3%)
 7 (6%)
 8 (14%)
 9 (6%)
 Totally acceptable (58%)
 Don't Know/Refused (1%)
 Mean Score For Scale: 8.60

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
28. Taking stem cells from human embryos for research. In this case, the embryos are donated by couples who produced them for in-vitro fertilization but no longer require them.			
Totally unacceptable	(18%)		
2	(3%)		
3	(2%)		
4	(3%)		
5	(11%)		
6	(5%)		
7	(7%)		
8	(12%)		
9	(3%)		
Totally acceptable	(31%)		
Don't Know/Refused	(4%)		
Mean Score For Scale:	6.35		

F Regulatory Activities

As I mentioned, the federal government is considering developing legislation for a new regulatory body to oversee reproductive technologies. Using a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, please tell me how important it is to you that this regulatory body:

29. Sets national standards for the safe practice and application of these regulated technologies in clinics and research laboratories.			
Not at all Important	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
2	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
3	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
6	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
7	(6%)	(6%)	<n/c>
8	(14%)	(13%)	<+ 1>
9	(10%)	(9%)	<+ 1>
Extremely Important	(56%)	(59%)	<- 3>
Don't Know/Refused	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.67	8.77	<-0.10>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
30. Inspects clinics and research laboratories performing these technologies and distributes licenses if certain standards are met.			
Not at all Important	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
2	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
3	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
6	(2%)	(3%)	<- 1>
7	(4%)	(6%)	<- 2>
8	(11%)	(10%)	<+ 1>
9	(10%)	(9%)	<+ 1>
Extremely Important	(62%)	(63%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.90	8.88	<+0.02>
31. Establishes registries so that the results, such as the rate of successful pregnancies, are available to the public.			
Not at all Important	(5%)	(3%)	<+ 2>
2	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
4	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>
5	(7%)	(7%)	<n/c>
6	(4%)	(5%)	<- 1>
7	(6%)	(7%)	<- 1>
8	(16%)	(16%)	<n/c>
9	(7%)	(8%)	<- 1>
Extremely Important	(47%)	(49%)	<- 2>
Don't Know/Refused	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.10	8.30	<-0.20>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
G. Education/Consultation and National Approach			
32. How important do you think it is for the regulatory body to have a consultative role, consulting with groups and the general public on emerging issues and new technologies before making regulatory decisions? Please use a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important.			
Not at all Important	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
2	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(6%)	(4%)	<+ 2>
6	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
7	(6%)	(7%)	<- 1>
8	(18%)	(17%)	<+ 1>
9	(8%)	(10%)	<- 2>
Extremely Important	(53%)	(53%)	<n/c>
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.57	8.67	<-0.10>

33. How important do you think it is to have a consistent approach across the provinces for regulating reproductive and genetic technologies? Please use a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important.			
Not at all Important	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
2	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
3	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
4	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
5	(5%)	(5%)	<n/c>
6	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
7	(5%)	(6%)	<- 1>
8	(12%)	(13%)	<- 1>
9	(10%)	(8%)	<+ 2>
Extremely Important	(59%)	(60%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
Mean Score For Scale:	8.68	8.70	<-0.03>

H. Classification Data/Demographics

Finally, for statistical purposes only, I'd like to ask you a few questions about yourself.

34. First, what is the highest level of schooling that you have completed? (READ)			
Elementary School	(6%)	(5%)	<+ 1>
High School	(30%)	(33%)	<- 3>
Community College	(25%)	(22%)	<+ 3>
Some University	(10%)	(12%)	<- 2>
Completed University	(28%)	(27%)	<+ 1>
Don't Know	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
Refused	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>

		2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
35.	Have you ever had children?			
	Yes	(70%)	(65%)	<+ 5>
	No	(30%)	(35%)	<- 5>
	Don't Know/Refused	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
36.	Do you know anyone, among your immediate family or your close friends, who has had an infertility problem or been unable to conceive a child of their own?			
	Yes	(52%)	(52%)	<n/c>
	No	(47%)	(48%)	<- 1>
	Don't Know	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
	Refused	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
37.	Which of the following best represents your annual household income?			
	Less than \$25,000	(14%)	(14%)	<n/c>
	\$25,000 to \$34,000	(13%)	(16%)	<- 3>
	\$35,000 to \$44,000	(15%)	(15%)	<n/c>
	\$45,000 to \$54,000	(13%)	(13%)	<n/c>
	\$55,000 to \$64,000	(8%)	(8%)	<n/c>
	\$65,000 to \$74,000	(8%)	(7%)	<+ 1>
	\$75,000 to \$100,000	(9%)	(9%)	<n/c>
	More than \$100,000	(9%)	(7%)	<+ 2>
	Don't Know	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
	Refused	(9%)	(7%)	<+ 2>
38.	Gender			
	Male	(49%)	(50%)	<- 1>
	Female	(51%)	(50%)	<+ 1>
39.	Region			
	Atlantic	(8%)	(8%)	<n/c>
	Québec	(25%)	(25%)	<n/c>
	Ontario	(37%)	(37%)	<n/c>
	Prairies	(17%)	(17%)	<n/c>
	BC/Territories	(14%)	(13%)	<+ 1>

↑

Collapsed Scales

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
1. KNOWLEDGEABLE: REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES:			
Very Knowledgeable (9,10)	(4%)	(7%)	<- 3>
Somewhat Knowledgeable (7,8)	(20%)	(28%)	<- 8>
Neither (5,6)	(35%)	(36%)	<- 1>
Somewhat Not Knowledgeable (3,4)	(22%)	(18%)	<+ 4>
Not at all Knowledgeable (1,2)	(18%)	(11%)	<+ 7>
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
2. IMPORTANCE: FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BAN CERTAIN REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES:			
Extremely Important (9,10)	(47%)	(33%)	<+14>
Somewhat Important (7,8)	(23%)	(23%)	<n/c>
Neutral (5,6)	(14%)	(21%)	<- 7>
Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(4%)	(7%)	<- 3>
Not at all Important (1,2)	(7%)	(11%)	<- 4>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(3%)	(5%)	<- 2>
3. IMPORTANCE: PUT IN PLACE NEW REGULATORY BODY TO OVERSEE AND REGULATE REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES:			
Extremely Important (9,10)	(44%)	(37%)	<+ 7>
Somewhat Important (7,8)	(26%)	(26%)	<n/c>
Neutral (5,6)	(14%)	(18%)	<- 4>
Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(5%)	(6%)	<- 1>
Not at all Important (1,2)	(9%)	(10%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)	(3%)	<- 1>
4. IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: ALLOWING RESEARCH ON HUMAN EMBRYOS:			
Extremely Important (9,10)	(49%)	(59%)	<-10>
Somewhat Important (7,8)	(34%)	(29%)	<+ 5>
Neutral (5,6)	(13%)	(11%)	<+ 2>
Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
Not at all Important (1,2)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
5. IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: PAYING FEMALE DONORS FOR THEIR EGGS:			
Extremely Important (9,10)	(44%)	(48%)	<- 4>
Somewhat Important (7,8)	(34%)	(36%)	<- 2>
Neutral (5,6)	(18%)	(14%)	<+ 4>
Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
Not at all Important (1,2)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>

		2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
6.	IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: ALLOWING PAYMENT TO SURROGATE MOTHER WHO CARRIES CHILD FOR SOMEONE ELSE:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(50%)	(51%)	<- 1>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(34%)	(34%)	<n/c>
	Neutral (5,6)	(14%)	(12%)	<+ 2>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
7.	IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: PROCEDURES THAT ALLOW PARENTS, FOR NON-MEDICAL REASONS, TO CHOOSE SEX OF BABY:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(39%)	(38%)	<+ 1>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(28%)	(35%)	<- 7>
	Neutral (5,6)	(24%)	(22%)	<+ 2>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)	(<1%)	<+ 2>
8.	IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: CLONING HUMAN EMBRYOS:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(71%)	(67%)	<+ 4>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(18%)	(24%)	<- 6>
	Neutral (5,6)	(7%)	(9%)	<- 2>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(2%)	(<1%)	<+ 2>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>
9.	IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: PAYING MALE DONORS FOR THEIR SPERM:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(43%)	(47%)	<- 4>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(33%)	(35%)	<- 2>
	Neutral (5,6)	(19%)	(14%)	<+ 5>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
10.	IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: CHANGING GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG AND SPERM SO CERTAIN INHERITED TRAITS ARE PERMANENTLY ALTERED FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(65%)	(63%)	<+ 2>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(24%)	(27%)	<- 3>
	Neutral (5,6)	(8%)	(9%)	<- 1>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(<1%)	(1%)	<- 1>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(<1%)	(<1%)	<n/c>

		2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
11.	IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: CHANGING GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG AND SPERM SO CERTAIN INHERITED TRAITS ARE ALTERED TO CHANGE PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILD:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(56%)	(56%)	<n/c>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(25%)	(30%)	<- 5>
	Neutral (5,6)	(16%)	(11%)	<+ 5>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(2%)	(<1%)	<+ 2>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
12.	IMPORTANCE TO REGULATE: RETRIEVAL OF SPERM OR EGGS FROM DEAD MEN OR WOMEN TO HELP PEOPLE HAVE BABY:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(56%)	(56%)	<n/c>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(27%)	(26%)	<+ 1>
	Neutral (5,6)	(12%)	(16%)	<- 4>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(1%)	(2%)	<- 1>
	Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)	(<1%)	<+ 1>
13.	IMPORTANCE: SAFEGUARDS HEALTH OF CANADIANS:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(61%)	(61%)	<n/c>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(22%)	(21%)	<+ 1>
	Neutral (5,6)	(8%)	(10%)	<- 2>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
	Don't Know/Refused	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
14.	IMPORTANCE: ENSURES HUMAN REPRODUCTIVE MATERIALS:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(76%)	(78%)	<- 2>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(13%)	(12%)	<+ 1>
	Neutral (5,6)	(5%)	(5%)	<n/c>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
	Don't Know/Refused	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
15.	IMPORTANCE: PROTECTS THE WELFARE OF ALL PERSONS:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(66%)	(66%)	<n/c>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(17%)	(17%)	<n/c>
	Neutral (5,6)	(7%)	(8%)	<- 1>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(4%)	(4%)	<n/c>
	Don't Know/Refused	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>

	2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
16. IMPORTANCE: PROTECTS VULNERABLE MEMBERS:			
Extremely Important (9,10)	(52%)	(49%)	<+ 3>
Somewhat Important (7,8)	(18%)	(19%)	<- 1>
Neutral (5,6)	(12%)	(14%)	<- 2>
Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(3%)	(4%)	<- 1>
Not at all Important (1,2)	(11%)	(12%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
17. IMPORTANCE: SETS ETHICAL STANDARDS:			
Extremely Important (9,10)	(59%)	(58%)	<+ 1>
Somewhat Important (7,8)	(18%)	(17%)	<+ 1>
Neutral (5,6)	(8%)	(10%)	<- 2>
Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
Not at all Important (1,2)	(8%)	(9%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
18. IMPORTANCE: KEEPS ABREAST OF EMERGING ISSUES:			
Extremely Important (9,10)	(72%)	(71%)	<+ 1>
Somewhat Important (7,8)	(18%)	(16%)	<+ 2>
Neutral (5,6)	(5%)	(7%)	<- 2>
Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(1%)	(2%)	<- 1>
Not at all Important (1,2)	(2%)	(3%)	<- 1>
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
19. ACCEPTABLE: TAKING STEM CELLS FROM DONATED BONE MARROW OR BLOOD FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES.:			
Totally acceptable (9,10)	(59%)		
Somewhat acceptable (7,8)	(20%)		
Neutral (5,6)	(14%)		
Somewhat Unacceptable (3,4)	(2%)		
Totally unacceptable (1,2)	(5%)		
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)		
20. ACCEPTABLE: TAKING STEM CELLS FROM UMBILICAL CORDS:			
Totally acceptable (9,10)	(65%)		
Somewhat acceptable (7,8)	(19%)		
Neutral (5,6)	(9%)		
Somewhat Unacceptable (3,4)	(1%)		
Totally unacceptable (1,2)	(4%)		
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)		
21. ACCEPTABLE: TAKING STEM CELLS FROM HUMAN EMBRYOS:			
Totally acceptable (9,10)	(34%)		
Somewhat acceptable (7,8)	(18%)		
Neutral (5,6)	(17%)		
Somewhat Unacceptable (3,4)	(5%)		
Totally unacceptable (1,2)	(22%)		
Don't Know/Refused	(4%)		

		2001	1999	Change 2000- 1999
22.	IMPORTANCE: SETS NATIONAL STANDARDS:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(67%)	(68%)	<- 1>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(20%)	(19%)	<+ 1>
	Neutral (5,6)	(6%)	(6%)	<n/c>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(2%)	(1%)	<+ 1>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(4%)	(3%)	<+ 1>
	Don't Know/Refused	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
23.	IMPORTANCE: INSPECTS CLINICS/RESEARCH LABORATORIES:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(72%)	(72%)	<n/c>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(16%)	(16%)	<n/c>
	Neutral (5,6)	(6%)	(6%)	<n/c>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
	Don't Know/Refused	(2%)	(2%)	<n/c>
24.	IMPORTANCE: ESTABLISHES REGISTRIES:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(54%)	(56%)	<- 2>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(22%)	(23%)	<- 1>
	Neutral (5,6)	(11%)	(12%)	<- 1>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(3%)	(2%)	<+ 1>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(6%)	(4%)	<+ 2>
	Don't Know/Refused	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
25.	IMPORTANCE: REGULATORY BODY WITH CONSULTATIVE ROLE:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(61%)	(63%)	<- 2>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(24%)	(25%)	<- 1>
	Neutral (5,6)	(9%)	(7%)	<+ 2>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(3%)	(3%)	<n/c>
	Don't Know/Refused	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
26.	IMPORTANCE: CONSISTENT APPROACH ACROSS PROVINCES:			
	Extremely Important (9,10)	(69%)	(68%)	<+ 1>
	Somewhat Important (7,8)	(16%)	(19%)	<- 3>
	Neutral (5,6)	(8%)	(6%)	<+ 2>
	Somewhat Unimportant (3,4)	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>
	Not at all Important (1,2)	(4%)	(4%)	<n/c>
	Don't Know/Refused	(1%)	(1%)	<n/c>

B. 1999 Questionnaire

Good evening, my name is () of POLLARA, a national public opinion research organization. We are conducting a survey of attitudes and opinions of Canadians 18 years of age and over on the topic of reproductive and genetic technologies. Would you mind if I asked you some questions? All your responses will be kept strictly confidential.

(IF YES) Thank you

(IF NO) When is a better time for me to call back? (SCHEDULE CALL APPOINTMENT)

(IF REFUSED) Thank and terminate.

First of all, do you mind telling me in what year you were born (RECORD RESPONSE B IF PERSON IN UNDER 18, ASK TO SPEAK TO SOMEONE WHO IS 18 OR OLDER)

18 To 24		(12%)
25 To 34		(20%)
35 To 44		(25%)
45 To 54		(20%)
55 To 64		(11%)
65 And Over		(12%)
Mean (age):		43.12

Reproductive and genetic technologies include a wide range of activities, both old and new. Reproductive technologies attempt to produce a pregnancy by such processes as in vitro fertilization¹, donor insemination and surrogacy arrangements. Genetics-based technologies include sex selection, embryo research and prenatal diagnosis. Many of these reproductive and genetic technologies are currently practiced in Canada.

2. b Thinking about your own knowledge of reproductive and genetic technologies, how knowledgeable would you say you are? Please use a scale from 1 to 10, where 10 means very knowledgeable and 1 means not at all knowledgeable.

Not at all knowledgeable		(6%)
2		(5%)
3		(9%)
4		(9%)
5		(21%)
6		(14%)
7		(14%)
8		(13%)
9		(3%)
Very knowledgeable		(4%)
Don't Know/No Opinion		(<1%)
Mean Score For Scale:		5.48

A. The Government of Canada instituting a comprehensive and balanced regulatory framework for RGTs

3. Using a 10 point scale, where 1 represents not at all important and 10 represents extremely important, how important is it to you that the federal government ban certain reproductive and genetic technologies that are considered unacceptable by Canadians?

Not at all important		(8%)
2		(3%)
3		(3%)
4		(4%)
5		(15%)
6		(5%)
7		(9%)

8		(14%)
9		(7%)
Extremely important		(27%)
Don't Know/No Opinion		(5%)
Mean Score For Scale:		6.83

4. Using a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important is it to you that the Government of Canada put in place a new regulatory body to oversee and regulate reproductive and genetic technologies?

Not at all important		(8%)
2		(2%)
3		(3%)
4		(3%)
5		(12%)
6		(6%)
7		(10%)
8		(16%)
9		(8%)
Extremely important		(29%)
Don't Know/No Opinion		(3%)
Mean Score For Scale:		7.12

B. Views on Prohibition and Regulation

The federal government is considering prohibiting or banning certain reproductive and genetic technologies considered as ethically unacceptable. In other cases the government is proposing to regulate technologies to ensure they are acceptable and safe. This would mean that the area would be subject to conditions, standards and regulations.

I am going to read you 10 activities. In your opinion, should ... (READ AND ROTATE Q5-14) be banned, regulated or remain as it is?

FOR EACH OF Q5-14 WHERE REGULATED IS SELECTED, ASK:

On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?

5. allowing research on human embryos

Banned		(24%)
Regulated		(52%)
Remain as it is		(20%)
Don't Know/No Opinion		(3%)

- 5A. if regulated in Q5:

Not at all important		(<1%)
2		(<1%)
3		(<1%)
4		(<1%)
5		(7%)
6		(5%)
7		(9%)
8		(20%)
9		(13%)
Extremely important		(45%)
Don't Know/No Opinion		(<1%)

Mean Score For Scale:8.59

6. paying female donors for their eggs

Banned (33%)
Regulated (40%)
Remain as it is (24%)
Don't Know/No Opinion (3%)

6A. if regulated in Q6

Not at all important (1%)
2 (<1%)
3 (1%)
4 (1%)
5 (8%)
6 (5%)
7 (12%)
8 (24%)
9 (10%)
Extremely important (37%)
Don't Know/No Opinion (<1%)
Mean Score For Scale:8.24

7. allowing payment to a surrogate mother who carries a child for someone else

Banned (26%)
Regulated (48%)
Remain as it is (23%)
Don't Know/No Opinion (3%)

7A. if regulated in Q7

Not at all important (1%)
2 (<1%)
3 (1%)
4 (1%)
5 (7%)
6 (6%)
7 (11%)
8 (23%)
9 (11%)
Extremely important (40%)
Don't Know/No Opinion (<1%)
Mean Score For Scale:8.37

8. procedures that allow parents, for non-medical reasons, to choose the sex of their baby

Banned (60%)
Regulated (17%)
Remain as it is (22%)
Don't Know/No Opinion (1%)

8A. if regulated in Q8

Not at all important (1%)
2 (<1%)
3 (1%)
4 (2%)
5 (13%)
6 (10%)
7 (14%)

- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| 8 | | (22%) |
| 9 | | (7%) |
| Extremely important | | (31%) |
| Mean Score For Scale: | | 7.76 |
9. removing reproductive material from fetuses for research or to help other people have a baby
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Banned | | (27%) |
| Regulated | | (52%) |
| Remain as it is | | (18%) |
| Don't Know/No Opinion | | (4%) |
- 9A. if regulated in Q9
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Not at all important | | (<1%) |
| 2 | | (<1%) |
| 3 | | (<1%) |
| 4 | | (<1%) |
| 5 | | (6%) |
| 6 | | (4%) |
| 7 | | (11%) |
| 8 | | (22%) |
| 9 | | (13%) |
| Extremely important | | (42%) |
| Don't Know/No Opinion | | (<1%) |
| Mean Score For Scale: | | 8.52 |
10. cloning human embryos
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Banned | | (74%) |
| Regulated | | (15%) |
| Remain as it is | | (8%) |
| Don't Know/No Opinion | | (2%) |
- 10A. if regulated in Q10
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Not at all important | | (1%) |
| 5 | | (5%) |
| 6 | | (4%) |
| 7 | | (8%) |
| 8 | | (16%) |
| 9 | | (13%) |
| Extremely important | | (54%) |
| Mean Score For Scale: | | 8.85 |
11. paying male donors for their sperm
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Banned | | (29%) |
| Regulated | | (40%) |
| Remain as it is | | (29%) |
| Don't Know/No Opinion | | (2%) |
- 11A. if regulated in Q11
- | | | |
|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Not at all important | | (1%) |
| 2 | | (1%) |
| 3 | | (2%) |
| 4 | | (1%) |
| 5 | | (8%) |
| 6 | | (6%) |
| 7 | | (13%) |

- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| 8 | | (22%) |
| 9 | | (10%) |
| Extremely important | | (37%) |
| Mean Score For Scale: | | 8.13 |
12. changing the genetic make-up of egg and sperm so that certain inherited traits are permanently altered for future generations
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Banned | | (52%) |
| Regulated | | (34%) |
| Remain as it is | | (12%) |
| Don't Know/No Opinion | | (2%) |
- 12A. if regulated in Q12
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Not at all important | | (1%) |
| 3 | | (<1%) |
| 4 | | (<1%) |
| 5 | | (6%) |
| 6 | | (3%) |
| 7 | | (9%) |
| 8 | | (18%) |
| 9 | | (14%) |
| Extremely important | | (48%) |
| Mean Score For Scale: | | 8.72 |
13. changing the genetic make-up of egg and sperm so that certain inherited traits are altered to change the physical characteristics of a child, such as height
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Banned | | (66%) |
| Regulated | | (19%) |
| Remain as it is | | (14%) |
| Don't Know/No Opinion | | (1%) |
- 13A. if regulated in Q13
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Not at all important | | (<1%) |
| 2 | | (<1%) |
| 3 | | (<1%) |
| 4 | | (1%) |
| 5 | | (8%) |
| 6 | | (3%) |
| 7 | | (10%) |
| 8 | | (21%) |
| 9 | | (9%) |
| Extremely important | | (47%) |
| Don't Know/No Opinion | | (<1%) |
| Mean Score For Scale: | | 8.56 |
14. retrieval of sperm or eggs from dead men or women to help people have a baby
- | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Banned | | (53%) |
| Regulated | | (32%) |
| Remain as it is | | (12%) |
| Don't Know/No Opinion | | (2%) |
- 14A. if regulated in Q14
- | | | |
|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Not at all important | | (1%) |
| 2 | | (1%) |
| 3 | | (<1%) |

4		(1%)
5		(10%)
6		(6%)
7		(8%)
8		(18%)
9		(12%)
Extremely important		(44%)
Mean Score For Scale:		8.33

The federal government is considering developing legislation for a new regulatory body to oversee and regulate reproductive and genetic technologies

Again, using a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important is it to you, that this regulatory body [READ AND ROTATE]

15. Safeguards the health of Canadians by regulating certain practices and banning others

Not at all important		(2%)
2		(1%)
3		(<1%)
4		(1%)
5		(7%)
6		(3%)
7		(7%)
8		(15%)
9		(9%)
Extremely important		(52%)
Don't Know/No Opinion		(3%)
Mean Score For Scale:		8.51

16. Ensures that human reproductive materials, such as sperm, eggs and embryos, are treated with respect

Not at all important		(2%)
2		(1%)
3		(<1%)
4		(<1%)
5		(3%)
6		(2%)
7		(4%)
8		(8%)
9		(9%)
Extremely important		(69%)
Don't Know/No Opinion		(2%)
Mean Score For Scale:		9.06

17. Protects the welfare of all persons, especially women using these technologies and children who are born as a result of them

Not at all important		(3%)
2		(1%)
3		(1%)
4		(1%)
5		(6%)
6		(2%)
7		(5%)
8		(12%)
9		(9%)
Extremely important		(57%)

- Don't Know/No Opinion (2%)
Mean Score For Scale: 8.60
18. Protects vulnerable members of our society such as surrogate mothers or donors who may be motivated to provide their services or eggs because they need money
- Not at all important (9%)
2 (3%)
3 (2%)
4 (2%)
5 (10%)
6 (4%)
7 (6%)
8 (13%)
9 (6%)
Extremely important (43%)
Don't Know/No Opinion (3%)
Mean Score For Scale: 7.48
19. Sets ethical standards for the use of reproductive and genetic technologies in society such as the commercialization of sperm and eggs
- Not at all important (7%)
2 (2%)
3 (1%)
4 (1%)
5 (7%)
6 (4%)
7 (5%)
8 (11%)
9 (9%)
Extremely important (49%)
Don't Know/No Opinion (3%)
Mean Score For Scale: 8.03
20. Keeps abreast of emerging issues and new technologies and keeps Canadians informed of these
- Not at all important (2%)
2 (1%)
3 (1%)
4 (1%)
5 (5%)
6 (2%)
7 (5%)
8 (11%)
9 (9%)
Extremely important (63%)
Don't Know/No Opinion (1%)
Mean Score For Scale: 8.87

C. Regulatory Activities

As mentioned earlier the federal government is considering developing legislation for a new regulatory body to oversee and regulate reproductive and genetic technologies.

Using a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, please tell me how important it is to you that this regulatory body:

21. sets national standards for the safe practice and application of these regulated technologies in clinics and research laboratories

Not at all important	(3%)
2	(1%)
3	(1%)
4	(1%)
5	(3%)
6	(3%)
7	(6%)
8	(13%)
9	(9%)
Extremely important	(59%)
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)
Mean Score For Scale:	8.77

22. inspects clinics and research laboratories performing these technologies and distributes licences if certain standards are met

Not at all important	(3%)
2	(1%)
3	(<1%)
4	(1%)
5	(3%)
6	(3%)
7	(6%)
8	(10%)
9	(9%)
Extremely important	(63%)
Don't Know/No Opinion	(2%)
Mean Score For Scale:	8.88

23. establishes registries so that the results, such as the rate of successful pregnancies, are available to the public

Not at all important	(3%)
2	(1%)
3	(1%)
4	(1%)
5	(7%)
6	(5%)
7	(7%)
8	(16%)
9	(8%)
Extremely important	(49%)
Don't Know/No Opinion	(3%)
Mean Score For Scale:	8.88

D. Education/Consultation and National Approach

24. Traditionally, the role of a regulatory body is to set standards and enforce them. If there were to be a regulatory body set up to oversee reproductive technologies, do you think that this body should also educate and provide information to the general public or do you think that education and information should be provided by a separate organization?

By this regulatory body	(63%)
By a separate organization	(32%)
Don't Know/No Opinion	(6%)

25. How important do you think it is for the regulatory body to have a consultative role, consulting with groups and the general public on emerging issues and new technologies before making regulatory decisions? Please use a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important.

Not at all important	(2%)
2	(<1%)
3	(1%)
4	(1%)
5	(4%)
6	(3%)
7	(7%)
8	(17%)
9	(10%)
Extremely important	(53%)
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)
Mean Score For Scale:	8.67

26. How important do you think it is to have a consistent approach across the provinces for regulating reproductive and genetic technologies. Please use a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important.

Not at all important	(3%)
2	(1%)
3	(1%)
4	(1%)
5	(5%)
6	(2%)
7	(6%)
8	(13%)
9	(8%)
Extremely important	(60%)
Don't Know/No Opinion	(1%)
Mean Score For Scale:	8.70

E. Classification Data/Demographics

Finally, for statistical purposes only, I'd like to ask you a few questions about yourself.

27. First, what is the highest level of schooling that you have completed? (READ)

Elementary School	(5%)
High School	(33%)
Community College	(22%)
Some University	(12%)
Completed University	(27%)
Don't Know	(<1%)
Refused	(<1%)

28. Have you ever had children

Yes	(65%)
No	(35%)

30. Do you know anyone, among your immediate family or your close friends, who has had an infertility problem or been unable to conceive a child of their own?

Yes	(52%)
No	(48%)
Don't Know	(<1%)

- Refused.....(<1%)
31. Which of the following best represents your annual household income?
- | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Less than \$25,000 | (14%) |
| \$25,000 to \$34,000 | (16%) |
| \$35,000 to \$44,000 | (15%) |
| \$45,000 to \$54,000 | (13%) |
| \$55,000 to \$64,000 | (8%) |
| \$65,000 to \$74,000 | (7%) |
| \$75,000 to \$100,000 | (9%) |
| More than \$100,000 | (7%) |
| Don't Know | (2%) |
| Refused..... | (7%) |
32. Language
- | | |
|--------------|-------|
| English..... | (78%) |
| French | (22%) |
33. Gender
- | | |
|--------------|-------|
| Male | (50%) |
| Female | (50%) |
34. Generation
- | | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Male - 18 to 34 | (16%) |
| Male - 35 to 54 | (23%) |
| Male - 55 or Over | (11%) |
| Female - 18 to 34 | (16%) |
| Female - 35 to 54 | (23%) |
| Female - 55 Or Over | (12%) |
35. Region
- | | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Atlantic | (8%) |
| Québec | (25%) |
| Ontario..... | (37%) |
| Prairies | (17%) |
| BC/Territories | (13%) |
36. Province
- | | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Newfoundland | (2%) |
| Nova Scotia | (3%) |
| New Brunswick | (3%) |
| Prince Edward Island | (<1%) |
| Québec | (25%) |
| Ontario..... | (37%) |
| Manitoba | (4%) |
| Saskatchewan | (3%) |
| Alberta | (9%) |
| British Columbia | (13%) |
| Yukon | (<1%) |
| WT & NUNAVUT | (<1%) |

Collapsed Scales

1. KNOWLEDGE ABOUT RGTs:
- | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Very Knowledgeable (10,9) | (7%) |
| Somewhat Knowledgeable (8,7) | (28%) |
| Neutral (5,6) | (36%) |

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Not Very knowledgeable (4,3) | | (18%) |
| | Not At All Knowledgeable (2,1) | | (11%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused | | (<1%) |
2. IMPORTANT: GOVERNMENT BANS UNACCEPTABLE RGTs:
- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Very Important (10,9) | | (33%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) | | (23%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) | | (21%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) | | (7%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) | | (11%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused | | (5%) |
3. IMPORTANCE: REGULATORY BODY OVERSEES RGTs:
- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Very Important (10,9) | | (37%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) | | (26%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) | | (18%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) | | (6%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) | | (10%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused | | (3%) |
- 5A. IMPT: REGULATING HUMAN EMBRYO RESEARCH:
- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Very Important (10,9) | | (59%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) | | (29%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) | | (11%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) | | (<1%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) | | (1%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused | | (<1%) |
- 6A. IMPT: REGULATING PAYING FEMALE DONORS FOR EGGS
- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Very Important (10,9) | | (48%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) | | (36%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) | | (14%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) | | (2%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) | | (1%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused | | (<1%) |
- 7A. IMPT: REGULATING PAYING SURROGATE MOTHERS
- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Very Important (10,9) | | (51%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) | | (34%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) | | (12%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) | | (1%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) | | (1%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused | | (<1%) |
- 8A. IMPT: REGULATING PARENTS CHOOSING SEX OF BABY
- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Very Important (10,9) | | (38%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) | | (35%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) | | (22%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) | | (3%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) | | (2%) |
- 9A. IMPT: REGULATING REMOVAL REPRODUCTIVE MATERIAL FROM FETUSES
- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| | Very Important (10,9) | | (55%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) | | (33%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) | | (10%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) | | (1%) |

- Not At All Important (2,1) (1%)
 Don't Know/Refused (<1%)
- 10A. IMPT: REGULATING CLONING HUMAN EMBRYOS
- Very Important (10,9) (67%)
 Somewhat Important (8,7) (24%)
 Neutral (5,6) (9%)
 Not At All Important (2,1) (1%)
- 11A. IMPT: REGULATING PAYING MALE DONORS FOR SPERM
- Very Important (10,9) (47%)
 Somewhat Important (8,7) (35%)
 Neutral (5,6) (14%)
 Not Very Important (4,3) (2%)
 Not At All Important (2,1) (2%)
- 12A. IMPT: REGULATING CHANGING GENETIC MAKEUP OF EGG & SPERM PERMANENTLY FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS
- Very Important (10,9) (63%)
 Somewhat Important (8,7) (27%)
 Neutral (5,6) (9%)
 Not Very Important (4,3) (1%)
 Not At All Important (2,1) (1%)
- 13A. IMPT: REGULATING CHANGING GENETIC MAKEUP OF EGG & SPERM FOR PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILD
- Very Important (10,9) (56%)
 Somewhat Important (8,7) (30%)
 Neutral (5,6) (11%)
 Not Very Important (4,3) (1%)
 Not At All Important (2,1) (<1%)
 Don't Know/Refused (<1%)
- 14A. IMPT: REGULATING RETRIEVING SPERM/EGGS FROM DEAD MEN/WOMEN
- Very Important (10,9) (56%)
 Somewhat Important (8,7) (26%)
 Neutral (5,6) (16%)
 Not Very Important (4,3) (1%)
 Not At All Important (2,1) (2%)
15. LEGISLATION: SAFEGUARD CANADIANS' HEALTH:
- Very Important (10,9) (61%)
 Somewhat Important (8,7) (21%)
 Neutral (5,6) (10%)
 Not Very Important (4,3) (2%)
 Not At All Important (2,1) (3%)
 Don't Know/Refused (3%)
16. LEGISLATION: ENSURE RESPECT TO REPRODUCTION MATERIAL:
- Very Important (10,9) (78%)
 Somewhat Important (8,7) (12%)
 Neutral (5,6) (5%)
 Not Very Important (4,3) (1%)
 Not At All Important (2,1) (3%)
 Don't Know/Refused (2%)
17. LEGISLATION: PROTECT WELFARE OF WHO USE RGTs:

- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | Very Important (10,9) |(66%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) |(17%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) |(8%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) |(2%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) |(4%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused |(2%) |
18. LEGISLATION: PROTECT VULNERABLE PERSONS:
- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | Very Important (10,9) |(49%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) |(19%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) |(14%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) |(4%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) |(12%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused |(3%) |
19. LEGISLATION: SET ETHICAL STANDARDS FOR USING RGTs:
- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | Very Important (10,9) |(58%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) |(17%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) |(10%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) |(2%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) |(9%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused |(3%) |
20. LEGISLATION: INFORM CND ABOUT NEW TECH/ISSUE:
- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | Very Important (10,9) |(71%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) |(16%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) |(7%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) |(2%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) |(3%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused |(1%) |
21. BODY: SET NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR RGTs:
- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | Very Important (10,9) |(68%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) |(19%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) |(6%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) |(1%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) |(3%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused |(2%) |
22. BODY: INSPECT/LICENCE RGTs PERFORMED:
- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | Very Important (10,9) |(72%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) |(16%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) |(6%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) |(1%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) |(3%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused |(2%) |
23. BODY: PROVIDE RGTs RESULTS TO PUBLIC:
- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | Very Important (10,9) |(56%) |
| | Somewhat Important (8,7) |(23%) |
| | Neutral (5,6) |(12%) |
| | Not Very Important (4,3) |(2%) |
| | Not At All Important (2,1) |(4%) |
| | Don't Know/Refused |(3%) |
25. IMPORTANCE: CONSULTIVE ROLE OF REGULATORY BODY:

Very Important (10,9)	(63%)
Somewhat Important (8,7)	(25%)
Neutral (5,6)	(7%)
Not Very Important (4,3)	(1%)
Not At All Important (2,1)	(3%)
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)

26. IMPORTANCE: CONSISTENT REGULATION IN ALL PROVINCES

Very Important (10,9)	(68%)
Somewhat Important (8,7)	(19%)
Neutral (5,6)	(6%)
Not Very Important (4,3)	(1%)
Not At All Important (2,1)	(4%)
Don't Know/Refused	(1%)

C. Crosstabulations

Crosstabulations: Assisted Human Reproduction

Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES	Table#1
Q2 WHAT THINK OF	Table#2-5
Q3 HOW KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES	Table#6
Q3 - MEANS	Table#7
Q4 DESCRIBES USE OF REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES	Table#8
Q5 IMPORTANT FED GOVN BAN CERTAIN REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES	Table#9
Q6 IMPORTANT GOVN PUT IN PLACE NEW REGUL BODY OVERSEE	Table#10
Q5-Q6 - MEANS	Table#11
Q7 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD DO	Table#12
Q8 SUPPORT CREATES NATNL REGULATORY BODY	Table#13
Q9A BANNED ALLOWING RESEARCH ON HUMAN EMBRYOS	Table#14
Q9B REGULATE RESEARCH ON HUMAN EMBRYOS	Table#15
Q10A PAYING FEMALE DONORS FOR THEIR EGGS	Table#16
Q10B REGULATE PAYING DONORS FOR EGGS	Table#17
Q11A ALLOWING PAYMENT TO A SURROGATE MOTHER	Table#18
Q11B REGULATE PAYMENT TO SURROGATE MOTHER	Table#19
Q12A PROCEDURES THAT ALLOW PARENTS CHOOSE SEX OF BABY	Table#20
Q12B REGULATE PARENTS CHOOSE SEX OF BABY	Table#21
Q9B-Q12B - MEANS	Table#22
Q14A CLONING HUMAN EMBRYOS	Table#23
Q14B REGULATE CLONING HUMAN EMBRYOS	Table#24
Q15A PAYING MALE DONORS FOR THEIR SPERM	Table#25
Q15B REGULATE PAYING DONORS FOR SPERM	Table#26
Q16A CHANGING THE GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG AND SPERM	Table#27
Q16B REGULATE CHANGING GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG/ SPERM	Table#28
Q17A CHANGING THE GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG AND SPERM	Table#29
Q17B REGULATE CHANGING GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG/ SPERM	Table#30
Q18A RETRIEVAL OF SPERM OR EGGS FROM DEAD MEN OR WOMEN	Table#31
Q18B REGULATE RETRIEVAL OF SPERM OR EGGS	Table#32
Q14B-Q18B - MEANS	Table#33
Q19 SAFEGUARDS HEALTH OF CANADIANS BY REGULAT CERTAIN PRACTICES	Table#34
Q20 ENSURES HUMAN REPRODUCTIVE MATERIALS TREATED WITH RESPECT	Table#35
Q21 PROTECTS THE WELFARE OF ALL PERSONS	Table#36
Q22 PROTECTS VULNERABLE MEMBERS OF OUR SOCIETY	Table#37
Q23 SETS ETHICAL STANDARDS FOR THE USE OF REPRODUCTIVE	Table#38
Q24 KEEPS ABREAST OF EMERGING ISSUES AND NEW TECHNOLOGIES	Table#39
Q19-Q24 - MEANS	Table#40-41
QNEW1 HEARD ABOUT STEM CELLS AND THEIR USE IN RESEARCH	Table#42
Q25 TAKING STEM CELLS FROM DONATED BONE MARROW OR BLOOD	Table#43
Q26 TAKING STEM CELLS FROM UMBILICAL CORDS FOR RESEARCH	Table#44
Q27 TAKING STEM CELLS FROM HUMAN EMBRYOS FOR RESEARCH	Table#45
Q25-Q27 - MEANS	Table#46
Q28 SETS NATIONAL STANDARDS	Table#47
Q29 INSPECTS CLINICS AND RESEARCH LABORATORIES	Table#48
Q30 ESTABLISHES REGISTRIES SO THAT RESULTS AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC	Table#49
Q28-Q30 - MEANS	Table#50
Q31 FOR THE REGULATORY BODY HAVE A CONSULTATIVE ROLE	Table#51

Q32 HAVE A CONSISTENT APPROACH ACROSS PROV
Q31-Q32 - MEANS
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN
Q35 ANYONE HAD AN INFERTILITY PROBLEM
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Q37 GENDER
Q38 REGION

Table#52
Table#53
Table#54-55
Table#56
Table#57
Table#58-59
Table#60
Table#61

Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES

"To begin, have you ever heard of the term reproductive technologies?"

	Total Respondents	Yes	No	Don't know/Unsure
TOTAL	(1200)	65%	33%	2%
QA AGE				
18 To 34	(317)	62%	36%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	67%	32%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	70%	28%	2%
55+	(318)	63%	34%	3%
Q37 GENDER				
Male	(591)	64%	35%	1%
Female	(609)	67%	31%	2%
Q38 REGION				
Atlantic	(98)	55%	42%	3%
Quebec	(296)	75%	24%	1%
Ontario	(445)	61%	37%	2%
Prairies	(199)	65%	32%	2%
BC/Territories	(162)	66%	33%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
Less than \$34,999	(325)	58%	39%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	64%	34%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	77%	22%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING				
High School Or Less	(437)	54%	44%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	64%	34%	2%
University Degree	(338)	83%	16%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH				
Yes	(785)	100%	0%	0%
No	(393)	0%	100%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN				
Yes	(840)	64%	34%	2%
No	(357)	68%	30%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM				
Yes	(626)	70%	28%	2%
No	(559)	60%	38%	2%

Q2 WHAT THINK OF

"What do you think of when you hear the term reproductive technologies?"

	Total Respondents	Makg/Havg Babies/ Something To Do W	DK/Refused	In-Vitro Fertiliztn/ Test-Tube Babies	Biogenetic Engineering/ Research	Dolly The Sheep/Human Cloning	Medcl Intervntn Havng To Do W Repr
TOTAL	(1200)	18%	18%	14%	14%	14%	12%
QA AGE							
18 To 34	(317)	20%	16%	11%	18%	14%	12%
35 To 44	(290)	18%	15%	19%	14%	17%	15%
45 To 54	(276)	20%	16%	17%	12%	15%	12%
55+	(318)	16%	25%	12%	12%	11%	9%
Q37 GENDER							
Male	(591)	17%	17%	12%	16%	16%	11%
Female	(609)	19%	19%	17%	13%	13%	12%
Q38 REGION							
Atlantic	(98)	19%	26%	7%	12%	11%	14%
Quebec	(296)	13%	20%	19%	19%	18%	12%
Ontario	(445)	19%	17%	14%	13%	12%	13%
Prairies	(199)	21%	15%	14%	12%	13%	9%
BC/Territories	(162)	20%	18%	13%	14%	15%	9%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME							
Less than \$34,999	(325)	19%	25%	8%	14%	15%	7%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	20%	17%	15%	12%	14%	12%
More than \$64,999	(307)	16%	10%	20%	17%	17%	16%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING							
High School Or Less	(437)	18%	28%	8%	13%	14%	6%
Community College/Some University	(419)	19%	15%	15%	13%	14%	13%
University Degree	(338)	17%	10%	22%	18%	15%	17%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH							
Yes	(785)	18%	11%	19%	17%	17%	15%
No	(393)	18%	32%	6%	9%	10%	5%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN							
Yes	(840)	18%	20%	16%	12%	13%	11%
No	(357)	19%	14%	12%	20%	16%	13%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM							
Yes	(626)	21%	14%	16%	14%	15%	13%
No	(559)	15%	23%	13%	15%	13%	10%

(Continued)

Q2 WHAT THINK OF

"What do you think of when you hear the term reproductive technologies?"

	Other	New Tech/Diff Ways To Hve Baby	Artificial Insemination	Playing God/ Intrferng W Nture	Nothing/Not Much	Fertility	Reproductive Systm/Sexual Organs
TOTAL	12%	7%	7%	4%	1%	1%	1%
QA AGE							
18 To 34	11%	8%	5%	3%	2%	2%	2%
35 To 44	9%	8%	8%	3%	1%	1%	0%
45 To 54	10%	8%	9%	4%	1%	0%	0%
55+	15%	5%	6%	7%	2%	1%	1%
Q37 GENDER							
Male	12%	6%	8%	5%	1%	1%	1%
Female	11%	8%	6%	4%	1%	2%	1%
Q38 REGION							
Atlantic	17%	8%	5%	3%	2%	1%	0%
Quebec	5%	3%	7%	3%	0%	1%	0%
Ontario	13%	9%	8%	4%	2%	2%	1%
Prairies	13%	8%	6%	6%	2%	1%	1%
BC/Territories	14%	7%	5%	6%	1%	1%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME							
Less than \$34,999	12%	5%	5%	5%	2%	0%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	13%	7%	6%	4%	2%	1%	0%
More than \$64,999	8%	10%	12%	3%	1%	2%	2%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING							
High School Or Less	12%	6%	4%	3%	2%	0%	0%
Community College/Some University	14%	7%	8%	5%	1%	1%	1%
University Degree	9%	10%	9%	4%	1%	2%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH							
Yes	9%	8%	9%	6%	1%	1%	0%
No	17%	5%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN							
Yes	11%	7%	7%	5%	1%	1%	1%
No	12%	8%	6%	4%	1%	2%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM							
Yes	10%	9%	7%	4%	1%	2%	1%
No	13%	6%	7%	4%	1%	1%	1%

(Continued)

Q2 WHAT THINK OF

"What do you think of when you hear the term reproductive technologies?"

	It Is Good/ Beneficial/ Useful	Do Not Understand What It Is	Ethics/Lack Of Ethics	Royl Commissn Report On Rprod Tech	Raising A Family Technologica lly	Transplants (NONSPECIFIC	Positive Scientific Advancement
TOTAL	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
QA AGE							
18 To 34	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
35 To 44	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
45 To 54	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
55+	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q37 GENDER							
Male	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Female	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q38 REGION							
Atlantic	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Quebec	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ontario	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Prairies	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
BC/Territories	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME							
Less than \$34,999	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
More than \$64,999	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING							
High School Or Less	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Community College/Some University	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
University Degree	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH							
Yes	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN							
Yes	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM							
Yes	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
No	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Continued)

Q2 WHAT THINK OF

"What do you think of when you hear the term reproductive technologies?"

Children
Born Outside
The Womb

TOTAL	0%
QA AGE	
18 To 34	0%
35 To 44	0%
45 To 54	0%
55+	0%
Q37 GENDER	
Male	0%
Female	0%
Q38 REGION	
Atlantic	0%
Quebec	0%
Ontario	0%
Prairies	0%
BC/Territories	0%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME	
Less than \$34,999	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	0%
More than \$64,999	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING	
High School Or Less	0%
Community College/Some University	0%
University Degree	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH	
Yes	0%
No	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN	
Yes	0%
No	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM	
Yes	0%
No	0%

Q3 HOW KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES

"In your opinion, how knowledgeable are you about these reproductive technologies? Please use a scale from 1 to 10, where 10 means very knowledgeable and 1 means not at all knowledgeable."

	Total Respondents	Knowledgeabl e (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Not Knowledgeabl e (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	24%	35%	40%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	27%	34%	39%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	21%	37%	41%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	27%	32%	40%	1%
55+	(318)	21%	38%	40%	2%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	22%	32%	44%	1%
Female	(609)	26%	38%	36%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	17%	37%	44%	2%
Quebec	(296)	29%	38%	33%	0%
Ontario	(445)	23%	35%	42%	0%
Prairies	(199)	21%	32%	46%	1%
BC/Territories	(162)	25%	33%	40%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	22%	36%	40%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	23%	35%	42%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	28%	35%	37%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	16%	31%	52%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	23%	37%	39%	0%
University Degree	(338)	36%	38%	26%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	30%	37%	32%	1%
No	(393)	13%	30%	56%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	24%	34%	41%	1%
No	(357)	24%	39%	37%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	28%	38%	34%	0%
No	(559)	20%	32%	47%	1%

Q3 - MEANS

"In your opinion, how knowledgeable are you about these reproductive technologies? Please use a scale from 1 to 10, where 10 means very knowledgeable and 1 means not at all knowledgeable."

	Q3 KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT REPR TECH
TOTAL	4.8 1191
QA AGE	
18 To 34	4.9 (315)
35 To 44	4.8 (289)
45 To 54	4.9 (274)
55+	4.6 (312)
Q37 GENDER	
Male	4.6 (586)
Female	5.0 (605)
Q38 REGION	
Atlantic	4.5 (96)
Quebec	5.1 (295)
Ontario	4.7 (444)
Prairies	4.5 (196)
BC/Territories	4.9 (160)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME	
Less than \$34,999	4.6 (319)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	4.8 (439)
More than \$64,999	5.0 (307)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING	
High School Or Less	4.2 (429)
Community College/Some University	4.8 (418)
University Degree	5.5 (338)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH	
Yes	5.3 (780)
No	3.9 (388)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN	
Yes	4.8 (832)
No	4.9 (357)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM	
Yes	5.2 (624)
No	4.4 (552)

Q4 DESCRIBES USE OF REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES

"To the best of your knowledge, which of the following best describes the use of reproductive technologies in Canada?"

	Total Respondents	Most contrvsl tech. banned by exist legisltn	Use of reprd. tech. subject to govn std	No legisltn/gov regultn direct use of tech	None of the above	Don't know/unsure
TOTAL	(1200)	16%	38%	31%	2%	13%
QA AGE						
18 To 34	(317)	17%	47%	22%	2%	12%
35 To 44	(290)	12%	45%	32%	1%	9%
45 To 54	(276)	21%	35%	31%	2%	12%
55+	(318)	15%	26%	38%	2%	19%
Q37 GENDER						
Male	(591)	17%	38%	32%	2%	11%
Female	(609)	15%	39%	30%	1%	15%
Q38 REGION						
Atlantic	(98)	14%	35%	30%	3%	18%
Quebec	(296)	15%	42%	36%	1%	6%
Ontario	(445)	18%	39%	31%	1%	11%
Prairies	(199)	15%	36%	29%	1%	19%
BC/Territories	(162)	17%	35%	24%	3%	21%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME						
Less than \$34,999	(325)	16%	34%	28%	3%	18%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	18%	40%	31%	1%	10%
More than \$64,999	(307)	16%	42%	33%	1%	7%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING						
High School Or Less	(437)	17%	33%	30%	2%	19%
Community College/Some University	(419)	17%	38%	32%	1%	12%
University Degree	(338)	16%	45%	31%	1%	7%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH						
Yes	(785)	17%	38%	34%	2%	9%
No	(393)	15%	39%	24%	1%	21%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN						
Yes	(840)	16%	36%	32%	2%	14%
No	(357)	16%	45%	27%	2%	11%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM						
Yes	(626)	17%	41%	29%	1%	11%
No	(559)	16%	36%	32%	2%	15%

Q5 IMPORTANT FED GOVN BAN CERTAIN REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES

"Using a 10 point scale, where 1 represents not at all important and 10 represents extremely important, how important is it to you that the federal government ban certain reproductive technologies if they are considered generally unacceptable to Canadians?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	71%	14%	12%	3%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	68%	18%	11%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	73%	14%	11%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	71%	13%	12%	4%
55+	(318)	71%	12%	13%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	65%	17%	14%	4%
Female	(609)	76%	11%	10%	3%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	69%	17%	11%	4%
Quebec	(296)	74%	16%	8%	2%
Ontario	(445)	71%	12%	14%	3%
Prairies	(199)	68%	15%	14%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	70%	15%	10%	5%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	65%	18%	12%	5%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	74%	12%	11%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	72%	14%	11%	2%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	66%	17%	14%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	74%	13%	11%	3%
University Degree	(338)	74%	14%	9%	4%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	73%	13%	10%	3%
No	(393)	66%	16%	14%	4%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	72%	13%	11%	3%
No	(357)	68%	18%	12%	3%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	75%	13%	9%	3%
No	(559)	67%	16%	14%	3%

Q6 IMPORTANT GOV'T PUT IN PLACE NEW REGUL BODY OVERSEE

"Using a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important is it to you that the Government of Canada put in place a new regulatory body to oversee and regulate reproductive technologies?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	70%	14%	14%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	71%	17%	10%	1%
35 To 44	(290)	69%	15%	15%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	70%	13%	14%	2%
55+	(318)	69%	10%	17%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	65%	15%	18%	2%
Female	(609)	75%	12%	10%	3%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	71%	16%	10%	3%
Quebec	(296)	79%	10%	10%	1%
Ontario	(445)	67%	15%	16%	2%
Prairies	(199)	65%	16%	17%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	64%	14%	18%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	69%	14%	15%	3%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	72%	15%	11%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	69%	13%	17%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	70%	14%	14%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	71%	13%	13%	3%
University Degree	(338)	69%	14%	17%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	73%	13%	13%	2%
No	(393)	64%	16%	17%	4%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	70%	13%	14%	2%
No	(357)	69%	16%	13%	2%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	72%	14%	12%	2%
No	(559)	68%	13%	16%	3%

Q5-Q6 - MEANS

"Using a 10 point scale, where 1 represents not at all important and 10 represents extremely important, how important is it to you that the federal government ban certain reproductive technologies if they are considered generally unacceptable to Canadians?"

	Q5 IMP FED GOVN BAN CERTAIN REPR TECH	Q6 IMP PUT IN PLACE NEW REGUL BODY
TOTAL	7.7 1162	7.5 1173
QA AGE		
18 To 34	7.4 (309)	7.5 (313)
35 To 44	7.7 (284)	7.4 (285)
45 To 54	7.8 (266)	7.5 (270)
55+	7.9 (304)	7.5 (304)
Q37 GENDER		
Male	7.4 (569)	7.1 (578)
Female	8.0 (594)	7.8 (594)
Q38 REGION		
Atlantic	7.7 (94)	7.7 (95)
Quebec	8.0 (289)	8.1 (293)
Ontario	7.5 (433)	7.3 (436)
Prairies	7.5 (192)	7.2 (194)
BC/Territories	7.8 (154)	7.1 (155)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME		
Less than \$34,999	7.6 (309)	7.5 (315)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	7.7 (430)	7.6 (431)
More than \$64,999	7.7 (300)	7.3 (305)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING		
High School Or Less	7.6 (423)	7.5 (427)
Community College/Some University	7.8 (408)	7.6 (408)
University Degree	7.8 (326)	7.4 (334)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH		
Yes	7.8 (762)	7.6 (773)
No	7.4 (379)	7.2 (378)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN		
Yes	7.8 (812)	7.6 (819)
No	7.4 (347)	7.3 (351)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM		
Yes	7.9 (606)	7.7 (614)
No	7.5 (542)	7.3 (545)

Q7 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD DO

"Based on what you know, which of the following do you feel the federal government should do?"

	Total Respondents	Enact legisln prohibit use tech. unacptbl	Create regul. body set std/enforce regults	Leave the current situation as it is	None of the above	Don't know/unsure
TOTAL	(1200)	19%	65%	13%	1%	2%
QA AGE						
18 To 34	(317)	21%	65%	13%	0%	1%
35 To 44	(290)	17%	69%	12%	1%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	18%	68%	11%	1%	2%
55+	(318)	21%	59%	15%	1%	3%
Q37 GENDER						
Male	(591)	19%	62%	16%	1%	2%
Female	(609)	20%	67%	10%	1%	1%
Q38 REGION						
Atlantic	(98)	15%	69%	13%	1%	1%
Quebec	(296)	15%	76%	8%	0%	1%
Ontario	(445)	21%	64%	13%	1%	1%
Prairies	(199)	24%	56%	18%	1%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	22%	56%	17%	2%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME						
Less than \$34,999	(325)	23%	62%	12%	1%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	18%	69%	11%	1%	1%
More than \$64,999	(307)	17%	65%	16%	1%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING						
High School Or Less	(437)	23%	60%	14%	1%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	18%	67%	12%	1%	2%
University Degree	(338)	17%	69%	13%	1%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH						
Yes	(785)	18%	67%	12%	1%	1%
No	(393)	22%	60%	14%	1%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN						
Yes	(840)	19%	65%	13%	1%	2%
No	(357)	20%	66%	12%	1%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM						
Yes	(626)	18%	69%	11%	1%	1%
No	(559)	22%	61%	15%	1%	2%

Q8 SUPPORT CREATES NATNL REGULATORY BODY

"Based on what you know now, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose legislation that creates a national regulatory body to oversee and regulate the use of reproductive technologies?"

	Total Respondents	Support	Oppose	Don't know/unsure
TOTAL	(1200)	81%	15%	4%
QA AGE				
18 To 34	(317)	86%	11%	3%
35 To 44	(290)	86%	12%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	84%	14%	2%
55+	(318)	71%	22%	7%
Q37 GENDER				
Male	(591)	78%	18%	5%
Female	(609)	85%	12%	3%
Q38 REGION				
Atlantic	(98)	84%	12%	4%
Quebec	(296)	92%	7%	1%
Ontario	(445)	79%	16%	5%
Prairies	(199)	76%	19%	5%
BC/Territories	(162)	73%	22%	6%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
Less than \$34,999	(325)	82%	13%	5%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	84%	13%	3%
More than \$64,999	(307)	82%	16%	2%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING				
High School Or Less	(437)	79%	16%	5%
Community College/Some University	(419)	84%	14%	2%
University Degree	(338)	82%	15%	3%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH				
Yes	(785)	84%	14%	2%
No	(393)	77%	16%	7%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN				
Yes	(840)	81%	15%	4%
No	(357)	84%	14%	2%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM				
Yes	(626)	84%	13%	3%
No	(559)	79%	16%	4%

Q9A BANNED ALLOWING RESEARCH ON HUMAN EMBRYOS

"After I read each one, I'd like you to tell me, in your opinion, whether each activity should be banned, regulated or neither banned nor regulated? Allowing research on human embryos"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	26%	59%	12%	3%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	22%	64%	13%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	24%	63%	11%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	25%	60%	12%	3%
55+	(318)	33%	51%	10%	6%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	23%	59%	15%	3%
Female	(609)	28%	59%	9%	4%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	28%	61%	8%	3%
Quebec	(296)	24%	60%	14%	2%
Ontario	(445)	24%	62%	11%	2%
Prairies	(199)	34%	52%	10%	5%
BC/Territories	(162)	23%	57%	13%	6%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	32%	54%	9%	4%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	23%	63%	11%	3%
More than \$64,999	(307)	19%	65%	14%	2%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	30%	53%	11%	6%
Community College/Some University	(419)	26%	63%	10%	1%
University Degree	(338)	20%	63%	15%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	25%	61%	12%	2%
No	(393)	29%	55%	12%	5%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	28%	57%	10%	4%
No	(357)	20%	64%	15%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	24%	63%	11%	2%
No	(559)	28%	56%	12%	4%

Q9B REGULATE RESEARCH ON HUMAN EMBRYOS

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(710)	83%	13%	2%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(202)	82%	16%	2%	1%
35 To 44	(182)	84%	12%	3%	1%
45 To 54	(165)	82%	16%	2%	0%
55+	(161)	85%	10%	4%	2%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(349)	81%	15%	2%	1%
Female	(361)	85%	12%	3%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(60)	85%	12%	2%	0%
Quebec	(176)	90%	8%	1%	0%
Ontario	(278)	80%	17%	2%	1%
Prairies	(103)	81%	16%	3%	1%
BC/Territories	(93)	82%	12%	4%	2%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(175)	83%	13%	3%	1%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(276)	84%	14%	2%	0%
More than \$64,999	(201)	82%	15%	3%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(230)	80%	16%	3%	1%
Community College/Some University	(264)	86%	12%	2%	1%
University Degree	(214)	83%	13%	2%	2%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(481)	85%	11%	3%	1%
No	(215)	79%	18%	2%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(480)	84%	13%	2%	1%
No	(230)	82%	15%	3%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(393)	84%	12%	4%	1%
No	(313)	82%	16%	1%	1%

Q10A PAYING FEMALE DONORS FOR THEIR EGGS

"Paying female donors for their eggs?"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	34%	46%	16%	3%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	24%	54%	21%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	27%	54%	17%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	37%	44%	16%	3%
55+	(318)	47%	33%	13%	7%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	31%	47%	19%	3%
Female	(609)	37%	46%	14%	4%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	36%	46%	16%	3%
Quebec	(296)	30%	45%	20%	5%
Ontario	(445)	34%	48%	15%	3%
Prairies	(199)	36%	46%	15%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	37%	42%	16%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	39%	40%	15%	6%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	33%	47%	17%	3%
More than \$64,999	(307)	27%	54%	18%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	41%	38%	16%	6%
Community College/Some University	(419)	31%	52%	16%	2%
University Degree	(338)	28%	51%	18%	2%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	35%	46%	16%	3%
No	(393)	32%	46%	18%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	37%	44%	14%	4%
No	(357)	27%	51%	21%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	32%	49%	17%	3%
No	(559)	36%	44%	16%	4%

Q10B REGULATE PAYING DONORS FOR EGGS

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(554)	78%	18%	3%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(171)	74%	21%	4%	1%
35 To 44	(158)	79%	18%	3%	0%
45 To 54	(121)	81%	14%	5%	1%
55+	(106)	78%	19%	2%	2%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(276)	74%	21%	4%	1%
Female	(278)	81%	15%	3%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(45)	86%	12%	1%	1%
Quebec	(133)	86%	11%	2%	1%
Ontario	(215)	72%	23%	5%	0%
Prairies	(92)	75%	21%	3%	1%
BC/Territories	(69)	77%	18%	3%	3%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(130)	82%	15%	2%	1%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(206)	74%	21%	4%	2%
More than \$64,999	(165)	80%	15%	4%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(164)	76%	19%	3%	2%
Community College/Some University	(218)	81%	16%	3%	0%
University Degree	(172)	75%	20%	4%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(361)	79%	17%	3%	1%
No	(182)	76%	20%	4%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(372)	79%	17%	3%	1%
No	(182)	76%	19%	5%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(308)	81%	16%	2%	0%
No	(244)	74%	20%	5%	2%

Q11A ALLOWING PAYMENT TO A SURROGATE MOTHER

"Allowing payment to a surrogate mother who carries a child for someone else?"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	29%	51%	18%	3%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	19%	54%	24%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	23%	56%	19%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	29%	51%	17%	2%
55+	(318)	43%	41%	12%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	28%	48%	21%	3%
Female	(609)	29%	53%	15%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	28%	50%	19%	3%
Quebec	(296)	31%	43%	23%	2%
Ontario	(445)	26%	57%	15%	2%
Prairies	(199)	28%	52%	17%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	33%	45%	17%	5%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	35%	44%	17%	4%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	27%	55%	16%	3%
More than \$64,999	(307)	23%	54%	21%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	34%	46%	17%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	29%	52%	18%	1%
University Degree	(338)	23%	55%	19%	3%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	29%	52%	17%	2%
No	(393)	29%	47%	21%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	32%	50%	16%	3%
No	(357)	22%	53%	24%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	25%	55%	18%	2%
No	(559)	33%	46%	18%	3%

Q11B REGULATE PAYMENT TO SURROGATE MOTHER

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(606)	83%	14%	2%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(173)	83%	14%	2%	1%
35 To 44	(163)	84%	12%	4%	0%
45 To 54	(141)	83%	16%	1%	0%
55+	(129)	82%	14%	1%	3%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(281)	80%	18%	2%	0%
Female	(325)	86%	10%	2%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(49)	87%	10%	1%	1%
Quebec	(127)	87%	10%	2%	1%
Ontario	(253)	83%	14%	3%	0%
Prairies	(104)	80%	16%	3%	2%
BC/Territories	(73)	82%	17%	0%	2%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(144)	83%	13%	2%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(240)	84%	13%	2%	1%
More than \$64,999	(167)	84%	13%	3%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(201)	84%	13%	2%	1%
Community College/Some University	(216)	86%	12%	1%	1%
University Degree	(186)	80%	16%	4%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(410)	83%	13%	3%	1%
No	(184)	83%	16%	1%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(417)	85%	12%	2%	1%
No	(189)	79%	18%	2%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(345)	83%	15%	2%	0%
No	(257)	84%	13%	2%	2%

Q12A PROCEDURES THAT ALLOW PARENTS CHOOSE SEX OF BABY

"Procedures that allow parents, for non-medical reasons, to choose the sex of their baby?"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	67%	17%	15%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	71%	15%	14%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	68%	15%	15%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	64%	19%	15%	2%
55+	(318)	64%	18%	15%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	62%	20%	16%	2%
Female	(609)	72%	14%	13%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	64%	22%	12%	2%
Quebec	(296)	71%	11%	17%	0%
Ontario	(445)	63%	21%	14%	3%
Prairies	(199)	69%	16%	13%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	69%	14%	14%	2%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	67%	19%	12%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	67%	16%	16%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	66%	17%	16%	2%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	69%	16%	13%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	66%	16%	16%	2%
University Degree	(338)	65%	18%	15%	2%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	69%	15%	14%	2%
No	(393)	61%	20%	16%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	68%	17%	13%	2%
No	(357)	63%	17%	19%	2%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	67%	16%	15%	2%
No	(559)	66%	18%	14%	2%

Q12B REGULATE PARENTS CHOOSE SEX OF BABY

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(200)	67%	24%	8%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(47)	59%	31%	10%	0%
35 To 44	(44)	72%	23%	5%	0%
45 To 54	(53)	77%	16%	7%	0%
55+	(56)	61%	26%	8%	5%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(116)	68%	23%	7%	2%
Female	(84)	65%	26%	8%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(21)	68%	28%	5%	0%
Quebec	(33)	83%	17%	0%	0%
Ontario	(91)	63%	24%	12%	1%
Prairies	(32)	64%	27%	7%	2%
BC/Territories	(23)	61%	27%	5%	7%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(60)	70%	16%	8%	5%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(69)	64%	28%	8%	0%
More than \$64,999	(53)	70%	23%	7%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(71)	67%	19%	10%	4%
Community College/Some University	(69)	68%	26%	6%	0%
University Degree	(59)	66%	28%	6%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(119)	71%	21%	7%	1%
No	(77)	59%	28%	10%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(140)	67%	23%	8%	2%
No	(60)	67%	26%	7%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(97)	66%	25%	8%	0%
No	(100)	67%	23%	7%	3%

Q9B-Q12B - MEANS

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Q9B REGULATE RESEARCH ON HUMAN EMBRYOS	Q10B REGULATE PAYING DONORS FOR EGGS	Q11B REGULATE PAYMENT TO SURROGATE MOTHER	Q12B REGULATE PARENTS CHOOSE SEX OF BABY
TOTAL	8.3 (703)	8.1 (549)	8.3 (601)	7.5 (197)
QA AGE				
18 To 34	8.2 (201)	7.8 (168)	8.2 (171)	7.1 (47)
35 To 44	8.4 (180)	8.0 (158)	8.2 (163)	7.6 (44)
45 To 54	8.2 (164)	8.3 (120)	8.3 (141)	7.8 (53)
55+	8.4 (158)	8.3 (104)	8.6 (126)	7.4 (53)
Q37 GENDER				
Male	8.2 (346)	7.8 (273)	8.0 (280)	7.5 (113)
Female	8.4 (357)	8.3 (276)	8.5 (321)	7.4 (83)
Q38 REGION				
Atlantic	8.6 (60)	8.4 (45)	8.6 (49)	7.5 (21)
Quebec	8.7 (176)	8.5 (132)	8.5 (126)	8.3 (33)
Ontario	8.1 (274)	7.8 (214)	8.2 (252)	7.1 (90)
Prairies	8.1 (102)	7.9 (92)	8.1 (102)	7.6 (31)
BC/Territories	8.0 (91)	8.1 (67)	8.3 (72)	7.4 (21)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
Less than \$34,999	8.4 (173)	8.2 (129)	8.4 (142)	7.7 (57)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	8.3 (275)	8.0 (203)	8.3 (239)	7.3 (69)
More than \$64,999	8.1 (199)	8.0 (165)	8.2 (167)	7.5 (53)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING				
High School Or Less	8.2 (228)	8.0 (161)	8.4 (199)	7.5 (68)
Community College/Some University	8.4 (263)	8.3 (217)	8.4 (215)	7.4 (69)
University Degree	8.3 (210)	7.8 (171)	8.0 (184)	7.5 (59)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH				
Yes	8.4 (475)	8.1 (357)	8.3 (405)	7.7 (118)
No	8.1 (214)	8.0 (181)	8.3 (183)	7.2 (74)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN				
Yes	8.4 (474)	8.2 (367)	8.4 (411)	7.5 (137)
No	8.2 (229)	7.8 (182)	8.0 (189)	7.5 (60)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM				
Yes	8.3 (389)	8.2 (307)	8.3 (344)	7.2 (97)
No	8.3 (310)	7.9 (241)	8.3 (253)	7.7 (97)

Q14A CLONING HUMAN EMBRYOS

"Cloning human embryos?"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	76%	17%	4%	3%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	72%	22%	4%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	75%	21%	4%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	76%	16%	4%	4%
55+	(318)	82%	9%	4%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	72%	20%	5%	3%
Female	(609)	81%	14%	3%	3%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	81%	13%	4%	2%
Quebec	(296)	78%	16%	3%	3%
Ontario	(445)	74%	20%	3%	2%
Prairies	(199)	78%	15%	5%	2%
BC/Territories	(162)	75%	14%	7%	5%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	78%	15%	3%	4%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	76%	18%	4%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	73%	21%	4%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	78%	12%	5%	5%
Community College/Some University	(419)	77%	19%	3%	2%
University Degree	(338)	73%	21%	4%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	76%	19%	3%	2%
No	(393)	77%	14%	6%	4%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	77%	15%	4%	3%
No	(357)	75%	21%	4%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	76%	18%	4%	2%
No	(559)	77%	16%	4%	3%

Q14B REGULATE CLONING HUMAN EMBRYOS

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(203)	89%	7%	3%	0%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(69)	96%	4%	1%	0%
35 To 44	(60)	86%	8%	6%	0%
45 To 54	(45)	86%	12%	1%	0%
55+	(30)	86%	7%	5%	2%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(120)	90%	7%	4%	0%
Female	(84)	89%	9%	1%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(12)	79%	21%	0%	0%
Quebec	(48)	89%	11%	0%	0%
Ontario	(90)	94%	3%	2%	0%
Prairies	(31)	82%	11%	6%	2%
BC/Territories	(22)	86%	5%	9%	0%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(49)	89%	7%	4%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(78)	86%	11%	3%	0%
More than \$64,999	(65)	94%	3%	3%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(54)	86%	11%	1%	1%
Community College/Some University	(79)	90%	7%	4%	0%
University Degree	(71)	92%	6%	3%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(147)	88%	9%	3%	0%
No	(54)	93%	4%	3%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(130)	91%	6%	2%	0%
No	(74)	87%	9%	4%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(112)	88%	8%	4%	0%
No	(89)	91%	6%	2%	1%

Q15A PAYING MALE DONORS FOR THEIR SPERM

"Paying male donors for their sperm?"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	33%	47%	18%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	21%	55%	23%	1%
35 To 44	(290)	26%	55%	18%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	34%	48%	16%	2%
55+	(318)	49%	32%	15%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	33%	43%	22%	2%
Female	(609)	33%	51%	15%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	37%	45%	15%	3%
Quebec	(296)	31%	42%	25%	2%
Ontario	(445)	30%	53%	16%	1%
Prairies	(199)	35%	46%	17%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	37%	43%	16%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	37%	41%	19%	4%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	31%	48%	19%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	26%	54%	20%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	39%	42%	16%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	31%	49%	19%	1%
University Degree	(338)	26%	52%	21%	2%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	33%	47%	19%	2%
No	(393)	32%	47%	18%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	36%	45%	17%	3%
No	(357)	25%	52%	23%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	27%	52%	18%	2%
No	(559)	38%	42%	18%	2%

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(564)	76%	19%	4%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(173)	70%	25%	4%	1%
35 To 44	(158)	78%	17%	5%	0%
45 To 54	(132)	83%	13%	4%	0%
55+	(101)	77%	19%	3%	2%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(256)	71%	24%	5%	0%
Female	(308)	81%	15%	4%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(44)	80%	18%	1%	1%
Quebec	(124)	86%	14%	1%	0%
Ontario	(234)	72%	21%	6%	1%
Prairies	(91)	71%	23%	6%	1%
BC/Territories	(70)	79%	15%	6%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(133)	75%	21%	4%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(213)	75%	19%	5%	1%
More than \$64,999	(165)	78%	16%	5%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(184)	74%	20%	5%	1%
Community College/Some University	(205)	80%	16%	3%	0%
University Degree	(175)	75%	20%	5%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(369)	78%	18%	4%	0%
No	(184)	73%	21%	5%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(379)	80%	15%	4%	1%
No	(186)	70%	26%	5%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(328)	79%	16%	4%	0%
No	(234)	72%	23%	4%	1%

24

Q16A CHANGING THE GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG AND SPERM

"Changing the genetic make-up of egg and sperm so that certain inherited traits are permanently altered for future generations?"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	56%	34%	8%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	53%	37%	10%	1%
35 To 44	(290)	58%	36%	5%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	55%	34%	9%	3%
55+	(318)	60%	29%	6%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	55%	33%	9%	2%
Female	(609)	58%	34%	6%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	61%	30%	6%	3%
Quebec	(296)	55%	33%	11%	1%
Ontario	(445)	55%	37%	6%	2%
Prairies	(199)	61%	30%	7%	2%
BC/Territories	(162)	54%	34%	8%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	63%	26%	7%	4%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	55%	36%	8%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	52%	40%	8%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	61%	26%	9%	4%
Community College/Some University	(419)	59%	32%	7%	1%
University Degree	(338)	46%	46%	7%	2%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	54%	37%	7%	2%
No	(393)	61%	27%	10%	2%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	58%	33%	7%	3%
No	(357)	52%	36%	10%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	53%	38%	8%	2%
No	(559)	61%	29%	8%	3%

Q16B REGULATE CHANGING GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG AND SPERM

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(404)	89%	8%	3%	0%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(116)	91%	8%	1%	0%
35 To 44	(103)	93%	4%	3%	0%
45 To 54	(93)	88%	11%	1%	0%
55+	(92)	84%	10%	5%	1%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(198)	87%	9%	4%	0%
Female	(206)	91%	7%	2%	0%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(29)	87%	12%	1%	0%
Quebec	(97)	90%	6%	3%	1%
Ontario	(163)	88%	9%	3%	0%
Prairies	(59)	90%	8%	2%	0%
BC/Territories	(56)	91%	5%	4%	0%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(84)	87%	9%	4%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(158)	90%	8%	2%	0%
More than \$64,999	(121)	91%	6%	2%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(114)	82%	14%	3%	1%
Community College/Some University	(135)	92%	7%	1%	0%
University Degree	(155)	92%	4%	4%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(294)	91%	7%	3%	0%
No	(107)	85%	11%	3%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(273)	89%	8%	3%	0%
No	(130)	90%	8%	2%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(238)	89%	7%	3%	0%
No	(163)	89%	9%	3%	0%

Q17A CHANGING THE GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG AND SPERM

"Changing the genetic make-up of egg and sperm so that certain inherited traits are altered to change the physical characteristics of a child, such as height"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	76%	16%	7%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	75%	17%	8%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	80%	14%	5%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	75%	15%	8%	3%
55+	(318)	74%	17%	7%	3%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	72%	18%	9%	2%
Female	(609)	80%	14%	5%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	78%	13%	6%	3%
Quebec	(296)	77%	13%	9%	1%
Ontario	(445)	75%	18%	5%	2%
Prairies	(199)	78%	15%	5%	1%
BC/Territories	(162)	73%	16%	9%	3%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	77%	15%	6%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	75%	17%	7%	1%
More than \$64,999	(307)	76%	17%	6%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	75%	14%	8%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	78%	15%	6%	1%
University Degree	(338)	74%	19%	6%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	76%	17%	6%	1%
No	(393)	75%	14%	9%	2%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	76%	15%	6%	2%
No	(357)	75%	18%	7%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	76%	16%	6%	1%
No	(559)	75%	15%	7%	2%

Q17B REGULATE CHANGING GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG AND SPERM

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(189)	80%	16%	3%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(53)	80%	20%	0%	0%
35 To 44	(42)	87%	12%	1%	0%
45 To 54	(41)	86%	9%	5%	0%
55+	(53)	71%	21%	6%	2%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(106)	79%	17%	3%	0%
Female	(82)	82%	14%	3%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(13)	88%	7%	5%	0%
Quebec	(38)	93%	7%	0%	0%
Ontario	(81)	75%	20%	5%	0%
Prairies	(30)	79%	17%	2%	2%
BC/Territories	(26)	77%	19%	1%	3%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(48)	76%	19%	4%	1%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(75)	79%	19%	2%	0%
More than \$64,999	(53)	84%	12%	3%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(61)	69%	26%	3%	2%
Community College/Some University	(63)	84%	13%	3%	0%
University Degree	(64)	86%	10%	3%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(132)	84%	13%	2%	1%
No	(55)	71%	24%	5%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(126)	79%	16%	4%	1%
No	(63)	83%	16%	1%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(103)	81%	15%	3%	0%
No	(86)	80%	17%	2%	1%

Q18A RETRIEVAL OF SPERM OR EGGS FROM DEAD MEN OR WOMEN

"Retrieval of sperm or eggs from dead men or women to help people have a baby"

	Total Respondents	Banned	Regulated	Neither Banned Nor Regulated	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(1200)	58%	32%	8%	3%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	53%	36%	9%	1%
35 To 44	(290)	55%	35%	8%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	58%	32%	8%	2%
55+	(318)	64%	24%	7%	5%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	53%	34%	11%	2%
Female	(609)	63%	29%	5%	3%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	57%	33%	8%	2%
Quebec	(296)	57%	32%	9%	1%
Ontario	(445)	57%	33%	7%	3%
Prairies	(199)	61%	28%	8%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	56%	31%	8%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	64%	26%	7%	3%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	56%	32%	8%	3%
More than \$64,999	(307)	48%	41%	10%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	67%	23%	8%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	56%	34%	7%	3%
University Degree	(338)	47%	40%	10%	3%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	55%	35%	8%	2%
No	(393)	63%	26%	9%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	60%	29%	8%	3%
No	(357)	51%	38%	9%	2%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	53%	35%	9%	2%
No	(559)	62%	28%	6%	3%

Q18B REGULATE RETRIEVAL OF SPERM OR EGGS

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/No Opinion
TOTAL	(380)	83%	12%	4%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(115)	84%	12%	3%	1%
35 To 44	(101)	85%	9%	5%	0%
45 To 54	(88)	86%	13%	1%	0%
55+	(76)	77%	16%	6%	1%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(202)	77%	19%	4%	0%
Female	(178)	91%	5%	3%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(32)	83%	16%	1%	0%
Quebec	(96)	84%	11%	2%	2%
Ontario	(146)	83%	11%	6%	0%
Prairies	(56)	82%	16%	2%	0%
BC/Territories	(50)	84%	12%	5%	0%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(86)	81%	13%	6%	1%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(141)	85%	10%	4%	1%
More than \$64,999	(126)	85%	12%	3%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(99)	82%	13%	4%	1%
Community College/Some University	(144)	85%	11%	4%	0%
University Degree	(136)	82%	14%	3%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(272)	84%	12%	4%	0%
No	(102)	82%	14%	4%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(243)	82%	13%	4%	1%
No	(136)	85%	12%	3%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(221)	84%	13%	3%	0%
No	(157)	82%	12%	4%	1%

32

Q14B-Q18B - MEANS

"On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think it is to regulate this?"

	Q14B REGULATE CLONING HUMAN EMBRYOS	Q15B REGULATE PAYING DONORS FOR SPERM	Q16B REGULATE CHANGING GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG/ SPERM	Q17B REGULATE CHANGING GENETIC MAKE-UP OF EGG/ SPERM	Q18B REGULATE RETRIEVAL OF SPERM OR EGGS
TOTAL	8.9 (203)	7.9 (561)	8.8 (403)	8.3 (188)	8.4 (377)
QA AGE					
18 To 34	9.2 (69)	7.7 (171)	8.8 (116)	8.1 (53)	8.4 (114)
35 To 44	8.8 (60)	7.8 (158)	8.9 (103)	8.8 (42)	8.5 (101)
45 To 54	8.8 (45)	8.3 (132)	8.7 (93)	8.6 (41)	8.6 (88)
55+	8.6 (30)	8.1 (99)	8.6 (91)	7.9 (52)	8.1 (75)
Q37 GENDER					
Male	8.8 (120)	7.6 (255)	8.6 (197)	8.2 (106)	8.1 (202)
Female	9.0 (83)	8.2 (306)	8.9 (206)	8.5 (81)	8.7 (175)
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	8.7 (12)	8.1 (44)	8.7 (29)	8.5 (13)	8.6 (32)
Quebec	9.1 (48)	8.6 (124)	9.0 (96)	9.0 (38)	8.5 (93)
Ontario	8.9 (90)	7.7 (233)	8.5 (163)	7.9 (81)	8.3 (146)
Prairies	8.7 (30)	7.7 (91)	8.9 (59)	8.2 (30)	8.3 (56)
BC/Territories	8.8 (22)	8.0 (70)	8.9 (56)	8.4 (25)	8.5 (50)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	8.8 (49)	8.0 (133)	8.7 (84)	8.2 (48)	8.2 (85)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	8.7 (78)	7.9 (211)	8.9 (158)	8.2 (75)	8.6 (140)
More than \$64,999	9.1 (65)	7.9 (165)	8.7 (121)	8.4 (53)	8.4 (126)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	8.8 (53)	7.9 (182)	8.6 (113)	7.9 (60)	8.4 (98)
Community College/Some University	8.9 (79)	8.1 (204)	8.9 (135)	8.4 (63)	8.5 (144)
University Degree	8.9 (71)	7.7 (175)	8.7 (155)	8.6 (64)	8.2 (134)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	8.8 (147)	8.0 (367)	8.8 (294)	8.4 (131)	8.4 (271)
No	9.1 (54)	7.8 (182)	8.5 (106)	8.0 (55)	8.3 (101)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	9.0 (129)	8.1 (376)	8.8 (273)	8.3 (125)	8.4 (241)
No	8.8 (74)	7.7 (186)	8.7 (130)	8.3 (62)	8.4 (136)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	8.8 (112)	8.0 (328)	8.8 (237)	8.4 (102)	8.5 (220)
No	9.0 (88)	7.8 (232)	8.8 (163)	8.3 (85)	8.2 (155)

Q19 SAFEGUARDS HEALTH OF CANADIANS BY REGULAT CERTAIN PRACTICES

"Using a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important is it to you, that this regulatory body Safeguards the health of Canadians by regulating certain practices and banning others"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	83%	8%	5%	4%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	88%	8%	3%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	88%	6%	4%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	87%	7%	5%	2%
55+	(318)	72%	10%	9%	8%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	79%	10%	8%	4%
Female	(609)	88%	6%	3%	3%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	83%	9%	5%	4%
Quebec	(296)	92%	3%	3%	2%
Ontario	(445)	82%	9%	6%	3%
Prairies	(199)	78%	10%	7%	5%
BC/Territories	(162)	76%	10%	7%	7%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	80%	8%	6%	5%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	86%	7%	5%	1%
More than \$64,999	(307)	83%	8%	4%	4%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	79%	10%	7%	4%
Community College/Some University	(419)	87%	5%	4%	3%
University Degree	(338)	85%	7%	5%	3%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	85%	6%	5%	3%
No	(393)	79%	10%	7%	4%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	82%	8%	5%	5%
No	(357)	87%	8%	5%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	86%	6%	4%	3%
No	(559)	81%	9%	6%	4%

Q20 ENSURES HUMAN REPRODUCTIVE MATERIALS TREATED WITH RESPECT

"Ensures that human reproductive materials, such as sperm, eggs and embryos, are treated with respect"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	89%	5%	4%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	93%	4%	3%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	90%	6%	3%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	91%	4%	2%	3%
55+	(318)	82%	4%	8%	5%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	86%	6%	5%	3%
Female	(609)	92%	3%	3%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	90%	5%	3%	2%
Quebec	(296)	93%	2%	3%	2%
Ontario	(445)	89%	5%	4%	2%
Prairies	(199)	87%	6%	5%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	86%	5%	6%	3%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	87%	4%	5%	3%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	91%	4%	3%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	89%	7%	3%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	87%	4%	6%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	91%	4%	3%	1%
University Degree	(338)	89%	6%	3%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	90%	5%	3%	2%
No	(393)	87%	5%	6%	2%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	89%	4%	4%	3%
No	(357)	90%	5%	4%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	90%	5%	3%	2%
No	(559)	88%	4%	5%	3%

Q21 PROTECTS THE WELFARE OF ALL PERSONS

"Protects the welfare of all persons, especially women using these technologies and children who are born as a result of them"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	83%	7%	6%	3%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	86%	10%	4%	1%
35 To 44	(290)	87%	5%	6%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	86%	6%	5%	3%
55+	(318)	76%	7%	10%	8%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	79%	10%	8%	4%
Female	(609)	88%	4%	5%	3%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	88%	5%	5%	2%
Quebec	(296)	85%	6%	7%	2%
Ontario	(445)	86%	6%	6%	3%
Prairies	(199)	78%	9%	7%	6%
BC/Territories	(162)	78%	10%	8%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	80%	7%	8%	4%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	88%	6%	4%	1%
More than \$64,999	(307)	83%	7%	7%	3%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	80%	9%	8%	4%
Community College/Some University	(419)	86%	6%	5%	3%
University Degree	(338)	85%	6%	7%	3%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	85%	7%	5%	3%
No	(393)	80%	8%	9%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	83%	6%	7%	4%
No	(357)	85%	10%	5%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	86%	6%	5%	3%
No	(559)	81%	8%	8%	4%

Q22 PROTECTS VULNERABLE MEMBERS OF OUR SOCIETY

"Protects vulnerable members of our society such as surrogate mothers or donors who may be motivated to provide their services or eggs because they need money"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	70%	12%	14%	4%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	73%	13%	12%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	71%	14%	12%	3%
45 To 54	(276)	77%	8%	10%	5%
55+	(318)	60%	11%	20%	8%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	66%	15%	13%	5%
Female	(609)	74%	8%	14%	4%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	66%	13%	18%	4%
Quebec	(296)	77%	9%	11%	3%
Ontario	(445)	70%	12%	15%	4%
Prairies	(199)	69%	11%	16%	5%
BC/Territories	(162)	62%	16%	14%	8%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	64%	13%	17%	6%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	74%	11%	12%	4%
More than \$64,999	(307)	73%	11%	12%	4%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	65%	11%	18%	6%
Community College/Some University	(419)	74%	11%	11%	4%
University Degree	(338)	72%	13%	12%	3%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	73%	11%	12%	5%
No	(393)	64%	14%	18%	4%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	68%	12%	15%	5%
No	(357)	75%	11%	11%	2%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	72%	11%	13%	4%
No	(559)	68%	12%	15%	5%

Q23 SETS ETHICAL STANDARDS FOR THE USE OF REPRODUCTIVE

"Sets ethical standards for the use of reproductive and genetic technologies in society such as the commercialization of sperm and eggs"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	77%	8%	11%	4%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	80%	9%	9%	3%
35 To 44	(290)	81%	9%	8%	2%
45 To 54	(276)	80%	9%	8%	4%
55+	(318)	68%	6%	18%	8%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	71%	9%	15%	5%
Female	(609)	82%	7%	7%	3%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	74%	13%	9%	4%
Quebec	(296)	78%	8%	12%	2%
Ontario	(445)	79%	7%	10%	4%
Prairies	(199)	76%	7%	12%	5%
BC/Territories	(162)	73%	10%	11%	6%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	67%	11%	16%	6%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	83%	8%	8%	2%
More than \$64,999	(307)	81%	6%	9%	4%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	69%	12%	14%	5%
Community College/Some University	(419)	82%	6%	9%	3%
University Degree	(338)	82%	7%	9%	3%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	81%	7%	9%	3%
No	(393)	69%	11%	16%	5%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	76%	8%	11%	5%
No	(357)	79%	9%	10%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	81%	8%	9%	3%
No	(559)	73%	9%	13%	5%

78

Q24 KEEPS ABREAST OF EMERGING ISSUES AND NEW TECHNOLOGIES

"Keeps abreast of emerging issues and new technologies and keeps Canadians informed of these"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	90%	5%	3%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	92%	6%	2%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	93%	4%	3%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	92%	5%	2%	1%
55+	(318)	83%	7%	6%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	86%	8%	4%	2%
Female	(609)	94%	3%	3%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	89%	6%	4%	1%
Quebec	(296)	91%	5%	3%	1%
Ontario	(445)	91%	5%	3%	1%
Prairies	(199)	88%	6%	4%	1%
BC/Territories	(162)	88%	6%	3%	2%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	87%	7%	4%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	93%	4%	3%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	91%	6%	1%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	86%	6%	5%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	91%	5%	2%	2%
University Degree	(338)	93%	5%	2%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	91%	5%	2%	2%
No	(393)	87%	6%	5%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	90%	5%	4%	2%
No	(357)	91%	7%	2%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	92%	5%	2%	1%
No	(559)	88%	6%	5%	1%

Q19-Q24 - MEANS

"Using a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important is it to you, that this regulatory body Safeguards the health of Canadians by regulating certain practices and banning others"

	Q19 SAFEGUARDS HEALTH OF CANADIANS	Q20 ENSURES HUMAN REPR MATERIALS	Q21 PROTECTS THE WELFARE OF ALL PERSONS
TOTAL	8.6 1157	9.0 1173	8.6 1160
QA AGE			
18 To 34	8.7 (311)	9.2 (315)	8.7 (313)
35 To 44	8.7 (284)	9.1 (289)	8.6 (286)
45 To 54	8.7 (271)	9.2 (269)	8.8 (268)
55+	8.1 (291)	8.8 (300)	8.4 (294)
Q37 GENDER			
Male	8.2 (567)	8.8 (576)	8.3 (569)
Female	8.9 (590)	9.3 (598)	9.0 (591)
Q38 REGION			
Atlantic	8.6 (94)	9.2 (96)	8.8 (96)
Quebec	9.0 (291)	9.3 (290)	8.8 (289)
Ontario	8.4 (432)	9.0 (437)	8.7 (433)
Prairies	8.3 (189)	8.9 (194)	8.4 (187)
BC/Territories	8.3 (151)	8.8 (157)	8.3 (155)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME			
Less than \$34,999	8.5 (308)	9.0 (314)	8.4 (311)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	8.6 (434)	9.2 (433)	8.8 (434)
More than \$64,999	8.6 (294)	9.0 (303)	8.6 (297)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING			
High School Or Less	8.4 (418)	9.0 (421)	8.5 (418)
Community College/Some University	8.7 (404)	9.2 (413)	8.8 (408)
University Degree	8.5 (329)	9.0 (333)	8.7 (329)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH			
Yes	8.7 (758)	9.1 (766)	8.8 (760)
No	8.3 (378)	8.9 (386)	8.4 (380)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN			
Yes	8.6 (801)	9.1 (817)	8.7 (804)
No	8.4 (354)	9.0 (354)	8.5 (355)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM			
Yes	8.7 (607)	9.1 (615)	8.8 (609)
No	8.4 (539)	9.0 (545)	8.5 (539)

Q19-Q24 - MEANS

"Using a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important is it to you, that this regulatory body Safeguards the health of Canadians by regulating certain practices and banning others"

	Q22 PROTECTS VULNERABLE MEMBERS	Q23 SETS ETHICAL STANDARDS	Q24 KEEPS ABREAST OF EMERGING ISSUES
TOTAL	7.7 1146	8.2 1152	9.0 1183
QA AGE			
18 To 34	7.7 (311)	8.1 (308)	9.0 (316)
35 To 44	7.8 (282)	8.3 (284)	9.0 (289)
45 To 54	8.2 (263)	8.4 (266)	9.1 (273)
55+	7.2 (291)	7.7 (293)	8.8 (306)
Q37 GENDER			
Male	7.5 (560)	7.7 (561)	8.7 (580)
Female	7.9 (587)	8.5 (591)	9.2 (603)
Q38 REGION			
Atlantic	7.4 (94)	8.0 (94)	9.0 (97)
Quebec	8.2 (286)	8.2 (289)	9.2 (292)
Ontario	7.6 (429)	8.3 (429)	8.9 (440)
Prairies	7.5 (189)	8.0 (188)	8.9 (196)
BC/Territories	7.5 (149)	8.1 (152)	8.9 (158)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME			
Less than \$34,999	7.3 (306)	7.6 (306)	8.9 (317)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	7.9 (424)	8.4 (432)	9.0 (438)
More than \$64,999	8.0 (295)	8.4 (294)	9.1 (304)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING			
High School Or Less	7.4 (412)	7.7 (413)	8.8 (428)
Community College/Some University	8.0 (402)	8.4 (404)	9.1 (413)
University Degree	7.8 (327)	8.4 (330)	9.1 (337)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH			
Yes	7.9 (748)	8.4 (757)	9.1 (772)
No	7.3 (377)	7.6 (374)	8.7 (389)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN			
Yes	7.7 (795)	8.2 (797)	9.0 (825)
No	7.8 (349)	8.1 (353)	8.8 (356)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM			
Yes	7.9 (601)	8.4 (609)	9.1 (620)
No	7.6 (534)	7.9 (532)	8.8 (551)

QNEW1 HEARD ABOUT STEM CELLS AND THEIR USE IN RESEARCH

"Recently, the media has reported stories about stem cell research and the possibilities this research offers the treatment of human disease and illness. Have you heard about stem cells and their use in research?"

	Total Respondents	Yes	No	Not sure
TOTAL	(1200)	50%	47%	3%
QA AGE				
18 To 34	(317)	40%	56%	4%
35 To 44	(290)	53%	45%	3%
45 To 54	(276)	51%	45%	4%
55+	(318)	55%	42%	3%
Q37 GENDER				
Male	(591)	49%	48%	4%
Female	(609)	51%	46%	3%
Q38 REGION				
Atlantic	(98)	44%	52%	4%
Quebec	(296)	45%	48%	7%
Ontario	(445)	51%	46%	2%
Prairies	(199)	48%	51%	1%
BC/Territories	(162)	58%	39%	3%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
Less than \$34,999	(325)	43%	52%	4%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	46%	51%	3%
More than \$64,999	(307)	62%	35%	3%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING				
High School Or Less	(437)	38%	59%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	48%	49%	3%
University Degree	(338)	67%	29%	4%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH				
Yes	(785)	59%	37%	4%
No	(393)	30%	67%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN				
Yes	(840)	50%	47%	3%
No	(357)	49%	48%	4%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM				
Yes	(626)	56%	41%	3%
No	(559)	43%	53%	4%

Q25 TAKING STEM CELLS FROM DONATED BONE MARROW OR BLOOD

"On a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is totally unacceptable and a 10 is totally acceptable, how acceptable do you find each of the following? Taking stem cells from donated bone marrow or blood for research purposes."

	Total Respondents	Acceptable (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unacceptable (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	79%	14%	7%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	80%	12%	8%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	81%	14%	4%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	80%	12%	6%	2%
55+	(318)	74%	15%	9%	2%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	77%	15%	7%	1%
Female	(609)	80%	12%	6%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	83%	11%	6%	1%
Quebec	(296)	69%	17%	12%	1%
Ontario	(445)	83%	13%	4%	1%
Prairies	(199)	78%	13%	7%	2%
BC/Territories	(162)	83%	11%	5%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	71%	17%	11%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	82%	13%	5%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	86%	9%	5%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	74%	16%	9%	1%
Community College/Some University	(419)	80%	13%	6%	1%
University Degree	(338)	83%	12%	4%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	80%	13%	7%	1%
No	(393)	76%	16%	7%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	79%	13%	7%	1%
No	(357)	79%	14%	7%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	82%	12%	5%	1%
No	(559)	76%	15%	8%	1%

Q26 TAKING STEM CELLS FROM UMBILICAL CORDS FOR RESEARCH

"Taking stem cells from umbilical cords for research. In this case, the umbilical cords are obtained with the consent of the mother and are used explicitly for research."

	Total Respondents	Acceptable (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unacceptable (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	84%	9%	5%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	85%	9%	5%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	88%	9%	2%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	84%	9%	5%	2%
55+	(318)	79%	10%	8%	3%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	83%	10%	6%	2%
Female	(609)	85%	9%	4%	1%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	87%	7%	5%	1%
Quebec	(296)	76%	15%	7%	2%
Ontario	(445)	89%	6%	4%	1%
Prairies	(199)	83%	10%	5%	2%
BC/Territories	(162)	86%	8%	5%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	78%	12%	8%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	88%	9%	4%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	89%	7%	3%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	80%	11%	7%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	87%	8%	4%	1%
University Degree	(338)	86%	8%	4%	2%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	85%	9%	5%	2%
No	(393)	83%	11%	6%	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	84%	9%	6%	2%
No	(357)	85%	10%	4%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	87%	8%	4%	1%
No	(559)	81%	11%	7%	2%

Q27 TAKING STEM CELLS FROM HUMAN EMBRYOS FOR RESEARCH

"Taking stem cells from human embryos for research. In this case, the embryos are donated by couples who produced them for In-vitro-fertilization but no longer require them."

	Total Respondents	Acceptable (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unacceptable (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	52%	17%	27%	4%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	59%	16%	23%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	53%	19%	24%	4%
45 To 54	(276)	53%	16%	28%	2%
55+	(318)	44%	15%	32%	8%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	54%	18%	24%	4%
Female	(609)	51%	15%	30%	4%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	51%	14%	31%	4%
Quebec	(296)	50%	20%	27%	3%
Ontario	(445)	57%	16%	24%	4%
Prairies	(199)	48%	14%	34%	4%
BC/Territories	(162)	50%	17%	26%	7%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	49%	19%	28%	5%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	55%	16%	26%	3%
More than \$64,999	(307)	56%	16%	25%	4%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	51%	16%	28%	5%
Community College/Some University	(419)	53%	18%	24%	5%
University Degree	(338)	54%	15%	30%	2%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	52%	16%	29%	4%
No	(393)	54%	18%	24%	4%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	51%	15%	29%	5%
No	(357)	56%	20%	23%	2%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	54%	17%	27%	3%
No	(559)	51%	17%	27%	5%

Q25-Q27 - MEANS

"On a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is totally unacceptable and a 10 is totally acceptable, how acceptable do you find each of the following? Taking stem cells from donated bone marrow or blood for research purposes."

	Q25 TAK STEM CELLS FROM DONATED	Q26 TAK STEM CELLS FROM UMBILICAL	Q27 TAK STEM CELLS FROM HUMAN EMBRYOS
TOTAL	8.3 1187	8.6 1183	6.4 1152
QA AGE			
18 To 34	8.2 (316)	8.5 (315)	6.7 (312)
35 To 44	8.5 (288)	8.9 (288)	6.5 (279)
45 To 54	8.4 (271)	8.6 (271)	6.3 (269)
55+	8.1 (313)	8.4 (309)	5.9 (292)
Q37 GENDER			
Male	8.2 (584)	8.5 (580)	6.5 (565)
Female	8.4 (603)	8.7 (602)	6.2 (587)
Q38 REGION			
Atlantic	8.5 (97)	8.8 (97)	6.2 (94)
Quebec	7.6 (293)	8.1 (290)	6.3 (288)
Ontario	8.6 (441)	8.8 (440)	6.7 (428)
Prairies	8.3 (196)	8.6 (196)	5.9 (192)
BC/Territories	8.5 (160)	8.7 (161)	6.3 (150)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME			
Less than \$34,999	7.8 (320)	8.3 (318)	6.2 (310)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	8.4 (438)	8.8 (440)	6.5 (427)
More than \$64,999	8.8 (306)	9.0 (304)	6.7 (296)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING			
High School Or Less	8.0 (431)	8.4 (429)	6.2 (415)
Community College/Some University	8.4 (414)	8.7 (416)	6.5 (399)
University Degree	8.6 (336)	8.8 (331)	6.3 (331)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH			
Yes	8.4 (776)	8.7 (771)	6.3 (756)
No	8.2 (389)	8.4 (389)	6.5 (376)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN			
Yes	8.3 (828)	8.6 (824)	6.2 (797)
No	8.2 (356)	8.6 (356)	6.7 (352)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM			
Yes	8.5 (618)	8.8 (619)	6.4 (608)
No	8.1 (555)	8.4 (550)	6.3 (532)

Q28 SETS NATIONAL STANDARDS

"Using a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, please tell me how important it is to you that this regulatory body: sets national standards for the safe practice and application of these regulated technologies in clinics and research laboratories"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	86%	6%	6%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	89%	7%	3%	1%
35 To 44	(290)	89%	6%	4%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	87%	5%	6%	2%
55+	(318)	81%	6%	9%	4%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	83%	9%	7%	2%
Female	(609)	89%	4%	5%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	89%	5%	5%	1%
Quebec	(296)	86%	5%	7%	2%
Ontario	(445)	87%	7%	5%	1%
Prairies	(199)	86%	7%	5%	1%
BC/Territories	(162)	83%	6%	7%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	82%	7%	9%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	89%	6%	4%	1%
More than \$64,999	(307)	89%	5%	4%	2%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	81%	8%	8%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	89%	5%	4%	2%
University Degree	(338)	90%	5%	5%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	88%	5%	5%	2%
No	(393)	83%	8%	7%	2%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	86%	6%	6%	2%
No	(357)	88%	7%	4%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	89%	5%	5%	1%
No	(559)	84%	7%	7%	2%

Q29 INSPECTS CLINICS AND RESEARCH LABORATORIES

"inspects clinics and research laboratories performing these technologies and distributes licenses if certain standards are met"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	88%	6%	4%	2%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	91%	6%	2%	1%
35 To 44	(290)	92%	6%	2%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	88%	6%	4%	2%
55+	(318)	80%	7%	8%	5%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	84%	9%	4%	2%
Female	(609)	91%	4%	4%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	91%	4%	4%	1%
Quebec	(296)	90%	3%	4%	2%
Ontario	(445)	88%	7%	3%	1%
Prairies	(199)	85%	7%	6%	2%
BC/Territories	(162)	83%	9%	4%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	85%	6%	6%	3%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	90%	6%	3%	1%
More than \$64,999	(307)	90%	7%	2%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	85%	6%	6%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	90%	5%	3%	2%
University Degree	(338)	89%	7%	3%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	89%	6%	3%	2%
No	(393)	85%	8%	5%	2%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	87%	6%	4%	3%
No	(357)	90%	6%	4%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	90%	6%	3%	1%
No	(559)	86%	7%	5%	2%

Q30 ESTABLISHES REGISTRIES SO THAT RESULTS AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC

"establishes registries so that the results, such as the rate of successful pregnancies, are available to the public"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	77%	11%	9%	3%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	80%	11%	7%	2%
35 To 44	(290)	82%	12%	7%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	82%	8%	7%	3%
55+	(318)	65%	13%	16%	6%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	75%	13%	10%	2%
Female	(609)	79%	9%	9%	3%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	76%	13%	8%	3%
Quebec	(296)	83%	9%	6%	2%
Ontario	(445)	77%	11%	11%	1%
Prairies	(199)	72%	11%	13%	3%
BC/Territories	(162)	72%	16%	8%	5%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	75%	12%	9%	5%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	80%	12%	8%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	79%	9%	10%	2%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	75%	11%	11%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	79%	10%	8%	2%
University Degree	(338)	77%	12%	9%	2%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	79%	11%	8%	2%
No	(393)	74%	11%	12%	3%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	77%	10%	10%	3%
No	(357)	78%	13%	8%	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	80%	9%	8%	2%
No	(559)	74%	13%	10%	3%

Q28-Q30 - MEANS

"Using a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, please tell me how important it is to you that this regulatory body: sets national standards for the safe practice and application of these regulated technologies in clinics and research laboratories"

	Q28 SETS NATIONAL STANDARDS	Q29 INSPECTS CLINICS/ RESEARCH LAB	Q30 ESTABLISHES REGISTRIES
TOTAL	8.7 1179	8.9 1176	8.1 1169
QA AGE			
18 To 34	8.7 (315)	9.0 (313)	8.3 (311)
35 To 44	8.8 (288)	9.1 (289)	8.3 (289)
45 To 54	8.8 (270)	9.0 (270)	8.4 (269)
55+	8.4 (306)	8.6 (303)	7.4 (300)
Q37 GENDER			
Male	8.4 (581)	8.7 (577)	8.0 (577)
Female	8.9 (598)	9.1 (598)	8.2 (592)
Q38 REGION			
Atlantic	8.9 (97)	9.0 (97)	8.1 (95)
Quebec	8.6 (291)	9.1 (290)	8.6 (289)
Ontario	8.7 (439)	8.9 (439)	8.0 (438)
Prairies	8.6 (196)	8.7 (195)	7.7 (192)
BC/Territories	8.6 (156)	8.7 (155)	8.0 (154)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME			
Less than \$34,999	8.4 (318)	8.8 (316)	8.1 (310)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	8.8 (436)	9.0 (437)	8.2 (439)
More than \$64,999	8.8 (301)	9.0 (302)	8.1 (301)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING			
High School Or Less	8.4 (427)	8.8 (422)	7.9 (423)
Community College/Some University	8.9 (410)	9.1 (413)	8.3 (409)
University Degree	8.7 (337)	8.8 (336)	8.1 (331)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH			
Yes	8.8 (772)	9.0 (770)	8.2 (768)
No	8.5 (386)	8.7 (385)	7.8 (380)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN			
Yes	8.7 (821)	8.9 (818)	8.1 (814)
No	8.6 (355)	8.9 (355)	8.1 (352)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM			
Yes	8.8 (619)	9.0 (619)	8.3 (614)
No	8.5 (548)	8.8 (545)	8.0 (541)

Q31 FOR THE REGULATORY BODY HAVE A CONSULTATIVE ROLE

"How important do you think it is for the regulatory body to have a consultative role, consulting with groups and the general public on emerging issues and new technologies before making regulatory decisions?"

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	85%	9%	4%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	88%	10%	2%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	84%	11%	4%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	89%	6%	4%	1%
55+	(318)	78%	11%	8%	3%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	82%	11%	5%	1%
Female	(609)	87%	8%	3%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	86%	10%	3%	1%
Quebec	(296)	84%	12%	4%	1%
Ontario	(445)	87%	8%	4%	1%
Prairies	(199)	84%	9%	5%	2%
BC/Territories	(162)	82%	9%	5%	4%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	82%	12%	4%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	87%	9%	3%	1%
More than \$64,999	(307)	86%	8%	5%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	82%	9%	6%	3%
Community College/Some University	(419)	88%	8%	3%	1%
University Degree	(338)	85%	10%	4%	1%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	87%	8%	4%	1%
No	(393)	81%	12%	6%	2%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	84%	9%	5%	2%
No	(357)	86%	10%	3%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	88%	8%	3%	1%
No	(559)	82%	11%	6%	2%

Q32 HAVE A CONSISTENT APPROACH ACROSS PROV

"How important do you think it is to have a consistent approach across the provinces for regulating reproductive and genetic technologies."

	Total Respondents	Important (7-10)	Neutral (5,6)	Unimportant (1-4)	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	85%	8%	6%	1%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	85%	10%	5%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	89%	6%	4%	1%
45 To 54	(276)	85%	8%	5%	1%
55+	(318)	81%	7%	9%	3%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	81%	10%	7%	1%
Female	(609)	89%	5%	4%	2%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	88%	8%	4%	0%
Quebec	(296)	81%	9%	8%	2%
Ontario	(445)	87%	6%	5%	2%
Prairies	(199)	86%	7%	5%	2%
BC/Territories	(162)	84%	10%	5%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	81%	10%	7%	2%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	88%	7%	4%	1%
More than \$64,999	(307)	86%	8%	6%	1%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	84%	8%	6%	2%
Community College/Some University	(419)	88%	7%	4%	1%
University Degree	(338)	84%	9%	7%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	87%	7%	5%	1%
No	(393)	81%	9%	8%	2%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	85%	7%	5%	2%
No	(357)	85%	9%	6%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	88%	6%	5%	1%
No	(559)	82%	9%	7%	2%

52

Q31-Q32 - MEANS

"How important do you think it is for the regulatory body to have a consultative role, consulting with groups and the general public on emerging issues and new technologies before making regulatory decisions?"

	Q31 REGULATORY BODY CONSULTATIVE ROLE	Q32 CONSISTENT APPROACH ACROSS PROV
TOTAL	8.6 1182	8.7 1183
QA AGE		
18 To 34	8.7 (316)	8.6 (316)
35 To 44	8.5 (286)	8.9 (286)
45 To 54	8.8 (273)	8.7 (273)
55+	8.3 (308)	8.5 (307)
Q37 GENDER		
Male	8.4 (582)	8.4 (583)
Female	8.7 (600)	9.0 (600)
Q38 REGION		
Atlantic	8.7 (97)	8.9 (98)
Quebec	8.5 (294)	8.4 (291)
Ontario	8.6 (440)	8.8 (438)
Prairies	8.6 (194)	8.7 (196)
BC/Territories	8.4 (156)	8.8 (160)
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME		
Less than \$34,999	8.6 (320)	8.5 (320)
\$35,000 to \$64,999	8.6 (437)	8.8 (437)
More than \$64,999	8.5 (305)	8.7 (305)
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING		
High School Or Less	8.5 (425)	8.6 (427)
Community College/Some University	8.7 (417)	8.9 (413)
University Degree	8.5 (335)	8.5 (337)
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH		
Yes	8.7 (775)	8.8 (776)
No	8.3 (386)	8.5 (385)
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN		
Yes	8.6 (823)	8.8 (823)
No	8.5 (357)	8.5 (357)
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM		
Yes	8.7 (620)	8.8 (622)
No	8.4 (550)	8.5 (548)

Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING

"First, what is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?"

	Total Respondents	Elementary School	High School	Community College	Some University	Completed University	Don't Know
TOTAL	(1200)	6%	30%	25%	10%	28%	0%
QA AGE							
18 To 34	(317)	3%	29%	30%	8%	30%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	2%	28%	27%	9%	34%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	6%	28%	27%	10%	29%	0%
55+	(318)	15%	35%	16%	12%	21%	0%
Q37 GENDER							
Male	(591)	7%	31%	21%	9%	30%	0%
Female	(609)	6%	29%	29%	10%	26%	0%
Q38 REGION							
Atlantic	(98)	13%	31%	21%	13%	21%	0%
Quebec	(296)	4%	31%	27%	6%	32%	0%
Ontario	(445)	5%	28%	27%	9%	31%	0%
Prairies	(199)	8%	35%	21%	10%	25%	0%
BC/Territories	(162)	8%	27%	25%	17%	22%	0%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME							
Less than \$34,999	(325)	16%	38%	22%	9%	14%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	3%	33%	29%	11%	23%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	1%	14%	25%	9%	50%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING							
High School Or Less	(437)	18%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Community College/Some University	(419)	0%	0%	72%	28%	0%	0%
University Degree	(338)	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH							
Yes	(785)	4%	26%	24%	10%	36%	0%
No	(393)	10%	38%	27%	9%	14%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN							
Yes	(840)	8%	32%	24%	10%	26%	0%
No	(357)	4%	25%	27%	9%	34%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM							
Yes	(626)	4%	26%	27%	9%	33%	0%
No	(559)	8%	34%	23%	11%	23%	0%

(Continued)

57

Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING

"First, what is the highest level of schooling that you have completed?"

Refused

TOTAL	0%
QA AGE	
18 To 34	0%
35 To 44	0%
45 To 54	1%
55+	1%
Q37 GENDER	
Male	1%
Female	0%
Q38 REGION	
Atlantic	0%
Quebec	0%
Ontario	0%
Prairies	0%
BC/Territories	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME	
Less than \$34,999	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	0%
More than \$64,999	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING	
High School Or Less	0%
Community College/Some University	0%
University Degree	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH	
Yes	0%
No	1%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN	
Yes	0%
No	1%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM	
Yes	0%
No	0%

Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN

"Have you ever had children"

	Total Respondents	Yes	No	DK/Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	70%	30%	0%
QA AGE				
18 To 34	(317)	38%	62%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	77%	23%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	77%	23%	0%
55+	(318)	90%	10%	0%
Q37 GENDER				
Male	(591)	65%	35%	0%
Female	(609)	75%	25%	0%
Q38 REGION				
Atlantic	(98)	75%	25%	0%
Quebec	(296)	65%	35%	0%
Ontario	(445)	70%	30%	0%
Prairies	(199)	74%	26%	0%
BC/Territories	(162)	72%	28%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
Less than \$34,999	(325)	63%	37%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	70%	30%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	76%	24%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING				
High School Or Less	(437)	77%	23%	0%
Community College/Some University	(419)	69%	31%	0%
University Degree	(338)	64%	36%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH				
Yes	(785)	69%	31%	0%
No	(393)	72%	28%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN				
Yes	(840)	100%	0%	0%
No	(357)	0%	100%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM				
Yes	(626)	72%	27%	0%
No	(559)	67%	33%	0%

Q35 ANYONE HAD AN INFERTILITY PROBLEM

"Do you know anyone, among your immediate family or your close friends, who has had an infertility problem or been unable to conceive a child of their own?"

	Total Respondents	Yes	No	Don't Know	Refused
TOTAL	(1200)	52%	47%	1%	0%
QA AGE					
18 To 34	(317)	50%	49%	0%	0%
35 To 44	(290)	61%	38%	1%	0%
45 To 54	(276)	54%	45%	1%	0%
55+	(318)	44%	54%	2%	0%
Q37 GENDER					
Male	(591)	43%	56%	1%	0%
Female	(609)	61%	38%	1%	0%
Q38 REGION					
Atlantic	(98)	50%	49%	1%	0%
Quebec	(296)	48%	52%	0%	0%
Ontario	(445)	55%	43%	2%	0%
Prairies	(199)	55%	44%	1%	0%
BC/Territories	(162)	50%	48%	1%	1%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Less than \$34,999	(325)	40%	58%	2%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	54%	45%	1%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	64%	36%	1%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING					
High School Or Less	(437)	43%	55%	2%	0%
Community College/Some University	(419)	54%	45%	0%	0%
University Degree	(338)	61%	38%	1%	0%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH					
Yes	(785)	56%	43%	1%	0%
No	(393)	45%	54%	1%	0%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN					
Yes	(840)	54%	45%	1%	0%
No	(357)	48%	51%	1%	0%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM					
Yes	(626)	100%	0%	0%	0%
No	(559)	0%	100%	0%	0%

Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME

"Which of the following best represents your annual household income?"

	Total Respondents	Less than \$25,000	\$25,000 to \$34,000	\$35,000 to \$44,000	\$45,000 to \$54,000	\$55,000 to \$64,000	\$65,000 to \$74,000
TOTAL	(1200)	14%	13%	15%	13%	8%	8%
QA AGE							
18 To 34	(317)	17%	18%	17%	11%	6%	8%
35 To 44	(290)	10%	7%	15%	18%	9%	9%
45 To 54	(276)	9%	8%	11%	15%	10%	10%
55+	(318)	20%	16%	16%	9%	8%	4%
Q37 GENDER							
Male	(591)	13%	12%	15%	14%	9%	8%
Female	(609)	15%	13%	15%	12%	8%	8%
Q38 REGION							
Atlantic	(98)	18%	17%	13%	14%	8%	6%
Quebec	(296)	18%	18%	16%	12%	6%	4%
Ontario	(445)	11%	8%	15%	14%	10%	10%
Prairies	(199)	13%	15%	17%	13%	7%	7%
BC/Territories	(162)	17%	11%	12%	12%	10%	9%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME							
Less than \$34,999	(325)	53%	47%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	0%	0%	41%	36%	23%	0%
More than \$64,999	(307)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING							
High School Or Less	(437)	25%	16%	16%	14%	7%	4%
Community College/Some University	(419)	11%	12%	17%	14%	12%	9%
University Degree	(338)	5%	9%	12%	12%	7%	11%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH							
Yes	(785)	13%	12%	14%	13%	9%	8%
No	(393)	18%	15%	17%	13%	8%	6%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN							
Yes	(840)	13%	11%	15%	14%	8%	8%
No	(357)	18%	16%	16%	12%	8%	6%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM							
Yes	(626)	10%	11%	15%	14%	8%	9%
No	(559)	19%	15%	15%	12%	8%	7%

(Continued)

Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME

"Which of the following best represents your annual household income?"

	\$75,000 to \$100,000	More than \$100,000	Don't Know	Refused
TOTAL	9%	9%	2%	9%
QA AGE				
18 To 34	6%	6%	4%	5%
35 To 44	12%	12%	0%	7%
45 To 54	12%	12%	2%	9%
55+	5%	7%	1%	14%
Q37 GENDER				
Male	9%	10%	2%	7%
Female	8%	8%	1%	11%
Q38 REGION				
Atlantic	8%	5%	4%	7%
Quebec	9%	5%	2%	9%
Ontario	10%	13%	2%	8%
Prairies	7%	10%	1%	9%
BC/Territories	6%	9%	1%	13%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
Less than \$34,999	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	0%	0%	0%	0%
More than \$64,999	34%	36%	0%	0%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING				
High School Or Less	4%	3%	2%	9%
Community College/Some University	8%	8%	1%	8%
University Degree	16%	19%	1%	9%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH				
Yes	11%	11%	1%	9%
No	5%	6%	2%	10%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN				
Yes	10%	10%	1%	10%
No	6%	8%	3%	7%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM				
Yes	11%	11%	1%	9%
No	6%	7%	2%	9%

Q37 GENDER

	Total Respondents	Male	Female
TOTAL	(1200)	49%	51%
QA AGE			
18 To 34	(317)	51%	49%
35 To 44	(290)	48%	52%
45 To 54	(276)	49%	51%
55+	(318)	49%	51%
Q37 GENDER			
Male	(591)	100%	0%
Female	(609)	0%	100%
Q38 REGION			
Atlantic	(98)	49%	51%
Quebec	(296)	50%	50%
Ontario	(445)	48%	52%
Prairies	(199)	50%	50%
BC/Territories	(162)	50%	50%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME			
Less than \$34,999	(325)	47%	53%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	51%	49%
More than \$64,999	(307)	52%	48%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING			
High School Or Less	(437)	52%	48%
Community College/Some University	(419)	43%	57%
University Degree	(338)	53%	47%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH			
Yes	(785)	48%	52%
No	(393)	53%	47%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN			
Yes	(840)	45%	55%
No	(357)	58%	42%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM			
Yes	(626)	40%	60%
No	(559)	59%	41%

Q38 REGION

	Total Respondents	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	BC/ Territories
TOTAL	(1200)	8%	25%	37%	17%	14%
QA AGE						
18 To 34	(317)	7%	31%	35%	16%	11%
35 To 44	(290)	9%	25%	36%	17%	14%
45 To 54	(276)	10%	28%	35%	15%	13%
55+	(318)	8%	15%	42%	19%	16%
Q37 GENDER						
Male	(591)	8%	25%	36%	17%	14%
Female	(609)	8%	24%	38%	16%	13%
Q38 REGION						
Atlantic	(98)	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Quebec	(296)	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Ontario	(445)	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Prairies	(199)	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
BC/Territories	(162)	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Q36 ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME						
Less than \$34,999	(325)	11%	33%	25%	18%	14%
\$35,000 to \$64,999	(440)	8%	23%	40%	16%	13%
More than \$64,999	(307)	6%	18%	47%	16%	13%
Q33 HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING						
High School Or Less	(437)	10%	24%	33%	20%	13%
Community College/Some University	(419)	8%	23%	38%	15%	16%
University Degree	(338)	6%	28%	41%	15%	11%
Q1 EVER HEARD OF REPRODUCTIVE TECH						
Yes	(785)	7%	28%	35%	17%	14%
No	(393)	10%	18%	42%	16%	14%
Q34 EVER HAD CHILDREN						
Yes	(840)	9%	23%	37%	18%	14%
No	(357)	7%	29%	38%	14%	13%
Q35 ANYONE HAD INFERTILITY PROBLEM						
Yes	(626)	8%	23%	39%	18%	13%
No	(559)	9%	27%	34%	16%	14%